

## **Claim Form**

In the
High Court Queen's Bench Division

Fee Account no.

Help with Fees Ref no. (if applicable)

H W F -

	For court use only
Claim no.	
Issue date	

You may be able to issue your claim online which may save time and money. Go to www.moneyclaim.gov.uk to find out more.

Claimant(s) name(s) and address(es) including postcode Mr Brian Pead

Flat 2, 66 Goodwins Road, King's Lynn, Norfolk PE30 5PD

SEAL

brianpead@hushmail.com 07508242101

Defendant(s) name and address(es) including postcode

- 1. Prime Minister MP Mr Boris Johnson of 10 Downuiing Street, London SW1A 2AA
- 2. Democratic Unaion Party Leader MP Ms Arlene Foster of Westminster Palace SW1A 0AA
- 3 see Party Details

Brief details of claim

Election Fairness Conditions needed for the General Election to qualify as Free and Fair Torture Remedies and Bias Remedies and Injustice Remedies and Misrepresentation and Non-Disclosure and Position Abuse and Governance Trust Breach Frauds and Accounting Frauds

Politicians are preparing for a General Election. Mass Remedies are needed for it to qualify as Free and Fair. I am preparing for Parliamentary Candidacy. I need my share of Mass Remedies. Norfolk MP Sir Henry Bellingham has acknowledged Court Frauds. He cannot manage Court Fraud Remedies alone

The Election Fairness Claim QB 2019 003126 got Criminal Conspiracy Proof for Citizen Mr Awodiya, the Crown and Parliament against High Court Masters + Leeds County Court Top Judge + High Court Justice Ms Andrews. The result is a Fraud Revocation Application to High Court Master Mr Gidden listed for 30 minutes at 2.30 on 20th November 2019 + Fraud Appeal QA 2019 000296 and Fraud Appeal 2019 2700 to the Court of Appeal. They will get either Remedy Consent Orders as Remedy Co-operation Proof for the Political Party Leaders of Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against them

Value

Justice Perversion Finding + Fraud Finding + Conspiracy Finding + Contempt Finding + All Cases Dismissal Order + Liberty Restoration Order + Reputation Restoration Order + Estate Restoration Order Special and General and Aggravated and Exemplary Damages Order + £1,000,000 Interim Damages Immediate Payment Order + Indemnity Costs Order for the Mr Pead against All Defendants

You must indicate your preferred County Court Hearing Centre for hearings here (see notes for quidance)

Defendant's name and address for service including postcode

See Party Details

Amount claimed 50,000,000.00

Court fee

Legal representative's costs

Total amount £50,000,000.00

For further details of the courts www.gov.uk/find-court-tribunal.

When corresponding with the Court, please address forms or letters to the Manager and always quote the claim number.

Claim No.			
-----------	--	--	--

Does, or will, your claim include any issues under the Human Rights Act 1998? 📝 Yes 🔲 No

Particulars of Claim (attached)(to follow)

Human Rights Brach Frauds 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13 Bias + Torture + Fraud

In 2006 a Disabled Student made a Sex Complaint against a Teacher. Competent Investigation would expose Powerful Paedophiles. Everyone knew it would get Competent Investigation from Head Master Mr Pead. The Powerful Paedophiles used Employment Termination Frauds to stop him conducting a Competent Investigation. He got Fraud Proof against the Employment Officers. They made Protection Fraud Demands and were given a Family Sabotage Fraud + Life Ruin Fraud that used an Invented Identity Fraud + Sex Crime Complaint Fraud + Investigation Fraud + Prosecution Fraud + Trial Fraud + Conviction Fraud + Sentence Fraud + Restraint Fraud. The Restraint Fraud was an Obvious Fraud because it used Restraint Powers the Crown Court did not have. The Record Frauds were used for Repeat Trial Frauds. Corrupt Officers lost the confidence in Protection Frauds by Corrupt MPs and Law Court Judges. They used Total Destruction of the 1st Trial Fraud Records to get Protection Frauds. The Norfolk Authorities used the Obvious Restraint Fraud for the Restraint Breach Enforcement Trial Fraud 2017 0471. It was the 7th Trial Fraud. On 15th July 2019 in Norwich Crown Court the Trial Audio Record contains Restraint Order Invalidity Admissions by Trial Court Judge Ms Bacon. She failed to make a Contempt Finding + Contempt Investigation Order + Remedy Orders + Case Dismissal Order for the Citizen against the State. She used Case Orders for Remedy Delay Frauds. On 11th October 2019 the Trial Fraud 2017 0471 got an Audio Record that is Criminal Conspiracy Proof against the Crown Prosecutor and Trial Judge of Trial Fraud Blackmail + Leniency Bribery to extort a Guilty Plea. It failed. On 29th October 2019 the Criminal Trial Fraud Appeal 2018 00198 Permission Hearing was listed in Royal Court 8. Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis gives evidence that Appeal Lord Justice Mr Irwin + High Court Justice Ms Andrews have Conflicted Interests and committed Conflict Qualification Frauds + Recusal Failure Frauds to keep Case Control needed for the Appeal Permission Refusal Frauds that were Protection Frauds for All Relevant Officers. The Conflict Disqualification against Appeal Lord Mr Irwin includes the Cancer Treatment Denial Fraud Hospital Murder Cover Up 2011 Review Permission Refusal Fraud against Shropshire Farmer Mr Charles Sydney Ellis. He used the case to service a Corruption Investigation of the Law Courts by Lord Chancellor MP Mr Clarke for the Crown. The Conflict Disqualification Fraud Proof against High Court Justice Ms Andrews includes the Election Fairness Claim QB 2019 003126 Dismissal + Restraint Frauds dated 14th October 2019 against Citizen Mr Awodiya. Reserved Rights for Further and Better Particulars.

*(I believe)(The Claimant believes) that the am duly authorised by the claimant to si	facts stated in these particulars of claim are true. gn this statement		
Full name Mr Brian Pead			
Name of claimant's legal representative's firm			
signed Brian Parad.  *(Claimant)(Litigation friend)  (Claimant's legal representative)	position or office held (if signing on behalf of firm or company) *delete as appropriate		

Mr Brian Pead

Hat 2 66 Goodwin Road King's Lynn PE30 590. Claimant's or claimant's legal representative's address to which documents or payments should be sent if different from overleaf including (if appropriate) details of DX, fax or e-mail.