

Contempt 2020 000286 Committal Permission Fraud Appeal Grounds

20<sup>th</sup> June 2020

### Without Prejudice to Invalidity Arguments

Contempt 2020 000286 Restraint Breach Committal Trial Permission Hearing Fraud + Committal Trial Permission Fraud + Committal Trial Directions Fraud dated 17<sup>th</sup> June 2020 of High Court Justice Mr Goose using a Resource Allocation Fraud by Appeal Lord Justice Mr Dingemans

Appeal Grounds: Fraudulent Breach of Natural Justice + Human Rights + Overriding Justice Objective

### Remedy Proposals for the Court of Appeal

1. Corruption Remedy Process Finding + Conflicted Interest Finding + Conflict Disqualification Finding + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit Finding + Justice Process Protection Finding + Protection Breach Finding + Justice Perversion Finding + Fraud Finding + Conspiracy Finding + Remedy Denial Fraud Finding + Contempt Finding + Contempt Remedy Entitlement Finding + Contempt Remedy Priority Finding for the Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis, Royal Commission, Lord Bishops and Crown against the Government Lawyers, Solicitor General, High Court, Court of Appeal, Attorney General, Cabinet Officers and Prime Minister Mr Johnson
2. Contempt Investigation Order + Investigator Appointment Case Reference to the Royal Commission
3. Liberty Restoration Orders + Reputation Restoration Orders + Estate Restoration Orders + All Profession Unfitness Liability and Penalty Revocations + All Criminal Liability and Penalty Revocation + All Civil Liability and Penalty Revocation Penalty + Reputation Recovery Positive Publicity Order + Market Fraud Revocations + Asset Transfer Revocations + General and Special and Aggravated and Exemplary Damages Order + £1,000,000 Interim Damage Immediate Payment Order + Contempt Remedy Directions 1 Hour Hearing in Royal Court 37 at 2 p.m. on June 2020 for Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis against Solicitor General Mr Ellis
4. Party Status Orders for the Equity Lawyer against All Relevant Authorities
5. Party Status + Personal Liability Orders for the Equity Lawyer against All Liable Persons
6. Further discovery, enquiry, relief and remedy the cause of justice needs

### Circumstances

1. The Remedy Process used the Parliament Session Agreement to require Corruption Remedies for Victims managed by a Royal Commission before the Session End. The Default Penalty is a Parliament Session Refusal and Forced General Election with Mass Publicity for the Corruption Proof. Remedy Denial Fraud Proof and Election Fraud Proof. It needs Trial Fraud Proof, Appeal Fraud Proof and Protection Fraud Proof with Audio Records as Personal Responsibility Proof got in the Parliament Session against Top Judges
2. The Corruption Remedy Process needs to identify, isolate and remove the Corruption Controllers. Some of them are part of the Protection Fraud Network and others are Independent. In both cases State Officers manage Organised Crime and Law Court Judges provide Protection Frauds. The Protection Fraud Network relies on State Officers to pay Protection Fees. The Independents use Blackmail Frauds against Superior Officers to make Crime Profits without the Protection Fee Overhead. The Protection Fraud Network denies Support Services for the Independent Corruption Controllers unless they are needed to provide Protection Frauds for the Superior Officers. In addition, some Network Corruption Controllers manage both Network Business and Personal Business. In many cases Process Signatures of Primary Frauds and Protection Frauds reveal the Network Status or Independent Status of Corruption Controllers and what is Network Business or Personal Business. The Process Signature include Resource Allocations that identify Corruption Controllers.
3. Citizens used their cases for Integrity Tests that got Remedy Denial Fraud Proof the Crown and Lord Bishops could use for Parliament Session Decisions. The Integrity Tests forced Corrupt Officers to demand Protection Frauds that got Resource Allocation Decisions that identified

Contempt 2020 000286 Committal Permission Fraud Appeal Grounds

20<sup>th</sup> June 2020

Corruption Controllers. They forced Protection Frauds by the Protection Fraud Network for Independent Corruption Controllers. The Prime Minister and Cabinet have a duty to manage Integrity Tests.

