

## **Public Gallery Rights Case Notice**

Without Prejudice to Invalidity Arguments + Contempt and Terrorism Penalty Warning

Integrity Notice for the Parliament Session Jurisdictions

Jurisdiction Evidence + Liability Defence Evidence Offer by the Equity Lawyer to Parties + Court

Tuesday + Thursday Witness Unavailability Notice due to Kidney Failure Dialysis Treatment

Before Hearing Offer Acceptance Notice from the Citizen to the State and Court

Before Hearing Offer Acceptance Opportunity for the State

Before Hearing Evidence Notice to the Crown Court

**Court Motion Public Gallery Rights Case Acknowledgement + Public Gallery Rights Access and Observation Rights Acknowledgement + Rights Public Gallery Rights Hearing Notice for 31<sup>st</sup> August 2021 + Public Gallery Clearance and Invasion Authority Evidence Disclosure Order + Order Breach Contempt and Terrorism Penalty Warning for the Citizen against the State for the Stated Reasons that:**

- 1. The Top Corruption Controls are General Elections and Parliament Session Priorities. General Elections change the Governing Majority. They are a Risk Control against Power Concentrations. The Parliament Session Priorities are a Justice Quality Control.**
- 2. The Citizen, Crown and Lord Bishops have Corruption Control Jurisdictions that govern Parliament Session Powers. They decide the Corruption Remedy Priorities of the Governing Majority in the Parliament Session. The Citizen has Investigation Jurisdiction. The Crown has Prosecution Jurisdiction. The Lord Bishops have Adjudication Jurisdiction. They use the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. It is Official Records that are Justice Proof for Honourable Officers or Guilt Proof against Corrupt Officers and Credibility Irrelevance Proof for the Victim. An Equity Lawyer is anyone who has the commitment and competence to get Proof Sets that meet the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard, and knows how to uses it for the Parliament Session Jurisdictions.**
- 3. The Investigation Jurisdiction of the Citizen relies on Case Management Authority from the Individual and Case Management Observation from the Public Gallery. State Officers need Public Gallery Access Authority that is:**
  - 3.1. Access Permission from the Citizen or**
  - 3.2. An Identified Adjudicator who makes a Case Call for an Open Session that is subject to Public Gallery Observation and makes a Valid Public Gallery Clearance Order**

Crown Parliament The People v Top Judges Corruption Remedy Royal Commission  
Court of Appeal Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Solicitor General Contempt Fraud Appeal  
High Court Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Solicitor General Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286  
Crown Court Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Public Gallery Crime Trial  
Magistrates Court Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Corrupt Officers Court fraud Criminal Conspiracy Summons Application  
Royal Commission + Fraud Appeal + Public Gallery Rights Case Notice + Proposals 12<sup>th</sup> August 2021

**with Reason and Automatic Appeal Rights because an Invalid Clearance Order  
terminates the Hearing Session and the Adjudication Powers**

- 4. The 2007 Parliament Session Priorities required a Validity Priority Precedent. The House of Lords used the 2008 Case R v Clarke to declare that validity has priority in every case.**
- 5. The Validity Priority in a Public Gallery Rights Case requires a Rights Investigation to discover whether State Officers had Entry Authority or had Burglar + Kidnapper Status**

St Albans Crown Court Hearing listed for 31<sup>st</sup> August 2021

Case Call

Representation Bench Equality Claim for the Citizen and State

*Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Bench Equality Refusal*

Identification of Citizen + Crown Prosecutor + Court Lawyer + Judge

*Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Identification Refusals*

Identification Refusal Recusal Application in the event of Identification Refusals

*Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Recusal Refusals*

Anonymous Prosecutor / Court Lawyer / Adjudicator Hearing Session Invalidation Declaration

*Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Declaration Refusals*

No Known Conflict Voluntary Declaration by the Prosecutor and Court Lawyer and Adjudicator

Voluntary Declaration Failure No Known Conflict Declaration Application if needed

*Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Declaration Refusals*

Prosecutor Assistance Representation Equality Claim by the Citizen

*Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Assistance Refusal*

Court Lawyer Assistance Representation Equality Claim by the Citizen

*Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Assistance Refusal*

Public Gallery Rights Investigation Hearing Classification Claim by the Citizen

*Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Claim Denial*

Charge by the Court Lawyer

No Jurisdiction Plea + No Liability Plea Without Prejudice to Invalidity Arguments by the Citizen

*Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of a No Jurisdiction Plea Acceptance Refusal*

Preliminary Issue Contest between the Law Court Public Gallery Access + Observation Rights of the Citizen against the Public Gallery Clearance Powers of the State and Law Courts

*Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of a Preliminary Issue Denial*

Loud Reading of the Case Dismissal Application of the Citizen by the Assistant or Citizen

Conflicted Issue Discovery Application of the Citizen against the State that the Crown Prosecutor and the Hearing Judge admit, deny or require proof of the Jurisdiction Issues and Case Facts:

