

Crown Parliament
 Court of Appeal
 High Court
 Chancery Court
 County Court

The People v Top Judges
 Equity Lawyer v Solicitor General
 Equity Lawyer v Solicitor General
 Equity Lawyer v Will Benefit Claimant
 Citizen Mr Bradley v Organized Criminals

Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
 Fraud Appeals 2020 0396 + 2020 0???
 Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286
 Will Renunciation Claim Fraud 2020 000207
 Nuisance Claim Fraud E08YJ260

Royal Commission + Integrity Test Comparator Case Notice + 2020 000207 Costs Schedule

3rd July 2020

Without Prejudice to the Invalidity Arguments
 Contempt Fraud Damages Reserved Rights + Contempt and Terrorism Penalty Warning

The Parliament Session Agreement required a Royal Commission to manage Corruption Remedies before the Session End. The Default Penalty is a Parliament Session Refusal and Forced General Election with Mass Publicity for the Corruption Proof, Remedy Denial Fraud Proof and Election Fraud Proof. Citizens managed Integrity Tests that got Remedy Denial Fraud Proof the Crown and Lord Bishops can use for Session Decisions.

The Corruption Remedy Process needs both Old Known Frauds and New Known Frauds for Remedy Publicity.

The Nuisance Claim Fraud E08YJ260 is a Known Fraud. The Claimant Costs Assessment Application dated 16th June 2020 included Appeal Fraud Costs. It completed the Criminal Conspiracy Proof Set against Organised Criminals and Law Court Judges. It will discover whether Law Courts commit More Costs Frauds.

The 1987 Will Executorship Renunciation Claim PT 2020 000207 is a Known Fraud. The Claim Lawyers made Unconditional Renunciation Demands. The Executor responded with Renunciation Conditions that required Representation Authority Proof from the Lawyers and Informed Consent by All Interested Parties. It got Representation Authority Proof Denial Frauds + Repeat Unconditional Renunciation Demands + Lapsed Gift Intestate Beneficiary Identification Non-Disclosure Frauds + Late Will Non-Disclosure Frauds. The Executor persisted with the Renunciation Conditions. The Claim Statement revealed the existence of a 2007 Will which raises a Revocation Presumption against the 1987 Will, and disclosed the existence of 1987 Lapsed Gift Intestacy Beneficiaries but did not identify them. The Renunciation Claim is either to terminate an Executorship Office that does not exist because of the Will Revocation or Without Informed Consent of All Interested Parties. Corrupt Lawyers use Obvious Frauds to force Jurisdiction Frauds by Law Court Judges as Protection Fraud Proof. Obvious Frauds are Contempt Frauds against All Jurisdictions with Accountability Powers. The Due Process Response to Obvious Claim Frauds is Court Motion Contempt Investigation Orders. A Due Process Failure raises a Protection Fraud Presumption against the Law Courts

The 1st Unconditional Renunciation Demand raised an Incompetence Presumption against Claim Lawyers and Fraud Suspicions against them and the Law Courts. It validated Response Documents from the Executor that were designed to get Proof Sets that met the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. The Renunciation Conditions Rejection and Repeat Unconditional Renunciation Demands were Fraud Proof against the Lawyers and Fraud Suspicion Proof against Law Courts. It enables use of the case for a Comparator Case for the Costs Integrity Test.

Defendant Equity Lawyer		Claimant	Court
1 st Unconditional Renunciation Response			
1 Day Time + Expertise + Complexity + Fraud Investigation	£1,000.00		
<i>Claimant's Deduction + Argument</i>			
2 nd Unconditional Renunciation Response			
1 Day Time + Expertise + Complexity + Fraud Investigation	£1,000.00		
<i>Claimant's Deduction + Argument</i>			
3 rd Unconditional Renunciation Response			
1 Day Time + Expertise + Complexity + Fraud Investigation	£1,000.00		
<i>Claimant's Deduction + Argument</i>			
Claim Response			
1 Day Time + Expertise + Complexity + Fraud Investigation	£1,000.00		
<i>Claimant's Deduction + Argument</i>			
Hearing Notice 1 st Response			
1 Day Time + Expertise + Complexity + Fraud Investigation	£1,000.00		
<i>Claimant's Deduction + Argument</i>			
Remote Hearing Arrangements Response + Costs Test			
2 Day Time + Expertise + Complexity + Fraud Investigation	£2,000.00		
<i>Claimant's Deduction + Argument</i>			
Hearing Costs Claim Reserved			
	£7,000.00		