

Parliament Session Management Agreement between Opposition MPs

The circumstances

1. The Citizen, Crown and Lord Bishops have Justice Responsibilities known as the Equity Monarchy Trusts. They have Corruption Control Jurisdictions that govern Parliament Session Powers. The Citizen makes Privilege Waivers and Confidentiality Waivers that enable use of cases for Remedy Publicity by the Crown and Lord Bishops. The Citizen gets Protection Rights from the Crown and Parliament with Protection Enforcement by the Cabinet and Prime Minister and Enforcement Accountability by the Opposition Leader. The Equity Monarchy Trusts fell into disuse for 45 years when the Politicians developed the European Constitution. It vested Dictator Powers in the State. The European Leaders wanted Referenda Acceptance of the Dictator Powers. They needed Election Frauds to get it. They used Extradition Frauds and Imprisonment Frauds to prevent exposure of the Election Frauds. In October 2004 the Fraud Proof was used for a Corruption Notice to the Crown and Parliament. It revived the Equity Monarchy Trusts. It started a Corruption Remedy Process that has continued ever since.
2. The 2010 Parliament Session Agreement included Corruption Remedy Conditions that required Corruption Investigations of the Law Courts by the Lord Chancellor for the crown. Prime Minister Mr Cameron, Deputy Prime Minister Mr Clegg and Opposition Leader Mr Miliband collaborated in servicing the Remedy Process managed by the Crown and Lord Bishops.
3. In 2017 the Remedy Process got Criminal Conspiracy Proof against Top State Officers and Law Court Judges. The Crown and Lord Bishops used it to decide the Corruption Remedy Conditions for the Parliament Session Agreement. It needed a Long Session of 2 ½ years to complete the Corruption Investigations in the Law Courts and use Top Office Unfitness Cases for Corruption Investigations in Parliament. Prime Minister Mrs May called the Surprise General Election to avoid a Session Refusal and Forced General Election with Mass Publicity for the Corruption Proof and Remedy Denial Fraud Proof.
4. The Long Session got Criminal Conspiracy Proof against Law Court Judges, and many MPs including Attorney General Mr Cox, Prime Minister Mrs May and Opposition Leader Mr Corbyn. It got the Forced Resignation of Prime Minister Mrs May. The Leadership Contestants made Protection Fraud Deals to get Leadership Support. The Protection Fraud Deals were subject to Viable Execution Conditions.
5. Citizens used cases for Integrity Tests that did two things.
 - 5.1. Before and during the Election Campaign Period they got Corruption Proof and Remedy Denial Fraud Proof and Election Fraud Proof against the Media, State, Law Courts and Party Leaders.
 - 5.2. They got Unviable Conditions Proof to enable a Fraud Deal Releases.
6. Prime Minister Mr Johnson did not use the Unviable Conditions Proof for Deal Releases. He used State Powers for Election Frauds. The result is a Big Majority with Election Fraud Proof that makes it a Vulnerability Majority.
7. The choice for Opposition MPs is Election Fraud Acceptance or Election Fraud Rejection.

Session Management Plan

8. Opposition MPs

- 8.1. make a Co-ordinated Opposition Agreement to get Election Fraud Remedies
- 8.2. require the Party Leaders to use the Election Fraud Proof for Corruption Remedy Representations to the Crown for use when making Parliament Session Decisions
- 8.3. require the Party Leaders to use Defendant Party Status in Election Claim QB 2019 003126 and Respondent Party Status in Fraud Appeal 2019 2700 for Dismissal Revocation Consent Orders + Contempt Investigations Consent Orders by the High Court and the Court of Appeal
- 8.4. use the Consent Order Agreements for Mass Publicity
- 8.5. use the Election Loser Status for Election Fraud Claims
- 8.6. force and Early General Election

9. Leadership Initiatives

- 9.1. Declaration by Opposition MPs that the Defendant Party Status in Election Fraud Claim QB 2019 003126 and the Respondent Party Status in Fraud Appeal 2019 2700 is held by the Former Party Leaders and the Party Leaders on trust for the Parties
- 9.2. Privilege Waivers and Confidentiality Waivers by Former Leaders and Current Leaders that enable use of the Party Cases for Remedy Publicity by the Crown and Lord Bishops
- 9.3. Case Management Instructions by Opposition MPs to Party Leaders:
 - 9.3.1. to make Consent Order Agreements with the Election Fraud Claimant
 - 9.3.2. to get Mass Publicity for the Consent Order Agreements

10. Use cases for Election Fraud Remedies. Start with Independent Candidates. Progress to Party Candidates

Sample Independent Cases

- 10.1. Brighton Kemptown Constituency: Mr Matthew Taylor stood as Parliamentary Candidate in 2015 and got Election Campaign Sabotage Fraud Proof against Sussex Authorities and the Media. In September 2019 he made a Parliament Candidacy Announcement. Days later it got a Harassment Arrest Fraud by Sussex Police that was an Election Campaign Sabotage Fraud.
- 10.2. Nantwich and Crewe Constituency: In April and May 2019 Mr Adam Mustafa used his East Cheshire Council Seat Candidacy for Election Research. It got 55 Votes + Election Campaign Sabotage Fraud Proof. The Candidacy Sabotage Frauds against him started with Paedophile Defamation Frauds against him by Labour Supporters on a Local Area Facebook Account that had 20,000 Users. It ended with the use of Car Ownership Misrepresentation Frauds for a Car Theft Arrest Fraud + Mental Illness Detention Fraud.
- 10.3. Mr Taylor and Mr Mustafa and have made Open Offers of Fraud Proof + Election Fraud Cases for anyone who wants to use them

[Add names and signatures]

Labour Party MPs

Liberal Democrat MPs

Scottish National Party MPs

Ulster MPs

Green Party MPs