4. Events will reveal whether the Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286 against Equity Lawyer is for an Integrity Test of the Law Courts or a Protection Fraud for Corruption Controllers. It got the General Civil Restraint Renewal dated 12<sup>th</sup> February 2020 that is the subject of the Fraud Appeal 2020 0396 in the Court of Appeal. It created Pending Appeal Adjudication 2020000286 Trial Stay Protection Rights for the Equity Lawyer against the Solicitor General, State and Inferior Law Courts including the High Court
5. The 2020 000286 Committal Permission Application was a Protection Breach Contempt Fraud. It got Hearing Resource Allocation Fraud Proof + Hearing Fraud Proof against Appeal Lord Justice Mr Dingemans and Hearing Fraud Proof by High Court Justice Mr Goose.
6. The Hearing Frauds included the use of Skype Service and BT Meet Me Services for a Remote Hearing. The Equity Lawyer made In Person Hearing Demands and Remote Hearing Sealed Order Service Demands that were ignored. He gave notice Without Prejudice to Invalidity Arguments that he did not have Skype Facilities or Skype Expertise and should not be expected to use an Old Mobile Phone and Incur Excess Usage Penalty Costs for a Hearing Call. On 17<sup>th</sup> June 2129 at 10 02 Court Officer Ms Sharon Isaacs telephoned Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis. He confirmed the position. He went online, sent the Confirmation Email at 10.26, received the Out At Hearing Automatic Reply from Government Lawyer Ms Haynes. He went offline. The BT Meet Me Notice Email at 10.36 from the Judges Clerk Ms Jennings gave the Number and Code. After 14.00 hours the Equity Lawyer went on line, found the BT Meet Me Notice Email and called the Number and gave the Code and got an Invalid Code Response. He sent the Confirmation Email at 14.32.
7. The Committal Trial Permission Hearing Frauds + Trial Permission Fraud + Trial Directions Frauds dated 17<sup>th</sup> June 2020 completes the Remedy Denial Fraud Proof Set. It got both an Audio Record and a Video Record. The Cabinet and Prime Minister can add to the Fraud Proof Set.
8. The Royal Commission has a duty to use the Fraud Proof Set for Contempt Fraud Findings + Terrorism Findings + Dismissal Decisions + Mass Publicity against Top State Officers and Top Judges. Remedy Delays will be Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against the Royal Commission, Governing Majority, Cabinet and Prime Minister
9. The Royal Commission has notice of Similar Fact Proof of the Proof Set Completion. On 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2011 the Driving Crimes Framing Fraud Plea Hearing got a Not Guilty Plea by Citizen Farmer Mr C.S. Ellis. It completed the Appeal Fraud Proof Set and Trial Fraud Proof Set and Protection Fraud Proof Set against Magistrates Court Judges. Lord Chancellor Mr Clarke used it and the Mental Health Fraud 2011 01345 for Integrity Tests of the Top Judges. He filed Mental Health Fraud No Contest Notices dated 25<sup>th</sup> February 2011 for the Crown and Cabinet. It created a Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit for the Citizen Farmer against Top Judges. The use of Conflict Powers they did not have for Dismissal Frauds and Restraint Frauds against the Citizen was Trial Fraud Proof and Appeal Fraud Proof and Protection Fraud Proof against Top Judges.
10. The European Constitution vested Dictator Powers in the State. The European Leaders wanted Referenda Acceptance of the Dictator Powers. They needed Election Frauds to get it. The Lawful Business of British Citizens made inevitable exposure of the Election Frauds. The European Leaders wanted Business Sabotage Frauds to prevent exposure of the Election Frauds. They used Extradition Frauds against a Business Manager who was a British Citizen. and Imprisonment Frauds against him and his Distribution Manager who was a Dutch Citizen. It got the 1<sup>st</sup> Extradition Fraud by the British State against a British Citizen. Everything that could go wrong for the European Leaders did go wrong. The 1<sup>st</sup> Extradition Frauds discovered Top Customs and Top Judges were the Top Illegal Drug Importers. Prime Minister Mr Blair did a Protection Fraud Deal that got Drug Crime Profits and Extradition Fraud Services for him and Profit Share Losses for Top Judges and Protection Frauds for the Drug Criminals. The Top