1. The Justice Agent has a Neutrality Obligation, and Conflicted Interest Voluntary Disclosure Obligation, and Identification Obligation
2. The Citizen has Neutrality Investigation Rights and Conflict Disqualification Investigation Rights
3. A Neutrality Obligation Breach or Conflict Disclosure Obligation Breach or Identification Obligation Breach is a Justice Office Disqualification and a Process Invalidation
4. Justice Perversion Criminal Conspiracy by the State and Law Courts for the use of Identity Frauds for Justice Agents and Restraint Frauds against Citizens. The Fraud Proof includes:
  - 4.1. The Contempt Trial Fraud HQ16X00733 General Civil Restraint Order dated 26<sup>th</sup> February 2018 against Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis includes an Additional Jury Oriented Style Use Restraint Order against All Citizens that reads:
    - 4.1.1. Reference to an 'Equity Lawyer' or similar
    - 4.1.2. Reference to the Citizen /Applicant as 'Citizen'
    - 4.1.3. Use of the phrases 'Corruption Claim', 'Prosecution Fraud' or any similar combination of a noun and 'Fraud'
    - 4.1.4. Use of the phrase 'Proof Sets'
    - 4.1.5. Any other features that provide reasonable grounds for believing that the Claim Form or Application Notice has been prepared by or on behalf of Mr Ellis
  - 4.2. The Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286 Restraint Renewal Order + Additional Style Use Restraint Renewal Order dated 12<sup>th</sup> February 2020 against the Equity Lawyer and All Citizens
  - 4.3. The Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286 Liability Trial Frauds
  - 4.4. The Trial Fraud Appeals to the Court of Appeal against each of the Liability Trial Frauds
  - 4.5. The 2 Without Hearing Trial Fraud Appeal Dismissal Frauds by the Court of Appeal
  - 4.6. The 4 Trial Fraud Appeal Issue Denial Frauds by the Court of Appeal

- 4.7. The Pending Appeal Trial Stay Protection Breach Frauds by the High Court
- 4.8. The Restraint Breach Contempt Liability Finding dated 16<sup>th</sup> December 2021 for the use of ‘Equity Lawyer’ + ‘Corruption Claim’ + ‘Prosecution Fraud’ + ‘Other Nouns + Fraud’ + ‘Proof Sets’ + Other Feature ‘+’
- 4.9. The Penalty Fraud of a 2 Year Suspended Imprisonment Sentence dated 16<sup>th</sup> April 2021
- 4.10. The Trial Fraud Appeal 2020 10368 B1 Adjudicator Identification Refusal Fraud that is evidenced by the Adjudicator Identity Omission from the Permission Refusal dated 16<sup>th</sup> April 2021
5. Restraint Fraud Justice Perversion Criminal Conspiracy by Essex Law Court Judges and Essex Police Chief Constable for Court Building Access Denial Frauds and Public Gallery Access Denial Frauds and Public Gallery Clearance Frauds
6. On 12<sup>th</sup> May 2021 Citizen Mr Nkrumah sat in the Public Gallery at Southend Magistrates and witnessed:
  - 6.1. The Uninsured Driving + Unroadworthy Tyres 2000097035 + 2000097078 of Mr Victor Momoh v State Case Call,
  - 6.2. Party Appearances,
  - 6.3. Identification by the Defendant,
  - 6.4. Adjudicator Identity Request by the Defendant,
  - 6.5. Identity Refusal by the Adjudicator that:
    - 6.5.1. Invalidated the Adjudicator Status of the Anonymous Judicial Bench Occupant
    - 6.5.2. Invalidated the Hearing Session Status
    - 6.5.3. Reduced the Anonymous Judicial Bench Occupant to Unauthorised Person Status
  - 6.6. Judicial Bench Vacation by the Unauthorised Person
  - 6.7. Secret Justice Perversion Criminal Conspiracy + Public Gallery Clearance Contempt Fraud Conspiracy by the Unauthorised Person, the Anonymous Court Lawyer and Essex Police
  - 6.8. Court Room Appearance Intimidation Contempt Fraud by Essex Police Officers
  - 6.9. Inaudible Instructions from the Court Lawyer to the Essex Police Officers
  - 6.10. Public Gallery Entry Intimidation Contempt Fraud by the Essex Police Officers
  - 6.11. Public Gallery Vacation Demand Intimidation Contempt Fraud by the Essex Police Officers
  - 6.12. Public Gallery Rights Assertion by the Citizen

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Court of Appeal	Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Solicitor General	Contempt Fraud Appeal
	High Court	Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Solicitor General	Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286
	Crown Court	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State	Public Gallery Crime Trial
	Magistrates Court	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Corrupt Officers	Court fraud Criminal Conspiracy Summons Application

Royal Commission + Fraud Appeal + Public Gallery Rights Case Notice + Proposals 12<sup>th</sup> August 2021

6.13. Public Gallery Rights Denial Intimidation Contempt Fraud + Violent Arrest Contempt  
 Fraud + Assault Contempt Fraud by the Essex Police Officers against the Citizen

6.14. Emergency Worker Assault Framing Contempt Fraud Conspiracy by the Arrest Officers  
 + Investigation Officers + Custody Officers + Supervising Officers and Case Approval  
 Crown Prosecutors against the Citizen

6.15. Bail Weekly Reporting Condition Disproportionality Contempt Fraud by the Custody  
 Officers + Supervising Officers + Case Approval Crown Prosecutors

*Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of an Order Refusal*  
 Applications in the event of a Rights Denial or Order Refusal

Public Gallery Access Rights Declaration for the Citizen against the State

*Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of a Declaration Refusal*

Neutral Adjudication Rights Declaration + Conflicted Interest Voluntary Disclosure + Adjudicator  
 Identification Rights Declaration for the Citizen against the State

*Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of a Declaration Refusal*

Adjudicator Identification Hearing Session Dependency Declaration for the Citizen against the  
 State

*Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of a Declaration Refusal*

Case Dismissal + Contempt Fraud Remedy Applications for:

