

Public Gallery Rights Case Notice + Court Record Frauds Case Notice

Without Prejudice to Invalidity Arguments + Contempt and Terrorism Penalty Warning

Integrity Notice for the Parliament Session Jurisdictions

Jurisdiction Evidence + Liability Defence Evidence Offer by the Equity Lawyer to Parties + Court

Tuesday + Thursday Witness Unavailability Notice due to Kidney Failure Dialysis Treatment

Before Hearing Offer Acceptance Notice from the Citizen to the State and Court

Before Hearing Offer Acceptance Opportunity for the State

Before Hearing Evidence Notice to the Crown Court

1. **Court Motion Public Gallery Rights Case Acknowledgement + Public Gallery Rights Access and Observation Rights Acknowledgement + Rights Public Gallery Rights Hearing Notice for 31st August 2021 + Public Gallery Clearance and Invasion Authority Evidence Disclosure Order + Order Breach Contempt and Terrorism Penalty Warning for the Citizen against the State for the Jurisdiction Deficit Investigation Reasons that:**
 - 1.1. **The Top Corruption Controls are General Elections and Parliament Session Priorities. General Elections change the Governing Majority. They are a Risk Control against Power Concentrations. The Parliament Session Priorities are a Justice Quality Control.**
 - 1.2. **The Citizen, Crown and Lord Bishops have Corruption Control Jurisdictions that govern Parliament Session Powers. They decide the Corruption Remedy Priorities of the Governing Majority in the Parliament Session. The Citizen has Investigation Jurisdiction. The Crown has Prosecution Jurisdiction. The Lord Bishops have Adjudication Jurisdiction. They use the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. It is Official Records that are Justice Proof for Honourable Officers or Guilt Proof against Corrupt Officers and Credibility Irrelevance Proof for the Victim. An Equity Lawyer is anyone who has the commitment and competence to get Proof Sets that meet the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard, and knows how to uses it for the Parliament Session Jurisdictions.**
 - 1.3. **The Investigation Jurisdiction of the Citizen relies on Case Management Authority from the Individual and Case Management Observation from the Public Gallery. State Officers need Public Gallery Access Authority that is:**
 - 1.3.1. **Access Permission from the Citizen or**

1.3.2. An Identified Adjudicator who makes a Case Call for an Open Session that is subject to Public Gallery Observation and makes a Valid Public Gallery Clearance Order with Reason and Automatic Appeal Rights because an Invalid Clearance Order terminates the Hearing Session and the Adjudication Powers

1.4. The 2007 Parliament Session Priorities required a Validity Priority Precedent. The House of Lords used the 2008 Case R v Clarke to declare that validity has priority in every case.

1.5. The Validity Priority in a Public Gallery Rights Case requires a Rights Investigation to discover whether State Officers had Entry Authority or had Burglar + Kidnapper Status

1.6. In 2019 Citizen Mr Nkrumah gave use of his cases for a Corruption Investigation of the Parliament Session Jurisdictions. It got Record Fraud Proof against the Ministry of Justice and Law Courts. It is Compelling Criminal Conspiracy Proof against Top Judges, Top State Officers in the Ministry of Justice and Top Police.

1.7. The Corruption Remedy Conditions are either Remedy Management Conditions or Remedy Delivery Conditions. The 2021 Session Priorities included both Set-Up Conditions and Delivery Conditions. The Set-Up Conditions needed Responsibility Apportionment between Case Remedies by Law Courts and Mass Remedies by Parliament. It needed Integrity Tests between Session Opening Day on 11th May 2021 and the Summer Recess.

1.8. On 12th May 2021 Citizen Mr Nkrumah sat in the Public Gallery and witnessed Motor Case Hearing. The Adjudicator Identification Request by the Defendant Frauds that included an Adjudicator Identification Fraud.

**Defence Application in the event of a Court Motion Default
Appeal Leave in the event of an Application Refusal**

2. Court Motion Similar Fact Cases Suspicion + Crime Conspiracy Similarity Suspicion + Crime Profit Motive Finding + Budget Fraud Profit Motive Finding + Asset Theft Profit Motive Fraud + Crime Framing Fraud Method Finding + Family Sabotage Fraud Method Finding for Citizens Mr Nkrumah and Mrs Theodorou against the State for the Jurisdiction Deficit Investigation Reasons and the Similar Fact Case Investigation Reasons that:

2.1. In 2016 Citizen Mrs Theodorou gave use of her cases to a Corruption Investigation of the Parliament Session Jurisdictions.

2.2. The Divorce ZC14D02308 Property Case got Compelling Criminal Conspiracy Proof against Mr Theodorou, His Representatives Galbraith Branley, Trial Judge Mr Stone, Appeal Judge Ms Carp, Anonymous Appeal Judges and Supervising Judges. A series of Conflict Disqualification Recusal Applications and Fraud Revocation Applications got Recusal Refusal Fraud Proof and Fraud Remedy Denial Fraud Conspiracy against All Relevant Judges.

2.3. The Wardship Claim FD20P00642 for the 2 Youngest Stolen and Expatriated Children got an Order Recital that is Child Case Jurisdiction Denial Fraud Conspiracy Proof for Mrs Theodorou, All 6 Children and the Parliament Session Jurisdictions against the Mr Theodorou, His Representatives, the State, the Family Court, High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court.

2.4. The 2021 Parliament Session Priorities required Integrity Tests of the Law Courts to decide the Responsibility Apportionment between Case Remedies by Law Courts and Mass Remedies by Parliament. It needed Integrity Tests to discover whether Top Judge Corruption Dismissal Case Notices got Fraud Remedies by Low Rank Judges.

2.5. The Integrity Test Series needed:

2.5.1. Passive Tests that got Fraud Remedies or discovered the Management Details of Hearing Denial Frauds

2.5.2. Active Tests that got Fraud Remedies or discovered the Management Details of Hearing Frauds

2.5.3. Court Fraud Remedy Tests of Case Judges who had No Prior Known Guilt

2.5.4. Court Fraud Remedy Tests of Case Judges who had Prior Known Guilt

2.6. The Divorce ZC14D02308 Fraud Revocation Application Adjourned to 9th July 2021 got an Active Test Fitness Finding and a Prior Guilt Test Fitness Finding. The Integrity Test Notice motivated an In Absence Hearing Fraud Conspiracy by Mr Theodorou, His Representatives, Trial Judge Mr Stone and the Supervising Judges. It needed a No Case Papers Reason Fraud + Adjournment Fraud + 10 September 2021 Retirement Notice from Trial Judge Mr Stone + Decision Proof Service Denial Fraud + Hearing Date Notice Service Denial Fraud + Appeal Process Denial Fraud + Appearance Failure + In Absence Hearing Fraud + Fraud Completion. The Decision Proof Demands + Fraud Appeal got Acknowledgement Denial Frauds. On Friday 20th August 2021 a Confidence Loss got a Hearing Attendance Enquiry Email at 13.52 from Judge Oliver Jones' Clerk Mr Dilip Sajad was a Hearing Notice. The Response Email at 17.38 from Citizen Mrs Theodorou was a Decision Proof Service Denial

Complaint + Hearing Notice Service Denial Complaint + Appeal Process Denial

Complaint. It got a Decision Proof Service Email at 10.36 on Saturday 21st August 2021 from a Family Court Officer. On 23rd August 2021 it got Fraud Remedy Proposals and a Hearing Appearance by Citizen Mrs Theodorou and Hearing Management that was Fraud Commitment Proof against the Fraud Conspirators and then a Chronis Stress Illness Adjournment Application by Mr Theodorou that got a Confidence Collapse and Adjournment Order + Recorder Case Allocation by Trial Judge Mr Stone. The Audio Record completes the Fraud Conspiracy Proof Set against the Case Conspirators and Retired Judges.