Judges needed to make up for Profit Share Losses. They sold Protection Frauds to Insurers and Surveyors that enabled Land Frauds for Big Landowners against Small Businesses. They needed Marketing Cases. They used lease Frauds for marketing Cases. The Country Marketing Frauds were for Big Landowners against Tenant Farmers. The Town Marketing Frauds were for a Development Corporation against Small Businesses. The Corruption Controllers needed Rapid Completion. The Citizen Farmer Mr C.S. Ellis and Citizen Restaurateur Mr Can Say prevented Rapid Completion and got Corruption Proof Sets against All Liable Parties. The Corruption Controllers used Ruin Frauds against them. They included a Cancer Treatment Denial Fraud Kill Decision by Prime Minister Mr Brown against the Citizen Farmer. He did not die quickly. He used Business Cases in his lifetime and Probate Estate after his death to service the Corruption Remedy Process. The Citizen Restaurateur got Corruption Proof and Remedy Denial Fraud Proof. The Ruin Frauds against him included Physical Torture of the Citizen Restaurateur by Thugs that failed to get the Proof Sets for the Corruption Controllers. They used Delusion Diagnosis Frauds for Discredit Frauds. The Citizen Restaurateur serviced the Remedy Process by recruiting Citizens and helping to manage the Integrity Tests before and during the 2019 Election Campaign Period and after General Election Day.

11. The Top Judges were jealous of the Fraud Profits made by Big Landowners. They developed Land Fraud Business using Framing Frauds by Top Police Officers against Business Owners that got Forced Sales and Business Thefts.
12. The Remedy Process needed a Business Theft Case with an Honest Judge for Remedy Publicity. The Fast Food Shop Theft Conspiracy against Victim Mr Yediayli was one. The 1<sup>st</sup> Framing Fraud used Immunity Frauds that got Harassment Perjury from 3 Women Witnesses. The Crown Court Judge noticed Innocence Evidence Concealment Frauds, supervised the Jury into an Acquittal Decision and then gave Claim Advice for the Victim against the Metropolitan Police, Ministry of Justice and Defenders. The Victim was unable to act on the Claim Advice because of he was Financially Drained and Emotionally Exhausted and had Limited Language. Corruption Investigators observed what happened to him. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Framing Fraud got a Forced Sale and Business Theft. The Business Theft Complaint got Investigation Denial Fraud Proof against the Metropolitan Police. The Business Recovery Claim 2019 003741 against the Buyers and Corruption Claim 2019 003984 against the State were used for Damage Mitigation Applications. They forced High Court Judges to choose between the Unjust Enrichment Fraud of a £150,000 Sale Price Payment Waiver for the Buyers or Damage Mitigation for the State of a Sale Price Payment Order or Business Return Order for the Victim. They chose the Unjust Enrichment Fraud using Hearing Denial Frauds and 1<sup>st</sup> Backdated Directions Order Forgery + Order Forgery Service Denial Frauds with intent to get Compliance Failure Dismissal Frauds. Someone arranged for Letter Box Service of the 1<sup>st</sup> Backdated Directions Order Fraud. It got Order Compliance that was made Without Prejudice to Invalidity Arguments. Top Judges needed a Trial Avoidance fraud. They made a 1<sup>st</sup> Protection Fraud Call for Investigation Frauds to get Finding Frauds by the Metropolitan Police the High Court could use for Claim Dismissal Frauds. It got Shop Theft Investigations and Complainant Interview. Victim Mr Yediayli, Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis and Interpreter Mr Say used it to present the Criminal Conspiracy Proof against the High Court. It got a Case Closure Fraud by the Metropolitan Police and a 2<sup>nd</sup> Backdated Stay Order Forgery by the High Court. The Buyers made a Settlement Negotiation Request. It got a Negotiation Meeting with the Equity Lawyer and Interpreter and Settlement Proposals by the Victim. It got a 3<sup>rd</sup> Backdated Dismissal Order Forgery + Order Forgery Service Denial Fraud by the High Court. On 25<sup>th</sup> March 2020 the Physical Repossession Damage Mitigation forced the Metropolitan Police to choose between Possession Justice for the Victim and an Eviction Fraud Against him. They chose the Eviction Fraud. It got an Eviction Fraud Interim Remedy Application to the High Court. Top Judges made a Fraud Plan and required Fraud Commitment Proof from the Buyers. There were two parts to the Commitment Proof. The first was a Trespass Restraint Application + Counterclaim Judgment Application by