1. Findings Set: Uninsured Driving an Unroadworthy Tyres 2000097035 and 2000097078 Case  
 Call + Adjudicator Identification Refusal Finding + Adjudicator Anonymity Disqualification  
 Finding + No Valid Hearing Session Finding + No Public Gallery Clearance Powers Finding +  
 Public Gallery Clearance Conspiracy Finding + Fraud Finding + Contempt Finding for the  
 Citizen against the State
2. Case Dismissal Order + Contempt Liability Order for the Citizen against the State for the Stated  
 Reason that the Motor Case Call got Defendant Identification and then an Identification Refusal  
 by the Hearing Judge that was an Adjudicator Status Disqualification and a Hearing Session  
 Validity Disqualification and Unauthorised Person Status for the Anonymous Occupier of the  
 Judicial Bench and Justice Perversion Contempt Fraud Conspirator Status for everyone involved  
 in the Public Gallery Clearance Contempt Fraud + Arrest Contempt Fraud + Assault Contempt  
 Fraud + Custody Contempt Fraud + Assault Charge Contempt Fraud + Bail Weekly Reporting  
 Conditions + Corruption Summons Process Denial Fraud + Contempt Remedy Application

Process Denial Fraud + Bail Condition Revocation Applications Process Denial Fraud +  
 Remand Hearing Contempt Frauds + Essex Magistrates Court Misrepresentation Record Frauds  
 + Essex Magistrates Court Non-Disclosure Record Frauds + Case Transfer Contempt Fraud +  
 Plea Hearing Contempt Frauds + Case Dismissal Application Hearing Denial Contempt Frauds  
 + Committal Fraud + Hertfordshire Magistrates Court Misrepresentation Record Frauds +  
 Hertfordshire Magistrates Court Non-Disclosure Record Frauds

3. All Records on All Cases Full Disclosure Order for Citizen Mr Nkrumah against the Essex Police and the Metropolitan Police and the Crown Prosecution Service and Prison Service and Ministry of Justice
4. Disclosure Order for Citizen Mr Nkrumah against the Metropolitan Police that Commissioner Dame Cressider Dick do file and serve a Statement that explains the source of All Aliases for Citizen Mr Nkrumah on the Police National Computer
5. All Benefits Full Disclosure Order for Citizen Mr Nkrumah against the Department for Work and Pensions for the Stated Reason that Public Gallery Access Denial Fraud Conspiracy Proof gets a Fraud Presumption for Citizen Mr Nkrumah on everything else including Deduction Frauds from State Benefits and Benefits Appeal Hearing Notice Denial Frauds and In Absence Hearing Frauds and Full Accountability needs identification of the Deduction Beneficiaries
6. Full Disclosure Order for Citizen Mr Nkrumah against the London Borough of Barking and Dagenham Council that the Chief Executive and Chief Lawyer do within 7 days file and serve an Explanation Statement of All Evidence and All Evidence Verification Processes in All Cases relating to Citizen Mr Nkrumah and his Wife Ms Laetticia Mukasa for the Stated Reason that
  - 6.1. the Department of Work and Pensions have claimed that Benefit Deductions are for Housing Benefits that were not claimed and
  - 6.2. the Child Protection Case Evidence is the Crime Proceeds of a Drug Crime Framing Fraud.
7. Remedy Directions 1 Hour Hearing at \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_
8. Further discovery, enquiry, relief and remedy the cause of justice needs

*Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Application Refusals*

Evidence Offer Acceptance Notice by the Citizen to the State and Court

Evidence Acceptance Test Enquiry by the Citizen of the State

Court Witness Status Admission or Denial by the State

Court Witness Status Claim by the Citizen of the Court

*Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of a Court Witness Status Denial*

Crown Parliament  
Court of Appeal  
High Court  
Crown Court  
Magistrates Court

The People v Top Judges  
Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Solicitor General  
Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Solicitor General  
Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State  
Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Corrupt Officers

Corruption Remedy Royal Commission  
Contempt Fraud Appeal  
Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286  
Public Gallery Crime Trial  
Court fraud Criminal Conspiracy Summons Application

Royal Commission + Fraud Appeal + Public Gallery Rights Case Notice + Proposals

12<sup>th</sup> August 2021

Jurisdiction Witness Call by the Citizen for the Equity Lawyer

*Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of a Witness Call Refusal by the Court*

Witness Box Appearance by the Equity Lawyer

Oath taken by Usher

Jurisdiction Deficit Testimony of the Equity Lawyer that explains:

1. The Jury Oriented Style that uses the 3<sup>rd</sup> Person and Capital Letters to identity Familiar Phrases that help Lay Jurors achieve the Common Understanding needed to make Jury Findings
2. The Top Corruption Controls are General Elections and the Parliament Session Priorities
3. General Elections change the Governing Majority. It might be the Same Party with some of the Same Leaders but is not the Same People.
4. Parliament Session Jurisdictions decide Session Remedy Priorities for the Governing Majorities.
5. The Corruption Control Jurisdictions of the Citizen, Crown and Lord Bishops govern Parliament Session Powers. The Citizen has Investigation Jurisdiction. The Crown has Prosecution Jurisdiction. The Lord Archbishop have Court Lawyer Jurisdiction, one for the Trial Court and the other for the Appeal Court. The Lord Bishops have Adjudication Jurisdiction. There re 24 of them so that up to 12 can sit as Trial Jurors and up to 12 others can sit as Appeal Jurors
6. The Parliament Session Jurisdictions use the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. It is Official Records that are Justice Proof for Honourable Officers or Guilt Proof against Corrupt Officers or Credibility Irrelevance Proof for the Victim. It eliminates the need for Witness Attendances and Credibility Findings and enables Rapid Process of Trial Orders of the Crown.
7. An Equity Lawyer is anyone who has the commitment and expertise for Case Management that gets Proof Sets that meet the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard, and knows how to use it for the Parliament Session Jurisdictions
8. Corruption Proof gets a Corruption Case against the State + Justice Process Contempt Finding + Remedy Entitlement Finding + Remedy Priority Finding for the People against the Relevant Officer or Relevant Authority and Execution Responsibility Finding against a Cabinet Officer
9. A Remedy Success is Justice Proof for the Relevant Officer. A Remedy Failure gets and Unfitness Case + Remedy Failure Finding + Unfitness Finding + Dismissal Priority Finding for the People against the Relevant Officer and an Execution Responsibility Findings against the Relevant Authority.