Defence Application in the event of a Court Motion Default

Appeal Leave in the event of an Application Refusal

3. Court Motion Court Record Investigation Order for the Citizen against the State that

3.1. The Royal Courts High Court Listing Office Manager and the Ministry of Justice Chief Administration Officer do within 7 days file and serve:

3.1.1. All Records for QC 2018 0239

3.1.2. All Case Records for Citizen Mr Francis Zarb v Miss Hanifa Naluyima

3.1.3. All Records for Royal Court 37 Application Hearing on 18th September 2019 by Citizen Mr Zarb

3.2. Citizen Mr Zarb do file and serve within 7 days thereafter an Explanation Statement of the Case Records he has for comparison with the Case Records produced by the High Court and Ministry of Justice

Defence Application in the event of a Court Motion Default

Appeal Leave in the event of an Application Refusal

4. Court Motion Court Record Investigation Order for the Citizen against the State that:

4.1. The Barnet Family Court Chief Administration Officer and the Ministry of Justice Chief Administration Officer do within 7 days file and produce the Divorce ZC14D02308 Property Case Directions Order dated 9th July 2021 and the Directions Order Fraud Appeal of Citizen Mrs Theodorou and an Explanation Statement of the filing and service of the Directions Order and the Appeal Process

4.2. Citizen Mrs Theodorou do file and serve within 7 days thereafter an Explanation Statement of the Case Records he has for comparison with the Case Records produced by the Family Court and the Ministry of Justice

Defence Application in the event of a Court Motion Default

Appeal Leave in the event of an Application Refusal

Crown Parliament The People v Top Judges Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
Crown Court Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Public Gallery Crime Trial
Magistrates Court Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Corrupt Officers Court fraud Criminal Conspiracy Summons Application
Family Court Citizen Mrs Theodorou v Mr Theodorou Divorce ZC124D02308 Property Fraud Revocation

Royal Commission + Fraud Appeal + Public Gallery Rights Case Notice + Proposals 28th August 2021
St Albans Crown Court Hearing listed for 31st August 2021 + Case Call

Representation Bench Equality Claim for the Citizen and State

Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Bench Equality Refusal

Identification of Citizen + Crown Prosecutor + Court Lawyer + Judge

Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Identification Refusals

Identification Refusal Recusal Application in the event of Identification Refusals

Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Recusal Refusals

Anonymous Prosecutor / Court Lawyer / Adjudicator Hearing Session Invalidation Declaration

Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Declaration Refusals

No Known Conflict Voluntary Declaration by the Prosecutor and Court Lawyer and Adjudicator

Voluntary Declaration Failure No Known Conflict Declaration Application if needed

Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Declaration Refusals

Prosecutor Assistance Representation Equality Claim by the Citizen

Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Assistance Refusal

Court Lawyer Assistance Representation Equality Claim by the Citizen

Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Assistance Refusal

Public Gallery Rights Investigation Hearing Classification Claim by the Citizen

Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Claim Denial

Court Motion Jurisdiction Deficit Investigation Order Enquiry by Citizen

Jurisdiction Deficit Investigation Order by Citizen in the event of a Court Motion Default

Appeal Leave in the event of an Application Refusal

Charge by the Court Lawyer

No Jurisdiction Plea + No Liability Plea Without Prejudice to Invalidity Arguments by the Citizen

Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of a No Jurisdiction Plea Acceptance Refusal

Preliminary Issue Contest between the Law Court Public Gallery Access + Observation Rights of the Citizen against the Public Gallery Clearance Powers of the State and Law Courts

Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of a Preliminary Issue Denial

Loud Reading of the Case Dismissal Application of the Citizen by the Assistant or Citizen

Conflicted Issue Discovery Application of the Citizen against the State that the Crown Prosecutor and the Hearing Judge admit, deny or require proof of the Jurisdiction Issues and Case Facts:

1. The Justice Agent has a Neutrality Obligation, and Conflicted Interest Voluntary Disclosure Obligation, and Identification Obligation
2. The Citizen has Neutrality Investigation Rights and Conflict Disqualification Investigation Rights
3. A Neutrality Obligation Breach or Conflict Disclosure Obligation Breach or Identification Obligation Breach is a Justice Office Disqualification and a Process Invalidation
4. Justice Perversion Criminal Conspiracy by the State and Law Courts for the use of Identity Frauds for Justice Agents and Restraint Frauds against Citizens. The Fraud Proof includes:
 - 4.1. The Contempt Trial Fraud HQ16X00733 General Civil Restraint Order dated 26th February 2018 against Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis includes an Additional Jury Oriented Style Use Restraint Order against All Citizens that reads:
 - 4.1.1. Reference to an 'Equity Lawyer' or similar
 - 4.1.2. Reference to the Citizen /Applicant as 'Citizen'
 - 4.1.3. Use of the phrases 'Corruption Claim', 'Prosecution Fraud' or any similar combination of a noun and 'Fraud'
 - 4.1.4. Use of the phrase 'Proof Sets'
 - 4.1.5. Any other features that provide reasonable grounds for believing that the Claim Form or Application Notice has been prepared by or on behalf of Mr Ellis
 - 4.2. The Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286 Restraint Renewal Order + Additional Style Use Restraint Renewal Order dated 12th February 2020 against the Equity Lawyer and All Citizens
 - 4.3. The Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286 Liability Trial Frauds
 - 4.4. The Trial Fraud Appeals to the Court of Appeal against each of the Liability Trial Frauds
 - 4.5. The 2 Without Hearing Trial Fraud Appeal Dismissal Frauds by the Court of Appeal
 - 4.6. The 4 Trial Fraud Appeal Issue Denial Frauds by the Court of Appeal
 - 4.7. The Pending Appeal Trial Stay Protection Breach Frauds by the High Court
 - 4.8. The Restraint Breach Contempt Liability Finding dated 16th December 2021 for the use of 'Equity Lawyer' + 'Corruption Claim' + 'Prosecution Fraud' + 'Other Nouns + Fraud' + 'Proof Sets' + Other Feature '+'

