Crown

Parliament High Court High Court High Court High Court High Court

The People v Top Judges Citizen Mr Bradley v Mr and Mrs Faull Citizen Mr Coyle v State Citizen Mr Coyle v Mr and Mrs McCulloyugh Citizen Mr Say v Landlord + Council Citizens

Prime Minister + Other Party Leaders State Authorities BBC + ITN

Judicial Office Unfitness Cases Nuisance Trial fraud Appeal QA 2019 000205 Corruption Claim QB 2019 002819 Building Works Payment Claim QB 2019 002820 Housing Obligation Breach Claim QB 2019 003046 Election Fairness Claimant

> Defendants Defendants Defendants

Remedy Process + Judicial Office Unfitness Cases + Parliament Session Refusal Advice

3rd September 2019

Contempt and terrorism Penalty Warning to Officers + Masters + Justices of the High Court Prime Minister Mr Boris Johnson,

Best Advice: Formal Advice from the Prime Minster to the Crown for a Parliament Session Refusal + Forced General Election with Mass Publicity for the Corruption Proof and Remedy Denial Fraud Proof for

- the Stated Reasons:

 1. The Parliament Session Refusal needed

 1.1. The Validation Proof Sets

 1.2. The Publicity Proof Set

 2. Revived use of the Equity Monarchy Trusts got the Remedy Process and the Validation Proof Set
- 3. Citizens used Test Cases to discover how the Law Courts function under your governance and got the Publicity Proof Sets:
 - 3.1. The Nuisance Appeal QB 2019 000205 was issued on 22nd July 2019. It is to remedy Trial Frauds by Organised Crime and Trial Judges. They wanted 1, 3, 5 and 7 Windlesham Gardens, Brighton for a Big Flat Development. They wanted a Forced Sale of 5 Windlesham Gardens at a Discounted Price. The Owner had a Robust Personality until a Burst Appendix and Anaesthetic Overdose made him a Vulnerable Personality. They used a Harassment Frauds against the Owner and then Harassment Protection Frauds by Brighton City Council as Intimidation Frauds. They used Harassment and Assault Framing Frauds to get a Criminal Conviction Fraud + Imprisonment Sentence Fraud. They used Evidence Frauds by the Council and the Criminal Courts and Claimants for Nuisance Claim E08YJ260. The Victim had Nervous Breakdowns, became a Progressive Recluse and used Illness Incapacity Notices for Case Management and did nothing else. In October 2009 the Secret Service started assisting Corruption Investigations of the Law Courts for the Crown. They knew about the case. They used it as an Investigation Tool. They used the County Court Money Claims Centre to produce a document entitled 'Judgment for Claimant' dated 16th May 2018. It was Judgment Deficit Proof and Trial Jurisdiction Deficit Proof. The Case Transfer Orders to Brighton County Court gave the Sussex Judges the choice of making a No Trial Jurisdiction Finding or committing Trial Frauds. It was a decision for a Junior Trial Judge. It was placed before South East Top Civil Judge Mr Simkiss. He had Appeal Jurisdiction that created a Conflict Disqualification from a 1st Instance Trial Decision. He made a Trial Directions Order dated 4th July 2019. It was a Trial Jurisdiction Fraud. It was used for Trial Orders by Trial Judges that ended with the £392,260.42 Damage Assessment Award + Costs dated 7th June 2019. The Appeal is a Test Case of the High Court and the Set Aside Application is a Test Case of the County Court and a Litigation Friend Appointment Application is a Test Case of Both Courts
 - 3.2. The Claim Issue Denial Frauds + Application Process Denial Frauds by the High Court against Citizens who have filed Corruption Claims + Election Fairness Claims in August and September 2019. The Corruption Proof includes Defamation Frauds by the Media to service Trial Frauds.

High Court Masters + High Court Justices

Issue the Corruption Claims and Election Fairness Claims + make the Remedy-Orders Best Advice:

Criminal Witness Statement of Citizens Mr Awodiya + Mr Bradley + Mr Brooks + Mr Halit + Mr Paterson + Mr Say + Vannides + Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis. It is on 1 page signed by me. It is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence I shall be liable to prosecution if I have willfully stated anything, which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Page 1 of 1