10. The Ultimate Sanction is a Dismissal Decision against a Governing Majority using a Parliament Session Refusal and Forced General Election with Mass Publicity for the Corruption Proof and Remedy Denial Fraud Proof.
11. The Ultimate Sanction enables the imposition of Corruption Remedy Conditions in Parliament Session Agreements between the Crown and Prime Minister. In 2006 and 2017 Corruption Remedy Conditions required Forced Resignations, respectively from Prime Minister Mr Blair and Prime Minister Mrs May. In 2017 the Remedy Conditions required a Forced General Election before the Year End. The 2008 Dismissal Priority Finding against the Governing Majority used the 2009 Election Campaign Period for the Expense Accounts Scandals to get a 2010 General Election Candidacy Refusals by MPs or the Constituency Parties and a Power Transfer to a Coalition Majority
12. The Justice Priority needs Case Priority for the Corruption Case of the Citizen against All Cases of the State for the Stated Reason that the Parliament Session Jurisdictions rely on the Corruption Cases for Proof Sets that meet the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard.
13. The Justice Priority need Law Court Public Gallery Access + Observation Rights for the Citizen against the State and Law Courts for the Stated Reason that Case Event Statements and Court Practice Statements of Public Gallery Witnesses that are filed as Case Evidence for the Citizen are Admissible Evidence when Parliament Session Jurisdictions make Session Decisions.
14. The Justice Priority needs General Rules and Reasoned Exceptions. It needs an Evidence Balance that starts with a Validity Presumptions for the Citizen and Credibility Presumption for the State both of which are subject to Rebuttal Proof.
15. The Justice Priority needs a Neutrality Qualifications for Justice Offices managed by a Conflict Disclosure Voluntary Disclosure Obligation against Justice Agents.
16. The Justice Priority needs Transparent Adjudication Processes with
  - 16.1. The General Rule of Public Sessions + Session Opening + Adjudicator Identification + Court Officer Identification + Representatives Identification + Party Equality + Inequality Remedies + Issue Prioritisation + Representation Rights + Evidence Presentation + Relevance Adjudication + Admissibility Adjudication + Credibility Adjudication + Probity Adjudication + Finding Adjudication + Documented Decisions + Decision Reasons + Session Closure + Appeal Rights + Pending Appeal Enforcement Stays
  - 16.2. Rule Exception with Reasons + Appeal Rights
17. The Politicians made a Governance Plan.

- 17.1. It used a Substitution Fraud for the Obedience Priority of the State against the Justice Priority of the People.
- 17.2. It used Creeping Corruption using Progressive Empowerment Frauds + Progressive Practice Frauds + Obedience Demand Enforcement Frauds for the State against All Rights of the Citizen
- 17.3. It used Party Equality Denial Frauds + Neutrality Denial Frauds + Conflict Qualifications Frauds + Bias Frauds
- 17.4. It got a Schizophrenic Legal System with
  - 17.4.1. The Dictator Powers governing relations between the Individual and the State
  - 17.4.2. The Common Law governing relations between the Parliament Session Jurisdictions and the Governing Majority
- 17.5. It used Education Sabotage Frauds + Expertise Development Sabotage Frauds to deny a Reliable Flow of Corruption Remedy Standard Proof Sets needed for Efficient Function of the Parliament Session Jurisdictions
18. In every Member State of the European Union the Politicians lost control to State Officers and Law Court Judges. They developed a Protection Fraud Network to provide Support Services and Corruption Co-ordination. They sold Market Frauds to organised Crime, provided Protection Frauds for the Corrupt Offices who managed them and traded Glittering Career Guarantees and Job Profits in exchange for Fraud Services by Corrupt Parliamentarians. General Elections got Governing Majorities that were subject to Remedy Denial Fraud Majorities that were controlled by the Protection Fraud Network.
19. The Protection Fraud Networks manage the Protection Fraud Markets. They use Risk Control Protocols. The Worst Risk is Immunity Deals that get Criminal Conspiracy Proof against the Protection Fraud Supplier. The Protocol requires Fraud Commitment Proof from the Receiver before the Protection Fraud Supply. Routine Business uses Case Frauds as the Fraud Commitment Proof. Special Business requires New Frauds as Fraud Commitment Proof. Very Special Business requires Fraud Commitment Proof from the Supplier to give Receivers the confidence to commit the New Frauds needed to get the Protection Fraud Supply. Top Judges provide the Top Supply. Very Special Business Protocol enables the Top Protection Supplier, which might be the Top Judges, to get Fraud Co-operation from State Officers or Lower Rank Judges.
20. Honourable Politicians realised they had a Constitutional Monster. They knew that Remedy Management needed Corruption Control Jurisdiction that the State did not control. The

Parliament Session Jurisdictions were the Only Corruption Control Jurisdictions in the whole of the European Union that the State did not control. Honourable Politicians throughout the European Union relied on British Citizen for Remedy Management