Royal Commission + Fraud Appeal + Public Gallery Rights Case Notice + Proposals 28th August 2021

4.9. The Penalty Fraud of a 2 Year Suspended Imprisonment Sentence dated 16th April 2021

4.10. The Trial Fraud Appeal 2020 10368 B1 Adjudicator Identification Refusal Fraud that is evidenced by the Adjudicator Identity Omission from the Permission Refusal dated 16th April 2021

5. Restraint Fraud Justice Perversion Criminal Conspiracy by Essex Law Court Judges and Essex Police Chief Constable for Court Building Access Denial Frauds and Public Gallery Access Denial Frauds and Public Gallery Clearance Frauds

6. On 12th May 2021 Citizen Mr Nkrumah sat in the Public Gallery at Southend Magistrates and witnessed:

6.1. The Uninsured Driving + Unroadworthy Tyres 2000097035 + 2000097078 of Mr Victor Momoh v State Case Call,

6.2. Party Appearances,

6.3. Identification by the Defendant,

6.4. Adjudicator Identity Request by the Defendant,

6.5. Identity Refusal by the Adjudicator that:

6.5.1. Invalidated the Adjudicator Status of the Anonymous Judicial Bench Occupant

6.5.2. Invalidated the Hearing Session Status

6.5.3. Reduced the Anonymous Judicial Bench Occupant to Unauthorised Person Status

6.6. Judicial Bench Vacation by the Unauthorised Person

6.7. Secret Justice Perversion Criminal Conspiracy + Public Gallery Clearance Contempt Fraud Conspiracy by the Unauthorised Person, the Anonymous Court Lawyer and Essex Police

6.8. Court Room Appearance Intimidation Contempt Fraud by Essex Police Officers

6.9. Inaudible Instructions from the Court Lawyer to the Essex Police Officers

6.10. Public Gallery Entry Intimidation Contempt Fraud by the Essex Police Officers

6.11. Public Gallery Vacation Demand Intimidation Contempt Fraud by the Essex Police Officers

6.12. Public Gallery Rights Assertion by the Citizen

6.13. Public Gallery Rights Denial Intimidation Contempt Fraud + Violent Arrest Contempt Fraud + Assault Contempt Fraud by the Essex Police Officers against the Citizen

6.14. Emergency Worker Assault Framing Contempt Fraud Conspiracy by the Arrest Officers + Investigation Officers + Custody Officers + Supervising Officers and Case Approval Crown Prosecutors against the Citizen

6.15. Bail Weekly Reporting Condition Disproportionality Contempt Fraud by the Custody Officers + Supervising Officers + Case Approval Crown Prosecutors

Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of an Order Refusal

Applications in the event of a Rights Denial or Order Refusal

Public Gallery Access Rights Declaration for the Citizen against the State

Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of a Declaration Refusal

Neutral Adjudication Rights Declaration + Conflicted Interest Voluntary Disclosure + Adjudicator

Identification Rights Declaration for the Citizen against the State

Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of a Declaration Refusal

Adjudicator Identification Hearing Session Dependency Declaration for the Citizen against the State

Reasons Application + Appeal Leave in the event of a Declaration Refusal

Case Dismissal + Contempt Fraud Remedy Applications for:

1. Findings Set: Uninsured Driving an Unroadworthy Tyres 2000097035 and 2000097078 Case Call + Adjudicator Identification Refusal Finding + Adjudicator Anonymity Disqualification Finding + No Valid Hearing Session Finding + No Public Gallery Clearance Powers Finding + Public Gallery Clearance Conspiracy Finding + Fraud Finding + Contempt Finding for the Citizen against the State
2. Case Dismissal Order + Contempt Liability Order for the Citizen against the State for the Stated Reason that the Motor Case Call got Defendant Identification and then an Identification Refusal by the Hearing Judge that was an Adjudicator Status Disqualification and a Hearing Session Validity Disqualification and Unauthorised Person Status for the Anonymous Occupier of the Judicial Bench and Justice Perversion Contempt Fraud Conspirator Status for everyone involved in the Public Gallery Clearance Contempt Fraud + Arrest Contempt Fraud + Assault Contempt Fraud + Custody Contempt Fraud + Assault Charge Contempt Fraud + Bail Weekly Reporting Conditions + Corruption Summons Process Denial Fraud + Contempt Remedy Application Process Denial Fraud + Bail Condition Revocation Applications Process Denial Fraud + Remand Hearing Contempt Frauds + Essex Magistrates Court Misrepresentation Record Frauds + Essex Magistrates Court Non-Disclosure Record Frauds + Case Transfer Contempt Fraud + Plea Hearing Contempt Frauds + Case Dismissal Application Hearing Denial Contempt Frauds

Royal Commission + Fraud Appeal + Public Gallery Rights Case Notice + Proposals 28th August 2021

+ Committal Fraud + Hertfordshire Magistrates Court Misrepresentation Record Frauds +
Hertfordshire Magistrates Court Non-Disclosure Record Frauds

3. All Records on All Cases Full Disclosure Order for Citizen Mr Nkrumah against the Essex Police and the Metropolitan Police and the Crown Prosecution Service and Prison Service and Ministry of Justice
4. Disclosure Order for Citizen Mr Nkrumah against the Metropolitan Police that Commissioner Dame Cressider Dick do file and serve a Statement that explains the source of All Aliases for Citizen Mr Nkrumah on the Police National Computer
5. All Benefits Full Disclosure Order for Citizen Mr Nkrumah against the Department for Work and Pensions for the Stated Reason that Public Gallery Access Denial Fraud Conspiracy Proof gets a Fraud Presumption for Citizen Mr Nkrumah on everything else including Deduction Frauds from State Benefits and Benefits Appeal Hearing Notice Denial Frauds and In Absence Hearing Frauds and Full Accountability needs identification of the Deduction Beneficiaries
6. Full Disclosure Order for Citizen Mr Nkrumah against the London Borough of Barking and Dagenham Council that the Chief Executive and Chief Lawyer do within 7 days file and serve an Explanation Statement of All Evidence and All Evidence Verification Processes in All Cases relating to Citizen Mr Nkrumah and his Wife Ms Laetticia Mukasa for the Stated Reason that
 - 6.1. the Department of Work and Pensions have claimed that Benefit Deductions are for Housing Benefits that were not claimed and
 - 6.2. the Child Protection Case Evidence is the Crime Proceeds of a Drug Crime Framing Fraud.
7. Remedy Directions 1 Hour Hearing at _____ on _____
8. Further discovery, enquiry, relief and remedy the cause of justice needs

Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of Application Refusals

Evidence Offer Acceptance Notice by the Citizen to the State and Court

Evidence Acceptance Test Enquiry by the Citizen of the State

Court Witness Status Admission or Denial by the State

Court Witness Status Claim by the Citizen of the Court

Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of a Court Witness Status Denial

