Crown

Parliament Court of Appeal High Court High Court Chancery Court Cunty Court The People v Top Judges
Equity Lawyer v Solicitor General
Equity Lawyer v Solicitor General
Citizen Mr Yedialyli v Buyers + State
Equity Lawyer v Estate Benefit Claimant
Citizen Mr Bradley v Mr and Mrs Faull

Corruption Remedy Royal Commission Fraud Appeals 2020 0396 + 0??? Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286 Shop Theft Claims 2019 003741 + 003984 Probate Fraud PT 2020 000207 Nuisance Claim Fraud E08YJ260

Royal Commission + Nuisance Claim Fraud Costs Dispute Points of Citizen

30th June 20020

The Response to every item in the Costs Schedule is that Fraud invalidates the Claim and Costs

The circumstances

Since 2004 the Citizen, Crown and Lord Bishops have managed a Corruption Remedy Process. It used Corruption Investigations. Top South East Civil Judge Mr Simiss has been one of the Investigation Targets. Fraud Proof against him was used at various stages of the Corruption Remedy Process.

In December 2019 the Parliament Session Agreement between the Crown and Prime Minister required Corruption Remedies for Victims by a Royal Commission before the Session End. The Default Penalty is a Parliament Session Refusal and Forced General Election with Mass Publicity for Corruption Proof, Remedy Denial Fraud Proof and Election Fraud Proof. The Corruption Remedies needs Unfitness Cases + Terrorism Findings + Dismissal Decisions + Terrorism Penalties by Parliament against Top Judges.

The Nuisance Claim Fraud E08YJ260 Costs Assessment Application Fraud includes Appeal Fraud Costs and completes Conspiracy Proof Set against Organised Criminals and Law Court Judges.

The European Constitution vested Dictator Powers in the State. In 2004 the European Leaders wanted Referenda Acceptance of the Dictator Powers. They needed Election Frauds to get it. The Lawful Business of British Citizens made inevitable exposure of the Election Frauds. They used Extradition Frauds and Imprisonment Frauds to prevent exposure of the Election Frauds. Everything that could go wrong for them did go wrong. The 1st Extradition Fraud discovered that Top Police, Top Customs and Top Judges were the Top Drug Dealers. The choice for Prime Minister Mr Blair was to abandon the Extradition Frauds and recover control of the State and Law Courts from Organised Crime, or do a deal to carry on. He did a Protection Fraud Deal with the Top Drug Dealers and took a Profit Share at the expense of the Top Judges. He did an Extradition Fraud Deal with the Dutch Authorities that required Innocence Evidence Concealment Frauds by the British Authorities before completion of the Imprisonment Frauds by the Dutch Authorities.

The Top Judges needed to make up for Lost Profits. They sold Protection Frauds to Insurers and Surveyors that enabled Land Frauds for Big Land Owners against Small Businesses. Land Owners made Big Profits. Top Judges were jealous. They formed a Theft Partnership with Lawyers and State Officers. Top Police used Framing Frauds against Business Owners to get Forced Sale Conditions and Business Thefts and then Business Sales to Organised Crime for Money Laundering. Council Officers committed Land Thefts against Councils and Citizens. Lawyers used Case Management Frauds for Property Thefts against Citizens.

The Unfitness Cases against Top Judges need Criminal Conspiracy Proof got during the Parliament Session. The Nuisance Claim E08YJ260 got Fraud Proof against Judge Simkiss and Other Judges in previous Parliamentary Sessions and the Costs Assessment Application Fraud in this Parliamentary Session.

The Remedy Process needed a Business Theft Case with an Honest Judge. The Fast Food Shop Theft in Bow, London provided it. The 1st Framing Fraud failed. The Crown Court Judge noticed Innocence Evidence Concealments Frauds, supervised the Jury into an Acquittal Decision and gave Claim Advice for the Victim against the Metropolitan Police, Ministry of Justice and Defenders. The Victim was unable to act on it because he was Financially Drained, and Emotionally Exhausted and had Limited English Language. Corruption Investigators waited to see what happened. The 2nd Framing Fraud got a Forced Sale and Business Theft. The Crime Complaint got Investigation Denial Fraud Proof against the Metropolitan Police. Shop Theft Claims 2019 003741 + 003984 got Fraud Proof against the High Court. Protection Fraud Calls by Top Judges got Crime Investigation Frauds by the Metropolitan Police but did not get the Findings Frauds needed for Claim Dismissal Frauds. It was Criminal Conspiracy Proof against Top Police and Top Judges.

The 1987 Will Executorship Renunciation Claim Fraud PT 2020 000207 uses Obvious Frauds: Exclusion Frauds against Lapsed Gift Intestacy Beneficiaries and a 2007 Will Production Failure Fraud. Lawyers use Obvious Frauds to get Jurisdiction Frauds and Order Frauds by Judges as Protection Fraud Proof.

The Costs Fraud is Relevant Evidence in the County Court +Chancery Court +High Court +Court of Appeal Complaint: The Costs Schedule Word Version Request got a Request Refusal by the Claim Lawyers Page 1 of 1