21. British Citizens made an Equity Governance Recovery Plan. It had Two Strategies:
  - 21.1. The Citizen to develop of Advanced Electronic Signatures and Sophisticated Services that enabled the Prosperous Classes to manage Tax Haven Estates from their own homes and use Taxation Starvation to force the conversion from Dictator Governance to Equity Governance
  - 21.2. The Citizen to wait until a British Prime Minister was dependent on the Protection Fraud Network and use Criminal Conspiracy Proof for a Corruption Notice to the Crown and Parliament and get Revied Use of the Parliament Session Jurisdictions
22. The European Leaders wanted Referenda Acceptance of the Dictator Powers. In 2004 they prepared for the European Referenda that were planned for 2005. They needed Election Frauds to get Referenda Acceptance. They needed Dictator Power Concealment Frauds as Election Frauds. They needed Electronic Signature Dictator Power Concealment Frauds. They wanted Blackmail Fraud Empowerment for State Officers to make Immediate Obedience Demands supported by Financial Asset Access Denial Threats using Electronic Signature Dictator Powers. Exposure of the Election Frauds would create a Referenda Choice between Dictator Powers for the State and Financial Security for the Voter. It would get a Landslide Majority against Dictator Powers.
23. In 1998 Two British Citizens developed Writing Behaviour Analysis Technology. In 2003 Another British Citizen developed an Internet Document Management System that linked Document + Signature and provided Verification Services for the Document + Signature + Link. In 2004 Another British Citizen got a Fleet Management Contract for BP in Angola. He traded use of it as Priming Demand for Advanced Electronic Signatures in exchange for Business Support Services. The Advanced Electronic Signature World Lead for the British Citizens. It enabled Sophisticated Services and Enormous Wealth. The Dictator Powers and Sophisticated Services were Mutually Exclusions. The Sophisticated Services made inevitable the exposure of the Election Frauds.
24. The European Leaders made a Priority Choice for Dictator Powers against the Enormous Wealth. It needed Sabotage Fraud Plan against the Lawful Business of the British Citizens. It used Extradition Frauds against the British Contract Manager and Imprisonment Frauds against him and the Dutch Distribution Manger. The British and Dutch did not have an Extradition

Treaty. They did not have time to make one. The 1<sup>st</sup> Extradition Fraud Deal used a Drug Crime Investigation Fraud by the Dutch Authorities and an Assistance Request to the British Authorities for an Arrest Fraud against the British Citizen, Justice Perversion by Business Records Destruction to enable Business Denial Misrepresentation Frauds, a Vehicle Theft to enable Misrepresentation Frauds that Vehicle Parts Communications were Drug Trafficking Code, and Armed Dutch Police to supervise British Customs and Kent Police for a Kidnap Operation against the British Citizen.

25. Everything that could go wrong for the European Leaders did go wrong. They and the Dutch Investigators did not know that Complex Events got Incredible Target Status for the Extradition Fraud Target, the Top Drug Dealers had used His Addresses as Decoy Addresses for more than 70 Drug Shipments because, if anything went wrong, the Incredible Target Status would ensure Decoy Address Enquiries would get Dead End Results. They did not know the Extradition Fraud Set Up Arrangement would coincide with the Drug Consignment Transit. They did not know the Top Drug Dealers were To Customs, Top Police and Top Judges. British Customs received the Assistance Request. They had no Prior Experience of Extradition Frauds. They knew that a Drug Crime Complaint by the British Citizen to Kent Police, with Complex Events, got Incredible Target Stratus for him in Drug Crime Investigations. They thought he had discovered use of His Address as a Decoy Address, reported it and was assisting a Decoy Address Investigation. They got News Broadcasts for a Big Drugs Bust and International Co-operation and took control to manage Investigation Sabotage Frauds. The British Citizen was in a British Prison with Jury Trial Rights and an Investigation Record that was Compelling Innocence Proof for him and Compelling Guilt Proof against the Investigators.
26. The European Leaders made a 2<sup>nd</sup> Extradition Fraud Deal. It needed Innocence Evidence Concealment Frauds by the British Authorities against the British Citizen before completion of the Imprisonment Frauds by the Dutch Authorities. A Criminal Conspiracy Deal got Extradition Fraud Services for the State in exchange for Protection Frauds for the Top Drug Dealers. Also, it got a Drug Crime Profit Share for Prime Minister Mr Blair at the expense of the Top Judges.
27. The 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Extradition Fraud Deals got Criminal Conspiracy Proof. Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis used it for a Corruption Notice to the Crown and Parliament. It got Revived Use of the Parliament Session Jurisdictions. It got a Corruption Remedy Process that has continued ever since with Investigation Services by the Equity Lawyer.
28. The Remedy Process got Innocence Evidence Concealment Fraud Proof + Corruption Findings + Remedy Entitlement Findings + Judge Dismissal Priority Findings against Law Court Judges

and an Execution Responsible Finding against Prime Minister Mr Blair. He could not service the Dismissal Decision because he had issued the Fraud Orders. In 2006 the Remedy Failure got an Unfitness Case + Remedy Failure Finding + Unfitness Finding + Dismissal Priority Finding against Prime Minister Mr Blair. The 2006 Parliament Session Agreement required a 10 Months Retirement Notice + Retirement Mass Publicity from Prime Minister Mr Blair. He spent the Retirement Notice Period making Protection Fraud Arrangements that he hoped would last his lifetime.

29. The Protection Fraud Arrangements included:

29.1. Legal Service Criminal Offences for Unqualified Persons.

29.2. An Obvious Disqualification Fraud against a Qualified Lawyer to enable Disqualification Blackmail Frauds against All Qualified Professionals to get Case Sabotage Frauds against Corruption Victims using Passive Service Denials or Active Frauds.