Jurisdiction Witness Call by the Citizen for the Equity Lawyer

Reasons + Appeal Leave Applications in the event of a Witness Call Refusal by the Court

Witness Box Appearance by the Equity Lawyer

Oath taken by Usher

Jurisdiction Deficit Testimony of the Equity Lawyer that explains:

1. The Jury Oriented Style that uses the 3rd Person and Capital Letters to identity Familiar Phrases that help Lay Jurors achieve the Common Understanding needed to make Jury Findings
2. The Top Corruption Controls are General Elections and the Parliament Session Priorities
3. General Elections change the Governing Majority. It might be the Same Party with some of the Same Leaders but is not the Same People.
4. Parliament Session Jurisdictions decide Session Remedy Priorities for the Governing Majorities.
5. The Corruption Control Jurisdictions of the Citizen, Crown and Lord Bishops govern Parliament Session Powers. The Citizen has Investigation Jurisdiction. The Crown has Prosecution Jurisdiction. The Lord Archbishop have Court Lawyer Jurisdiction, one for the Trial Court and the other for the Appeal Court. The Lord Bishops have Adjudication Jurisdiction. There re 24 of them so that up to 12 can sit as Trial Jurors and up to 12 others can sit as Appeal Jurors
6. The Parliament Session Jurisdictions use the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. It is Official Records that are Justice Proof for Honourable Officers or Guilt Proof against Corrupt Officers or Credibility Irrelevance Proof for the Victim. It eliminates the need for Witness Attendances and Credibility Findings and enables Rapid Process of Trial Orders of the Crown.
7. An Equity Lawyer is anyone who has the commitment and expertise for Case Management that gets Proof Sets that meet the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard, and knows how to use it for the Parliament Session Jurisdictions
8. Corruption Proof gets a Corruption Case against the State + Justice Process Contempt Finding + Remedy Entitlement Finding + Remedy Priority Finding for the People against the Relevant Officer or Relevant Authority and Execution Responsibility Finding against a Cabinet Officer
9. A Remedy Success is Justice Proof for the Relevant Officer. A Remedy Failure gets and Unfitness Case + Remedy Failure Finding + Unfitness Finding + Dismissal Priority Finding for the People against the Relevant Officer and an Execution Responsibility Findings against the Relevant Authority.
10. The Ultimate Sanction is a Dismissal Decision against a Governing Majority using a Parliament Session Refusal and Forced General Election with Mass Publicity for the Corruption Proof and Remedy Denial Fraud Proof.
11. The Ultimate Sanction enables the imposition of Corruption Remedy Conditions in Parliament Session Agreements between the Crown and Prime Minister. In 2006 and 2017 Corruption

Remedy Conditions required Forced Resignations, respectively from Prime Minister Mr Blair and Prime Minister Mrs May. In 2017 the Remedy Conditions required a Forced General Election before the Year End. The 2008 Dismissal Priority Finding against the Governing Majority used the 2009 Election Campaign Period for the Expense Accounts Scandals to get a 2010 General Election Candidacy Refusals by MPs or the Constituency Parties and a Power Transfer to a Coalition Majority

12. The Justice Priority needs Case Priority for the Corruption Case of the Citizen against All Cases of the State for the Stated Reason that the Parliament Session Jurisdictions rely on the Corruption Cases for Proof Sets that meet the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard.
13. The Justice Priority need Law Court Public Gallery Access + Observation Rights for the Citizen against the State and Law Courts for the Stated Reason that Case Event Statements and Court Practice Statements of Public Gallery Witnesses that are filed as Case Evidence for the Citizen are Admissible Evidence when Parliament Session Jurisdictions make Session Decisions.
14. The Justice Priority needs General Rules and Reasoned Exceptions. It needs an Evidence Balance that starts with a Validity Presumptions for the Citizen and Credibility Presumption for the State both of which are subject to Rebuttal Proof.
15. The Justice Priority needs a Neutrality Qualifications for Justice Offices managed by a Conflict Disclosure Voluntary Disclosure Obligation against Justice Agents.
16. The Justice Priority needs Transparent Adjudication Processes with
 - 16.1. The General Rule of Public Sessions + Session Opening + Adjudicator Identification + Court Officer Identification + Representatives Identification + Party Equality + Inequality Remedies + Issue Prioritisation + Representation Rights + Evidence Presentation + Relevance Adjudication + Admissibility Adjudication + Credibility Adjudication + Probity Adjudication + Finding Adjudication + Documented Decisions + Decision Reasons + Session Closure + Appeal Rights + Pending Appeal Enforcement Stays
 - 16.2. Rule Exception with Reasons + Appeal Rights
17. The Politicians made a Governance Plan.
 - 17.1. It used a Substitution Fraud for the Obedience Priority of the State against the Justice Priority of the People.
 - 17.2. It used Creeping Corruption using Progressive Empowerment Frauds + Progressive Practice Frauds + Obedience Demand Enforcement Frauds for the State against All Rights of the Citizen
 - 17.3. It used Party Equality Denial Frauds + Neutrality Denial Frauds + Conflict Qualifications Frauds + Bias Frauds

17.4. It got a Schizophrenic Legal System with

17.4.1. The Dictator Powers governing relations between the Individual and the State

17.4.2. The Common Law governing relations between the Parliament Session Jurisdictions and the Governing Majority

17.5. It used Education Sabotage Frauds + Expertise Development Sabotage Frauds to deny a Reliable Flow of Corruption Remedy Standard Proof Sets needed for Efficient Function of the Parliament Session Jurisdictions

18. In every Member State of the European Union the Politicians lost control to State Officers and Law Court Judges. They developed a Protection Fraud Network to provide Support Services and Corruption Co-ordination. They sold Market Frauds to organised Crime, provided Protection Frauds for the Corrupt Offices who managed them and traded Glittering Career Guarantees and Job Profits in exchange for Fraud Services by Corrupt Parliamentarians. General Elections got Governing Majorities that were subject to Remedy Denial Fraud Majorities that were controlled by the Protection Fraud Network.

19. The Protection Fraud Networks manage the Protection Fraud Markets. They use Risk Control Protocols. The Worst Risk is Immunity Deals that get Criminal Conspiracy Proof against the Protection Fraud Supplier. The Protocol requires Fraud Commitment Proof from the Receiver before the Protection Fraud Supply. Routine Business uses Case Frauds as the Fraud Commitment Proof. Special Business requires New Frauds as Fraud Commitment Proof. Very Special Business requires Fraud Commitment Proof from the Supplier to give Receivers the confidence to commit the New Frauds needed to get the Protection Fraud Supply. Top Judges provide the Top Supply. Very Special Business Protocol enables the Top Protection Supplier, which might be the Top Judges, to get Fraud Co-operation from State Officers or Lower Rank Judges.