the Buyers that revealed the existence of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Backdated Order Forgery but did not get production of it. The second was a Kill Threat Crime Complaint Fraud to the Metropolitan Police. The Top Judges made 2<sup>nd</sup> Protection Fraud Call for Criminal Investigation Frauds to get Finding Frauds the High Court could use for Case Dismissal frauds against the Victim. The Caution + Recorded Interview Appointment got a Kill Threat Denial + Event Denial + Kill Threat Perjury Complaint by the Victim, presentation of a Criminal Conspiracy Proof Set by the Equity Lawyer, Interpretation Services by the State Interpreter and Interpretation Observation by Interpreter Mr Say. It got a Perjury Investigation Denial Fraud + Irrelevance Declaration against the Criminal Conspiracy Proof by the Interviewer and a No Further Actin Decision by the Metropolitan Police.

13. Frauds enable investigations of Corruption Business and Corruption Controllers. Sample Cases:
  - 13.1. Fraud Appeal 2019 2700 failed to get Election Fraud Remedies in the Session.
  - 13.2. Trust Claims + Mortgage Possession Claims got Criminal Conspiracy Proof for Citizen Mr Sood against the Trustee, Lawyers, Bankers, Court Judges and High Court.
  - 13.3. Business Lease Claim F02ED793 got Trial Fraud Proof + Appeal Fraud Proof + Attempted Bankruptcy Fraud Proof for Citizen Mr Hastunc against the Landlord, Lawyers and the County Court.
  - 13.4. The Process Signature of the Framing Frauds against Citizen Mr Carter evidences they are managed by Independent Corruption Controllers. They have Limited Resources for Protection Frauds and need Easy Targets. They use Vulnerable Adults who have Chronic Immaturity and respond to Stress Conditions with Responsibility Rejection. Provided someone else has Decision Responsibility the Chronically Immature give information and make Case Management Agreements. Then they find someone who will give Co-operation Refusal Advice. The Remedy Saboteurs understand it and use it to get Low Grade Recognition or Remedy Sabotage Fraud Profits. The Equity Lawyer knows the risks. He uses Simple Tasks as Commitment Tests of Victims. Citizen Mr Carter agreed use of his case for the Integrity Tests of the State and Law Courts to identify the Corruption Controllers. He gave information and agreed the content of Case Management Documents and to do Simple Task. He did not execute any of the Simple Tasks. He got Co-operation Refusal Advice from a Known Remedy Saboteur. The Remedy Process has to stop Fraud Damage to the Chronically Immature but cannot reward them for Co-operation Failures. The Remedy Process can use the case of Citizen Mr Carter to investigate the the Corruption Controllers and the Remedy Saboteur.
  - 13.5. Framing Frauds against Citizen Mr Nkrumah used Trial Frauds. His Corruption Claims discovered Court Officers used Appeal Forgeries to get Appeal Dismissal Frauds. Corruption Claims and Fraud Appeals failed to get Contempt Remedies from Trial Courts and Appeal Courts including the Supreme Court. The result is Fraud Proof Sets against All Criminal Courts and All Civil Courts.
14. The Restraint Fraud Appeal 2020 0396 got Fraud Proof against the Court of Appeal. Email Service of the Committal Permission Fraud Appeal Grounds on the House of Commons Speaker makes it an Official Record for examination of Top Judges in Unfitness Dismissal Cases.

#### Best Advice:

1. MPs change the Responsibility Descriptions on their web sites by adding Corruption Remedy Representation for the Citizen to Political Representation for the Constituent.
2. MPs use Constituency Investigations to identify Corruption Controllers.
3. MPs acknowledge that Protection Fraud Proof against the Remedy Denial Fraud Majority got the Forced General Election in 2019 and invalidates Case References to the MPs who were part of it.