29.3. Mental Health Frauds against Corruption Victims and Corruption Whistle Blowers

30. The Parliament Session Priorities to include Prosecution Prohibitions for Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis against the Cabinet to enable

31. The 2007 Parliament Session Agreement contained Corruption Remedy Conditions that Prime Minister Mr Brown could not perform because of the Remedy Denial Majority controlled by the Protection Fraud Network. He thought he could avoid them by calling a General Election and keep secret the reason why. He needed Bankruptcy Frauds against the Equity Lawyer as Fraud Commitment Proof from Law Court Judges. They made a Bankruptcy Fraud Plan. It needed a Hearing Notice Denial Fraud to get an Appearance Failure by the Equity Lawyer and use of it for an In Absence Appeal Dismissal Fraud by the High Court that enable Bankruptcy Frauds by the County Court. Unusual Activity in the County Courts raised Fraud Conspiracy Suspicions against the High Court. A Hearing Date Enquiry Telephone Call from the Equity Lawyer got a Tomorrow Response from the High Court Listing Officer. A Hearing Notice Failure Reason Enquiry by the Equity Lawyer got a No Address Reply Fraud by the Listing Officer. A Surprise Appearance at the Secret Hearing broke the confidence of the High Court Justice for the Appeal Dismissal Fraud. Soon afterwards Election Blackmail by Media Mogul Mr Murdoch made Specific Demands supported by Election Reason Exposure Threats. The Bankruptcy fraud Failure and the Election Blackmail broke the confidence of Prime Minister Mr Brown to hold the general Election. He signed the Parliament Session Agreement knowing that he had No Hope of achieving Condition Performance. The Condition Breach Proof got Remedy failure Findings + Unfitness Findings + Dismissal Priority Findings against Prime Minister Mr Brown

and the Governing Majority. The 2009 Expense Account Scandals in the Election Campaign Period got Repeat Candidacy Refusals by MPs and Constituency Parties for the 2010 General Election broke the Labour Majority and got a Governing Majority for the Coalition of what had been the Opposition Parties.

32. The 2010 Parliament Session Agreement required a Lord Session of 2 ½ years to enable Corruption Investigations of the Law Courts by the Lord Chancellor for the Crown. It got Remedy Co-operation from Prime Minister Mr Cameron, Deputy Prime Minister Mr Clegg and Opposition Leader Mr Miliband. It got Trial Fraud Proof, Appeal Fraud Proof and Protection Fraud Proof against the Law Courts. The Lord Bishops made a Remedy Priority Decision for the Victims. They did not know who they were. A Negotiation Authority from the Lord Bishops permitted the trade of Immunity Terms from Parliament in exchange for Remedy Co-operation that included Victim Identification from Top Judges. It got a Negotiation Refusal by the Protection Fraud Network and a Corruption Continuity Plan. It needed an Intimidation Fraud against Parliament, Remedy Denial Frauds until the 2015 General Election and then whatever was needed to get a Corrupt Majority and Immunity Frauds.
33. The Intimidation Fraud against Parliament used the Plebgate Scandal and the Dismissal Fraud against the Chief Whip. It failed. The Equity Lawyer had prepared the Crawley Beating Case. It got Jury Trial Fraud Conspiracy Proof against Sussex Police and the Sussex Top Civil Judge. The Crown and Lord Bishops used it to vest Corruption Remedy Powers in a Royal Commission.
34. The Remedy Process got Protection Fraud Proof against the State, Profession Authorities and the Law Courts. The Crown and Lord Bishops used of the Police Federation Conference by Parliament for a Corruption Finding Notice + Remedy Co-operation Demand + Dismissal Threat. The Remedy Co-operation Tests of Top Judges started on 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2014. They continued to sign Protection Frauds until they realised there were Test Cases. They used Unsigned Documents to enable Not Me Defences and Signature Pages with Unsigned Substance Pages for Signature Admission and Decision Denial Defences. They used Identity Misrepresentation Record Frauds and Case Misrepresentation Frauds as Protection Frauds for Corrupt Officers. It created the need for Audio Records as Personal Responsibility Proof against Identifiable Individuals. In late September and early October 2014, Citizen Cases got the Audio Record Proof Set.
35. The Protection Fraud Network made a Corruption Continuity Plan. It needed Hung Parliament Propaganda Frauds in the hope of getting a Hung Parliament and use of Coalition Negotiations

to get a Corrupt Majority and Immunity Frauds. It needed the Back Up Plan in case the Hung Parliament Plan failed, and a Business Expansion Plan to avoid Total Collapse. The Back Up Plan needed Ruin Frauds against the Equity Lawyer and Prime Minister to deny the Remedy Process Investigation Services and Execution Services and a Ruin Fraud against a Remedy Protester for Power Boast Purposes. The Business Expansion Plan used Family Sabotage Frauds + Children Thefts to get Big Budgets + Budget Fraud Profits and Expatriation Frauds to get Money Laundering Services from Sovereign States. It needed Protection Fraud Reassurance for the Corrupt Officers who managed Paedophile Protection Frauds.

36. Remedy Co-operation from Prime Minister Mr Cameron, Deputy Prime Minister Mr Clegg gave the Crown and Lord Bishops they could expect Remedy Co-operation from whoever won the 2015 General Election. The Remedy Co-operation Conditions in the Parliament Session Agreement were designed to get the Criminal Conspiracy Proof needed for Dismissal Cases against Top Judges and to silence the Corrupt Parliamentarians who provided Protection Frauds.
37. The 2015 General Election got a Governing Majority for Prime Minister Mr Cameron. A European Referenda Commitment got it. He could not manage the European Referenda and the Judge Dismissal Cases at the same time. He made a Priority Decision for the European Referenda because the Corruption Exposure of the Dismissal Cases would get Leave Votes.
38. The Equity Lawyer identified the Ruin Fraud Cases. The Innocent Agent and the Remedy Protester co-operated. All of the Ruin Fraud Cases got Criminal Conspiracy Proof against the State and Law Courts. The Innocence Agent made a Negotiation Offer to the Police Officer Witnesses for Immunity Terms in exchange for Remedy Co-operation that included Full Disclosure of the Framing Fraud Orders. The Police Officers wanted Immunity Deals. The Protection Fraud Network needed Intimidation Frauds to get Repeat Perjury from the Police Officer Witnesses, Repeat Representation Frauds from the Prosecutors and Repeat Trial Frauds from the Junior Appeal Judges at the Framing Fraud Appeal.
39. Prime Minister Mr Cameron led the European Referenda Remain Campaign, lost and resigned. It was an Honourable Resignation. The Protection Fraud Network needed Protection Frauds from whoever won the Leadership Contest. They bought Leadership Votes for MP Mrs May because she had managed the Ruin Fraud Conspiracy against Prime Minister Mr Cameron. It got a 5 Year Delay for the Dismissal Cases against Top Judges
40. The Intimidation Frauds needed a Harassment Criminal Investigation Fraud + Family Sabotage Fraud + Employment Sabotage Fraud against a 2<sup>nd</sup> Remedy Protester on the Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday before the Framing Fraud Appeal on the Friday. The Corrupt Investigators