20. Honourable Politicians realised they had a Constitutional Monster. They knew that Remedy Management needed Corruption Control Jurisdiction that the State did not control. The Parliament Session Jurisdictions were the Only Corruption Control Jurisdictions in the whole of the European Union that the State did not control. Honourable Politicians throughout the European Union relied on British Citizen for Remedy Management

21. British Citizens made an Equity Governance Recovery Plan. It had Two Strategies:

21.1. The Citizen to develop of Advanced Electronic Signatures and Sophisticated Services that enabled the Prosperous Classes to manage Tax Haven Estates from their own homes and use Taxation Starvation to force the conversion from Dictator Governance to Equity Governance

21.2. The Citizen to wait until a British Prime Minister was dependent on the Protection Fraud Network and use Criminal Conspiracy Proof for a Corruption Notice to the Crown and Parliament and get Revied Use of the Parliament Session Jurisdictions

22. The European Leaders wanted Referenda Acceptance of the Dictator Powers. In 2004 they prepared for the European Referenda that were planned for 2005. They needed Election Frauds to get Referenda Acceptance. They needed Dictator Power Concealment Frauds as Election Frauds. They needed Electronic Signature Dictator Power Concealment Frauds. They wanted Blackmail Fraud Empowerment for State Officers to make Immediate Obedience Demands supported by Financial Asset Access Denial Threats using Electronic Signature Dictator Powers. Exposure of the Election Frauds would create a Referenda Choice between Dictator Powers for the State and Financial Security for the Voter. It would get a Landslide Majority against Dictator Powers.
23. In 1998 Two British Citizens developed Writing Behaviour Analysis Technology. In 2003 Another British Citizen developed an Internet Document Management System that linked Document + Signature and provided Verification Services for the Document + Signature + Link. In 2004 Another British Citizen got a Fleet Management Contract for BP in Angola. He traded use of it as Priming Demand for Advanced Electronic Signatures in exchange for Business Support Services. The Advanced Electronic Signature World Lead for the British Citizens. It enabled Sophisticated Services and Enormous Wealth. The Dictator Powers and Sophisticated Services were Mutually Exclusions. The Sophisticated Services made inevitable the exposure of the Election Frauds.
24. The European Leaders made a Priority Choice for Dictator Powers against the Enormous Wealth. It needed Sabotage Fraud Plan against the Lawful Business of the British Citizens. It used Extradition Frauds against the British Contract Manager and Imprisonment Frauds against him and the Dutch Distribution Manger. The British and Dutch did not have an Extradition Treaty. They did not have time to make one. The 1st Extradition Fraud Deal used a Drug Crime Investigation Fraud by the Dutch Authorities and an Assistance Request to the British Authorities for an Arrest Fraud against the British Citizen, Justice Perversion by Business Records Destruction to enable Business Denial Misrepresentation Frauds, a Vehicle Theft to enable Misrepresentation Frauds that Vehicle Parts Communications were Drug Trafficking Code, and Armed Dutch Police to supervise British Customs and Kent Police for a Kidnap Operation against the British Citizen.
25. Everything that could go wrong for the European Leaders did go wrong. They and the Dutch Investigators did not know that Complex Events got Incredible Target Status for the Extradition

Fraud Target, the Top Drug Dealers had used His Addresses as Decoy Addresses for more than 70 Drug Shipments because, if anything went wrong, the Incredible Target Status would ensure Decoy Address Enquiries would get Dead End Results. They did not know the Extradition Fraud Set Up Arrangement would coincide with the Drug Consignment Transit. They did not know the Top Drug Dealers were To Customs, Top Police and Top Judges. British Customs received the Assistance Request. They had no Prior Experience of Extradition Frauds. They knew that a Drug Crime Complaint by the British Citizen to Kent Police, with Complex Events, got Incredible Target Stratus for him in Drug Crime Investigations. They thought he had discovered use of His Address as a Decoy Address, reported it and was assisting a Decoy Address Investigation. They got News Broadcasts for a Big Drugs Bust and International Co-operation and took control to manage Investigation Sabotage Frauds. The British Citizen was in a British Prison with Jury Trial Rights and an Investigation Record that was Compelling Innocence Proof for him and Compelling Guilt Proof against the Investigators.

26. The European Leaders made a 2nd Extradition Fraud Deal. It needed Innocence Evidence Concealment Frauds by the British Authorities against the British Citizen before completion of the Imprisonment Frauds by the Dutch Authorities. A Criminal Conspiracy Deal got Extradition Fraud Services for the State in exchange for Protection Frauds for the Top Drug Dealers. Also, it got a Drug Crime Profit Share for Prime Minister Mr Blair at the expense of the Top Judges.
27. The 1st and 2nd Extradition Fraud Deals got Criminal Conspiracy Proof. Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis used it for a Corruption Notice to the Crown and Parliament. It got Revived Use of the Parliament Session Jurisdictions. It got a Corruption Remedy Process that has continued ever since with Investigation Services by the Equity Lawyer.
28. The Remedy Process got Innocence Evidence Concealment Fraud Proof + Corruption Findings + Remedy Entitlement Findings + Judge Dismissal Priority Findings against Law Court Judges and an Execution Responsible Finding against Prime Minister Mr Blair. He could not service the Dismissal Decision because he had issued the Fraud Orders. In 2006 the Remedy Failure got an Unfitness Case + Remedy Failure Finding + Unfitness Finding + Dismissal Priority Finding against Prime Minister Mr Blair. The 2006 Parliament Session Agreement required a 10 Months Retirement Notice + Retirement Mass Publicity from Prime Minister Mr Blair. He spent the Retirement Notice Period making Protection Fraud Arrangements that he hoped would last his lifetime.
29. The Protection Fraud Arrangements included:
 - 29.1. Legal Service Criminal Offences for Unqualified Persons.

29.2. An Obvious Disqualification Fraud against a Qualified Lawyer to enable Disqualification Blackmail Frauds against All Qualified Professionals to get Case Sabotage Frauds against Corruption Victims using Passive Service Denials or Active Frauds.

29.3. Mental Health Frauds against Corruption Victims and Corruption Whistle Blowers

30. The Parliament Session Priorities to include Prosecution Prohibitions for Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis against the Cabinet to enable

31. The 2007 Parliament Session Agreement contained Corruption Remedy Conditions that Prime Minister Mr Brown could not perform because of the Remedy Denial Majority controlled by the Protection Fraud Network. He thought he could avoid them by calling a General Election and keep secret the reason why. He needed Bankruptcy Frauds against the Equity Lawyer as Fraud Commitment Proof from Law Court Judges. They made a Bankruptcy Fraud Plan. It needed a Hearing Notice Denial Fraud to get an Appearance Failure by the Equity Lawyer and use of it for an In Absence Appeal Dismissal Fraud by the High Court that enable Bankruptcy Frauds by the County Court. Unusual Activity in the County Courts raised Fraud Conspiracy Suspicions against the High Court. A Hearing Date Enquiry Telephone Call from the Equity Lawyer got a Tomorrow Response from the High Court Listing Officer. A Hearing Notice Failure Reason Enquiry by the Equity Lawyer got a No Address Reply Fraud by the Listing Officer. A Surprise Appearance at the Secret Hearing broke the confidence of the High Court Justice for the Appeal Dismissal Fraud. Soon afterwards Election Blackmail by Media Mogul Mr Murdoch made Specific Demands supported by Election Reason Exposure Threats. The Bankruptcy fraud Failure and the Election Blackmail broke the confidence of Prime Minister Mr Brown to hold the general Election. He signed the Parliament Session Agreement knowing that he had No Hope of achieving Condition Performance. The Condition Breach Proof got Remedy failure Findings + Unfitness Findings + Dismissal Priority Findings against Prime Minister Mr Brown and the Governing Majority. The 2009 Expense Account Scandals in the Election Campaign Period got Repeat Candidacy Refusals by MPs and Constituency Parties for the 2010 General Election broke the Labour Majority and got a Governing Majority for the Coalition of what had been the Opposition Parties.

32. The 2010 Parliament Session Agreement required a Lord Session of 2 ½ years to enable Corruption Investigations of the Law Courts by the Lord Chancellor for the Crown. It got Remedy Co-operation from Prime Minister Mr Cameron, Deputy Prime Minister Mr Clegg and Opposition Leader Mr Miliband. It got Trial Fraud Proof, Appeal Fraud Proof and Protection Fraud Proof against the Law Courts. The Lord Bishops made a Remedy Priority Decision for the Victims. They did not know who they were. A Negotiation Authority from the Lord Bishops

permitted the trade of Immunity Terms from Parliament in exchange for Remedy Co-operation that included Victim Identification from Top Judges. It got a Negotiation Refusal by the Protection Fraud Network and a Corruption Continuity Plan. It needed an Intimidation Fraud against Parliament, Remedy Denial Frauds until the 2015 General Election and then whatever was needed to get a Corrupt Majority and Immunity Frauds.

33. The Intimidation Fraud against Parliament used the Plebgate Scandal and the Dismissal Fraud against the Chief Whip. It failed. The Equity Lawyer had prepared the Crawley Beating Case. It got Jury Trial Fraud Conspiracy Proof against Sussex Police and the Sussex Top Civil Judge. The Crown and Lord Bishops used it to vest Corruption Remedy Powers in a Royal Commission.
34. The Remedy Process got Protection Fraud Proof against the State, Profession Authorities and the Law Courts. The Crown and Lord Bishops used of the Police Federation Conference by Parliament for a Corruption Finding Notice + Remedy Co-operation Demand + Dismissal Threat. The Remedy Co-operation Tests of Top Judges started on 2nd June 2014. They continued to sign Protection Frauds until they realised there were Test Cases. They used Unsigned Documents to enable Not Me Defences and Signature Pages with Unsigned Substance Pages for Signature Admission and Decision Denial Defences. They used Identity Misrepresentation Record Frauds and Case Misrepresentation Frauds as Protection Frauds for Corrupt Officers. It created the need for Audio Records as Personal Responsibility Proof against Identifiable Individuals. In late September and early October 2014, Citizen Cases got the Audio Record Proof Set.
35. The Protection Fraud Network made a Corruption Continuity Plan. It needed Hung Parliament Propaganda Frauds in the hope of getting a Hung Parliament and use of Coalition Negotiations to get a Corrupt Majority and Immunity Frauds. It needed the Back Up Plan in case the Hung Parliament Plan failed, and a Business Expansion Plan to avoid Total Collapse. The Back Up Plan needed Ruin Frauds against the Equity Lawyer and Prime Minister to deny the Remedy Process Investigation Services and Execution Services and a Ruin Fraud against a Remedy Protester for Power Boast Purposes. The Business Expansion Plan used Family Sabotage Frauds + Children Thefts to get Big Budgets + Budget Fraud Profits and Expatriation Frauds to get Money Laundering Services from Sovereign States. It needed Protection Fraud Reassurance for the Corrupt Officers who managed Paedophile Protection Frauds.
36. Remedy Co-operation from Prime Minister Mr Cameron, Deputy Prime Minister Mr Clegg gave the Crown and Lord Bishops they could expect Remedy Co-operation from whoever won the 2015 General Election. The Remedy Co-operation Conditions in the Parliament Session

Royal Commission + Fraud Appeal + Public Gallery Rights Case Notice + Proposals 28th August 2021

Agreement were designed to get the Criminal Conspiracy Proof needed for Dismissal Cases against Top Judges and to silence the Corrupt Parliamentarians who provided Protection Frauds.

37. The 2015 General Election got a Governing Majority for Prime Minister Mr Cameron. A European Referenda Commitment got it. He could not manage the European Referenda and the Judge Dismissal Cases at the same time. He made a Priority Decision for the European Referenda because the Corruption Exposure of the Dismissal Cases would get Leave Votes.
38. The Equity Lawyer identified the Ruin Fraud Cases. The Innocent Agent and the Remedy Protester co-operated. All of the Ruin Fraud Cases got Criminal Conspiracy Proof against the State and Law Courts. The Innocence Agent made a Negotiation Offer to the Police Officer Witnesses for Immunity Terms in exchange for Remedy Co-operation that included Full Disclosure of the Framing Fraud Orders. The Police Officers wanted Immunity Deals. The Protection Fraud Network needed Intimidation Frauds to get Repeat Perjury from the Police Officer Witnesses, Repeat Representation Frauds from the Prosecutors and Repeat Trial Frauds from the Junior Appeal Judges at the Framing Fraud Appeal.
39. Prime Minister Mr Cameron led the European Referenda Remain Campaign, lost and resigned. It was an Honourable Resignation. The Protection Fraud Network needed Protection Frauds from whoever won the Leadership Contest. They bought Leadership Votes for MP Mrs May because she had managed the Ruin Fraud Conspiracy against Prime Minister Mr Cameron. It got a 5 Year Delay for the Dismissal Cases against Top Judges
40. The Intimidation Frauds needed a Harassment Criminal Investigation Fraud + Family Sabotage Fraud + Employment Sabotage Fraud against a 2nd Remedy Protester on the Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday before the Framing Fraud Appeal on the Friday. The Corrupt Investigators demanded Fraud Commitment Proof from the Law Courts. They were given a Harassment Civil Claim by the Police and Crime Commissioner and Chief Executive against the 2nd Remedy Protester, and Directions + Costs Frauds by the Civil Courts. The Corrupt Investigators accepted it as Fraud Commitment Proof. The Ruin Frauds against the 2nd Remedy Protester got the Repeat Frauds against the Innocent Agent at the Framing Fraud Appeal.
41. The Directions Fraud Appeal Permission Refusal Fraud + Seal respectively on 4th and 10th April 2017 completed the Fraud Conspiracy Proof Set against Top State Officers and Law Court Judges. The Crown and Lord Bishops used it to decide the Parliament Session Priorities. They required a Long Session of 2 ½ years to complete the Corruption Investigations of the Law Courts, get Criminal Conspiracy Proof for Unfitness Cases against Top Judges and use them for Protection Fraud Investigations in Parliament.