demanded Fraud Commitment Proof from the Law Courts. They were given a Harassment Civil Claim by the Police and Crime Commissioner and Chief Executive against the 2<sup>nd</sup> Remedy Protester, and Directions + Costs Frauds by the Civil Courts. The Corrupt Investigators accepted it as Fraud Commitment Proof. The Ruin Frauds against the 2<sup>nd</sup> Remedy Protester got the Repeat Frauds against the Innocent Agent at the Framing Fraud Appeal.

41. The Directions Fraud Appeal Permission Refusal Fraud + Seal respectively on 4<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> April 2017 completed the Fraud Conspiracy Proof Set against Top State Officers and Law Court Judges. The Crown and Lord Bishops used it to decide the Parliament Session Priorities. They required a Long Session of 2 ½ years to complete the Corruption Investigations of the Law Courts, get Criminal Conspiracy Proof for Unfitness Cases against Top Judges and use them for Protection Fraud Investigations in Parliament.
42. The Crown Prosecutors used a Harassment Charge Change Hearing to get an Audio Record of a No Case Admission by them for the 2<sup>nd</sup> Remedy Protester. It got Trial Orders that were Fraud Proof against the Crown Court Judges. It was part of the Criminal Conspiracy Proof Set that got Corruption Findings + Remedy Entitlement Findings + Remedy Priority Findings + Remedy Failure Findings + Unfitness Findings + Dismissal Priority Findings and in 2019 a Forced Resignation from Prime Minister Mrs May and a Forced General Election against the Governing Majority.
43. The December 2019 Parliament Session Priorities were to get Remedy Proof for the Law Courts or Corruption Proof Sets for Unfitness Dismissal Cases against Top Judges in Parliament. Corrupt Officers used Case Misrepresentation Frauds and Case Non-Disclosure Frauds and Identity Misrepresentation Frauds and Identity Non-Disclosure Frauds as Protection Frauds for Responsible Individuals. It created a need for Audio Records as Personal Responsibility Proof against Identifiable Individuals.
44. On 16<sup>th</sup> April 2021 the Remedy Process got completion of the Court Fraud Audio Record Proof Sets against the High Court and Court of Appeal.
45. Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286 got Restraint Fraud Proof against the High Court. The Restraint Renewal Order of the Previous Restraint Order against the Equity Lawyer with an Additional Jury Oriented Style Use Restraint Renewal Order All Citizens in All Cases from using the phrases
  - 45.1. Reference to an ‘Equity Lawyer’ or similar
  - 45.2. Reference to the Citizen /Applicant as ‘Citizen’

- 45.3. Use of the phrases ‘Corruption Claim’, ‘Prosecution Fraud’ or any similar combination of a noun and ‘Fraud’
- 45.4. Use of the phrase ‘Proof Sets’
- 45.5. Any other features that provide reasonable grounds for believing that the Claim Form or Application Notice has been prepared by or on behalf of Mr Ellis
46. The Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286 was used for a Jury Oriented Style Restraint Breach Contempt Imprisonment Application for the use the word ‘Equity Lawyer’ and ‘Citizen’ and Corruption Claim and Prosecution Fraud and ‘Various Nouns + Fraud’ and ‘Other Features’ such as ‘Remedy Proposals ‘ and ‘+’. It got a Liability Trial Frauds and Trial Fraud Appeals at every stage of it, and Pending Appeal Trial Stay Protection Breach Contempt Frauds at every stage of it, and a Liability Finding Fraud, and
47. On 16<sup>th</sup> April 2021 Fraud Appeal 2020 01368 B1 Permission Hearing got completion of the Court Fraud Audio Record Proof Set against the Court of Appeal. The Hearing List for the Court of Appeal gave Adjudicator Identities but omission of them from the Permission Refusal Order is Identification Denial Fraud Proof. It is Similar Fact Evidence to the Identification Refusal Frauds committed at the Motoring Hearing and the Emergency Worker Assault Hearings.
48. The Crown and Lord Bishops used it to decide Remedy Priorities for the Parliament Session that opened on 11<sup>th</sup> May 2021. It needed Responsibility Apportionment between Case Remedies by the Law Courts and Mass Remedies by Parliament. It needed Integrity Test Cases. They used Top Judge Dismissal Case Notices to discover whether they got Corruption Remedies from Lower Rank Judges. Fraud Cases had been prepared for the purpose.
49. The Cyprus Gardens Lease Possession Claim Fraud F02ED793 got Hearing Denial Fraud Proof for the Citizen Owner and the Parliament Session Jurisdictions against the Criminal Landlord, the Landlord Lawyers and the County Court.
50. The Bow Fast Food Shop Theft Enforcement Claim Fraud G02EC632 got Adjournment and Costs Fraud Conspiracy Proof for the Citizen Owner and Parliament Session Jurisdictions against the Criminal Buyers, the Buyer Lawyers, the Seller Lawyers and the County Court.
51. The Trust Claim Fraud B01B0837 got a Hearing Notice and Case Allocation by Permanent Judges to a Temporary Judge. On 1<sup>st</sup> June 2021 the Temporary Judges used a No Case Papers Reason for an Adjournment Order and a Case Allocation to the Permanent Judges and a Hearing Priority Notice for the Beneficiary Victim against the Criminal Trustee.