42. The Crown Prosecutors used a Harassment Charge Change Hearing to get an Audio Record of a No Case Admission by them for the 2nd Remedy Protester. It got Trial Orders that were Fraud Proof against the Crown Court Judges. It was part of the Criminal Conspiracy Proof Set that got Corruption Findings + Remedy Entitlement Findings + Remedy Priority Findings + Remedy Failure Findings + Unfitness Findings + Dismissal Priority Findings and in 2019 a Forced Resignation from Prime Minister Mrs May and a Forced General Election against the Governing Majority.
43. The December 2019 Parliament Session Priorities were to get Remedy Proof for the Law Courts or Corruption Proof Sets for Unfitness Dismissal Cases against Top Judges in Parliament. Corrupt Officers used Case Misrepresentation Frauds and Case Non-Disclosure Frauds and Identity Misrepresentation Frauds and Identity Non-Disclosure Frauds as Protection Frauds for Responsible Individuals. It created a need for Audio Records as Personal Responsibility Proof against Identifiable Individuals.
44. On 16th April 2021 the Remedy Process got completion of the Court Fraud Audio Record Proof Sets against the High Court and Court of Appeal.
45. Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286 got Restraint Fraud Proof against the High Court. The Restraint Renewal Order of the Previous Restraint Order against the Equity Lawyer with an Additional Jury Oriented Style Use Restraint Renewal Order All Citizens in All Cases from using the phrases
- 45.1. Reference to an 'Equity Lawyer' or similar
 - 45.2. Reference to the Citizen /Applicant as 'Citizen'
 - 45.3. Use of the phrases 'Corruption Claim', 'Prosecution Fraud' or any similar combination of a noun and 'Fraud'
 - 45.4. Use of the phrase 'Proof Sets'
 - 45.5. Any other features that provide reasonable grounds for believing that the Claim Form or Application Notice has been prepared by or on behalf of Mr Ellis
46. The Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286 was used for a Jury Oriented Style Restraint Breach Contempt Imprisonment Application for the use the word 'Equity Lawyer' and 'Citizen' and Corruption Claim and Prosecution Fraud and 'Various Nouns + Fraud' and 'Other Features' such as 'Remedy Proposals ' and '+'. It got a Liability Trial Frauds and Trial Fraud Appeals at every stage of it, and Pending Appeal Trial Stay Protection Breach Contempt Frauds at every stage of it, and a Liability Finding Fraud, and
47. On 16th April 2021 Fraud Appeal 2020 01368 B1 Permission Hearing got completion of the Court Fraud Audio Record Proof Set against the Court of Appeal. The Hearing List for the

Court of Appeal gave Adjudicator Identities but omission of them from the Permission Refusal Order is Identification Denial Fraud Proof. It is Similar Fact Evidence to the Identification Refusal Frauds committed at the Motoring Hearing and the Emergency Worker Assault Hearings.

48. The Crown and Lord Bishops used it to decide Remedy Priorities for the Parliament Session that opened on 11th May 2021. It needed Responsibility Apportionment between Case Remedies by the Law Courts and Mass Remedies by Parliament. It needed Integrity Test Cases. They used Top Judge Dismissal Case Notices to discover whether they got Corruption Remedies from Lower Rank Judges. Fraud Cases had been prepared for the purpose.
49. The Integrity Test Series needed:
 - 49.1. Passive Tests that got Fraud Remedies or discovered the Management Details of Hearing Denial Frauds
 - 49.2. Active Tests that got Fraud Remedies or discovered the Management Details of Hearing Frauds
 - 49.3. Court Fraud Remedy Tests of Case Judges who had No Prior Known Guilt
 - 49.4. Court Fraud Remedy Tests of Case Judges who had Prior Known Guilt
50. The Cyprus Gardens Lease Possession Claim Fraud F02ED793 got a Passive Test Fitness Finding + Active test Fitness Finding + Priority Finding for the Passive Test against the Active Test because of the Case Management Incapacity of the Victim and his Interpreter. It got Hearing Denial Fraud Proof for the Citizen Owner and the Parliament Session Jurisdictions against the Criminal Landlord, the Landlord Lawyers and the County Court.
51. The Shop Theft Claims 2019 3741 + 3984 got Receipt Stamps and Order Seals that were Original Document Proof + Record Fraud Proof + Forensic Test Material for the Parliament Session Jurisdictions against the High Court. Top Judges made a Forensic Test Sabotage Fraud Plan. It needed Bow Fast Food Shop Theft Enforcement Claim Fraud G02EC632 and a Hearing Notice to force the Victim to consult Qualified Lawyers and use them for Original Records Theft + Evidence Destruction or Forgery Substitution. It got a Passive Test Fitness Finding + Active Prior Guilt Fitness Test Finding + Priority Finding for the Passive Test against the Active Test. In the morning of Friday 16th April 2021, the Passive Test used the Contempt Claim Fraud 2020 000286 Penalty Hearing to get an Audio Record of Forensic Test Sabotage Fraud Failure Taunts of Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis against High Court Justice Mrs Cutts. That afternoon early the next week, it got the Wednesday 25th April Hearing Adjournment Fraud + Costs Fraud Conspiracy Proof for the Citizen Owner and Parliament Session Jurisdictions

Royal Commission + Fraud Appeal + Public Gallery Rights Case Notice + Proposals 28th August 2021

against the Criminal Buyers, the Buyer Lawyers, the Seller Lawyers, County Court and High Court Justice Mrs Cutts.

52. The Trust Claim Fraud B01B0837 got a Hearing Notice and Case Allocation by Permanent Judges to a Temporary Judge. On 1st June 2021 a Hearing Judge of No Known Prior Guilt used a No Case Papers Reason for an Adjournment Order and a Case Allocation to the Permanent Judges and a Hearing Priority Notice for the Beneficiary Victim against the Criminal Trustee.
53. The Divorce ZC14D02308 Fraud Revocation Application Adjourned to 9th July 2021 got an Active Test Fitness Finding and a Prior Guilt Test Fitness Finding. The Integrity Test Notice motivated an In Absence Hearing Fraud Conspiracy by Mr Theodorou, His Representatives, Trial Judge Mr Stone and the Supervising Judges. It needed a No Case Papers Reason Fraud + Adjournment Fraud + 10 September 2021 Retirement Notice from Trial Judge Mr Stone + Decision Proof Service Denial Fraud + Hearing Date Notice Service Denial Fraud + Appeal Process Denial Fraud + Appearance Failure + In Absence Hearing Fraud + Fraud Completion. The Decision Proof Demands + Fraud Appeal got Acknowledgement Denial Frauds. On Friday 20th August 2021 a Confidence Loss got a Hearing Attendance Enquiry Email at 13.52 from Judge Oliver Jones' Clerk Mr Dilip Sajad was a Hearing Notice. The Response Email at 17.38 from Citizen Mrs Theodorou was a Decision Proof Service Denial Complaint + Hearing Notice Service Denial Complaint + Appeal Process Denial Complaint. It got a Decision Proof Service Email at 10.36 on Saturday 21st August 2021 from a Family Court Officer. On 23rd August 2021 it got Fraud Remedy Proposals and a Hearing Appearance by Citizen Mrs Theodorou and Hearing Management that was Fraud Commitment Proof against the Fraud Conspirators and then a Chronis Stress Illness Adjournment Application by Mr Theodorou that got a Confidence Collapse and Adjournment Order + Recorder Case Allocation by Trial Judge Mr Stone. The Audio Record completes the Fraud Conspiracy Proof Set against the Case Conspirators and Retired Judges.
54. The Motoring Case Public Gallery Witness Test got the Public Gallery Fraud Conspiracy Proof + Emergency Worker Assault Prosecution Fraud Proof + Trial Fraud Proof + Contempt Remedy Denial Fraud Proof for Citizen Mr Nkrumah against the State and Criminal Courts. The Integrity Test Events on 5th and 14th July 2021 got Test Reports dated 5th and 23rd July 2021 and the Plea Hearing on 28th July 2021 got an Exclusion Fraud against the Equity Lawyer as Defence Witness, Legal Assistant, Public Gallery Witness and Integrity Test Manager and then and Forecourt to Public Footpath Violent Eviction Fraud. When Citizen Mr Nkrumah arrived, it got Blackmail Frauds by the Security Guards against Citizen Mr Nkrumah by making a Repeat Demands for a Repeat Forecourt to Public Footpath Eviction Fraud by the Citizen against the

Royal Commission + Fraud Appeal + Public Gallery Rights Case Notice + Proposals 28th August 2021

Equity Lawyer a Court Access Condition. The Plea Hearing got a Bench Equality Denial
Contempt Fraud + Dock Entry Demand Intimidation Contempt Fraud + Dock Lock Aggravated
Intimidation Contempt Fraud + Prosecutor and Court Lawyer and Adjudicator Identification
Denial Contempt Frauds + Hearing Session Validity Pretence Contempt Frauds + No
Jurisdiction Plea Rejection Fraud + Case Dismissal Application Hearing Denial Contempt Fraud
+ Committal Contempt Fraud It is Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against the Criminal Courts

55. The Tests Results are Remedy Unfitness Proof against the Law Courts. They increase the Mass
Remedy Responsibilities of Parliament

56. Remedy Proposals

56.1. Justice Priority Obligation Finding for the Citizen and People against the Obedience
Priority of the State

56.2. Justice Priority Management Method Fining for the Common Law Methods of Truth
Discovery and Just Reasoning against the Status Declaration Method of the State

56.3. Jurisdiction Superiority Finding for the Corruption Case of the Citizen against All Cases
of the State.

56.4. Law Court Public Gallery Access Rights Finding + Public Gallery Observation Rights
Finding for the Citizen and People against the Premises Control Powers of the State and
Law Courts

56.5. Motor Case Call Finding + Adjudicator Identification Refusal Finding + Adjudicator
Status Forfeit Finding + Public Gallery Clearance Finding + Public Gallery Clearance
Order Deficit Finding + Invalid Public Gallery Clearance Finding + Fraud Finding +
Conspiracy Finding + Arrest Contempt Fraud Finding + Assault Contempt Fraud Finding
+ Custody Contempt Fraud Finding + Investigation Contempt Fraud Finding +
Emergency Worker Assault Charge Contempt Fraud Finding + Bail Weekly Reporting
Condition Contempt Fraud Finding + Corruption Summons Application Process Denial
Contempt Fraud Finding + Contempt Remedy Application Process Denial Contempt
Fraud Finding + Bail Condition Revocation Application Process Denial Contempt Fraud
Finding + Remand Hearing Notice Contempt Fraud Finding + Parliament Session
Integrity Test Notice Finding + Defence Witness Court Access Obstruction Contempt
Fraud Finding + Legal Assistance Court Access Public Gallery Witness Court Access
Obstruction Contempt Fraud Finding + Adjudicator Identification Refusal Contempt
Fraud Finding + Adjudicator Status Forfeit Finding + Adjudicator Recusal Failure
Contempt Fraud Finding + Party Equality Assistance Denial Fraud Finding + Witness
Call by Citizen Finding + Witness Box Appearance by Witness Finding + Testimony

| | | | |
|-------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Crown | Parliament | The People v Top Judges | Corruption Remedy Royal Commission |
| | Crown Court | Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State | Public Gallery Crime Trial |
| | Magistrates Court | Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Corrupt Officers | Court fraud Criminal Conspiracy Summons Application |
| | Family Court | Citizen Mrs Theodorou v Mr Theodorou | Divorce ZC124D02308 Property Fraud Revocation |

Royal Commission + Fraud Appeal + Public Gallery Rights Case Notice + Proposals 28th August 2021

Hearing Refusal Contempt Fraud Finding + Application Dismissal Pronouncement

Contempt Fraud Finding + 14th and 28th July 2021 Plea Hearings Repeat Exclusion Fraud

Findings + 28th July 2021 Plea Hearing Representation Bench Equality Denial Fraud +

28th July 2021 Dock Use Intimidation Contempt Fraud + Dock Lock Aggravated

Intimidation Contempt Fraud + Plea Hearings Adjudicator and Court Lawyer Identity

Refusal Findings + Plea Hearing Case Dismissal Application Refusal Fraud Findings +

Crown Court Committal Fraud Finding + Crown Court Motion Remedy Failure Fraud

Finding + Contempt Liability Finding + Remedy Entitlement Finding + Remedy Priority

Finding + Emergency Worker Assault Case Dismissal Order + Arrest Resistance Case

Dismissal + Without Consent Official Records Use Prohibition Restraint + £1,000

Wasted Costs Order for each of 12th May and 5th, 14th and 28th July and 31st August 2021

+ £5,000 Immediate Payment Order + Contempt Remedy Directions 1 Hour Hearing at 2

p.m. on September 2021 at Crown Court for the Citizen against the State

56.6. Immediate Payment Default Contempt and Terrorism Penalty Warning for the Citizen against All State Officers

56.7. Contempt Fraud Investigation Order + Investigator Appointment Reference to the Cabinet

56.8. Further discovery, enquiry, relief and remedy the cause of justice needs