52. The Divorce Property ZC14D02308 Fraud got a Hearing Notice and a No Case Papers Reason for an Adjournment Order by the Permanent Judges. He had committed a series of Court Frauds over a period of 4 years. The Remedy Process broke his confidence for Fraud Completion. He avoided the Adjourned Hearing by announcing that his Retirement Date was 10<sup>th</sup> September 2021.
53. The Land Fraud Integrity Tests got Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against the Civil Courts
54. The Motoring Case Public Gallery Witness Test got the Public Gallery Fraud Conspiracy Proof + Emergency Worker Assault Prosecution Fraud Proof + Trial Fraud Proof + Contempt Remedy Denial Fraud Proof for Citizen Mr Nkrumah against the State and Criminal Courts. The Integrity Test Events on 5<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> July 2021 got Test Reports dated 5<sup>th</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2021 and the Plea Hearing on 28<sup>th</sup> July 2021 got an Exclusion Fraud against the Equity Lawyer as Defence Witness, Legal Assistant, Public Gallery Witness and Integrity Test Manager and then and Forecourt to Public Footpath Violent Eviction Fraud. When Citizen Mr Nkrumah arrived, it got Blackmail Frauds by the Security Guards against Citizen Mr Nkrumah by making a Repeat Demands for a Repeat Forecourt to Public Footpath Eviction Fraud by the Citizen against the Equity Lawyer a Court Access Condition. The Plea Hearing got a Bench Equality Denial Contempt Fraud + Dock Entry Demand Intimidation Contempt Fraud + Dock Lock Aggravated Intimidation Contempt Fraud + Prosecutor and Court Lawyer and Adjudicator Identification Denial Contempt Frauds + Hearing Session Validity Pretence Contempt Frauds + No Jurisdiction Plea Rejection Fraud + Case Dismissal Application Hearing Denial Contempt Fraud + Committal Contempt Fraud It is Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against the Criminal Courts
55. The Tests Results are Remedy Unfitness Proof against the Law Courts and increased the Mass Remedy Responsibilities of Parliament
56. Remedy Proposals
- 56.1. Justice Priority Obligation Finding for the Citizen and People against the Obedience Priority of the State
- 56.2. Justice Priority Management Method Fining for the Common Law Methods of Truth Discovery and Just Reasoning against the Status Declaration Method of the State
- 56.3. Jurisdiction Superiority Finding for the Corruption Case of the Citizen against All Cases of the State.
- 56.4. Law Court Public Gallery Access Rights Finding + Public Gallery Observation Rights Finding for the Citizen and People against the Premises Control Powers of the State and Law Courts

56.5. Motor Case Call Finding + Adjudicator Identification Refusal Finding + Adjudicator Status Forfeit Finding + Public Gallery Clearance Finding + Public Gallery Clearance Order Deficit Finding + Invalid Public Gallery Clearance Finding + Fraud Finding + Conspiracy Finding + Arrest Contempt Fraud Finding + Assault Contempt Fraud Finding + Custody Contempt Fraud Finding + Investigation Contempt Fraud Finding + Emergency Worker Assault Charge Contempt Fraud Finding + Bail Weekly Reporting Condition Contempt Fraud Finding + Corruption Summons Application Process Denial Contempt Fraud Finding + Contempt Remedy Application Process Denial Contempt Fraud Finding + Bail Condition Revocation Application Process Denial Contempt Fraud Finding + Remand Hearing Notice Contempt Fraud + Parliament Session Integrity Test Notice + Defence Witness Court Access Obstruction Contempt Fraud Finding + Legal Assistance Court Access Public Gallery Witness Court Access Obstruction Contempt Fraud Finding + Adjudicator Identification Refusal Contempt Fraud Finding + Adjudicator Status Forfeit Finding + Adjudicator Recusal Failure Contempt Fraud Finding + Party Equality Assistance Denial Fraud Finding + Witness Call by Citizen Finding + Witness Box Appearance by Witness Finding + Testimony Hearing Refusal Contempt Fraud Finding + Application Dismissal Pronouncement Contempt Fraud Finding + 14<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> July 2021 Plea Hearings Repeat Exclusion Fraud Findings + 28<sup>th</sup> July 2021 Plea Hearing Representation Bench Equality Denial Fraud + 28<sup>th</sup> July 2021 Dock Use Intimidation Contempt Fraud + Dock Lock Aggravated Intimidation Contempt Fraud + Plea Hearings Adjudicator and Court Lawyer Identity Refusal Findings + Plea Hearing Case Dismissal Application Refusal Fraud Findings + Crown Court Committal Fraud Finding + Contempt Liability Finding + Remedy Entitlement Finding + Remedy Priority Finding + Emergency Worker Assault Case Dismissal Order + Arrest Resistance Case Dismissal + Without Consent Official Records Use Prohibition Restraint + Contempt Remedy Directions 1 Hour Hearing at 2 p.m. on September 2021 at Crown Court for the Citizen against the State

56.6. Contempt Fraud Investigation Order + Investigator Appointment Reference to the Cabinet

56.7. Further discovery, enquiry, relief and remedy the cause of justice needs