

Royal Commission + Osteopath Fitness Investigation Hearing Proposals of Citizen

28th September 2021

Without Prejudice to Invalidity Arguments + Contempt and Terrorism Penalty Warning

Evidence Offer by Equity Lawyer Mr Edward William Ellis to the Parties + State + Law Court

Smoking Gun Evidence Case Notice to the Profession Authorities and Law Courts

Poisonous Case Notice to the Adjudicators

Remedy Proposals

1. Case Priority Order for the Conflict Disqualification Declaration + Case Dismissal Application of the Citizen against the Profession Fitness Case of the State for the Stated Reason that:
 - 1.1. The 2007 Parliament Session Priorities required the Validity Priority Universal Precedent.

It states validity has priority in every case. The 2008 House of Lords Case R v Clarke set it.
 - 1.2. The 2015 Parliament Session Priorities required the Fraud Invalidity Universal Precedent, set using Sharland v Sharland, and the Justice Office Neutrality Qualification + Conflict Disqualification Universal Precedent.
 - 1.3. The Universal Precedents require Case Priority for the Corruption Case of the Citizen against All Cases of the State
2. Validity Investigation Order for the Citizen against the State that the General Osteopathic Council Chief Executive, Chief Lawyer and Case Prosecutor do within 7 days file and serve Case Explanation Statements that discloses:
 - 2.1. when they knew about the Universal Precedents?
 - 2.2. how often do they apply them?
 - 2.3. what influences the decision whether or not to apply them?
 - 2.4. when each of them first knew about the Corruption Complaint of the Citizen?
 - 2.5. who is responsible for Corruption Complaint Processes?
 - 2.6. what was the Corruption Complaint Process and the Result?
 - 2.7. who had Case Allocation Responsibilities?
 - 2.8. who was appointed as Investigator?
 - 2.9. who was appointed as Prosecutor?
 - 2.10. who decided the Responsibility Divisions between the Investigator and the Prosecutor?
 - 2.11. what are the Professional Qualification and Practice Certificate Reference of everyone involved in the decision?
 - 2.12. what is the Case Evidence?
 - 2.13. does the Prosecution Bundle contain all of the Case Evidence?
 - 2.14. who made the Evidence Exclusion Decisions?
 - 2.15. why did they make the Evidence Exclusion Decisions?

Royal Commission + Osteopath Fitness Investigation Hearing Proposals of Citizen

28th September 2021

- 2.16. does the Prosecution Bundle include and Altered Evidence?
- 2.17. who made the Evidence Alteration Decisions?
- 2.18. why did they make the Evidence Alteration Decisions?
- 2.19. who made the Case Priority Decision for an Interim Suspension Application?
- 2.20. why did they make the Case Priority Decision for an Interim Suspension Application?
- 2.21. who made the decision for 7 Days' Short Notice for the Interim Suspension Hearing?
- 2.22. why did they make the 7 Days' Short Notice for the Interim Suspension Hearing?
- 2.23. who made the Selection Decisions for the Investigation Committee?
- 2.24. why did they make the Selection Decisions?
- 2.25. who made the decision to ignore the Conflict Disqualification Remedy Claim and Profession Fitness Interim Remedy Stay Application in the High Court?
- 2.26. did the Chief Executive, Chief Lawyer and Prosecutor do anything to procure the Interim Remedy Hearing Refusal that used the Urgency Legal Opinion Requirement?
- 2.27. do they know whether anyone else did?
- 2.28. who was responsible for processing the Professional Indemnity Insurance Claim?
- 2.29. who made the Insurance Claim Refusal Decision and Representation Refusal Decision?
- 2.30. what are the Professional Qualification and Practice Certificate Reference of everyone involved in the Claim Refusal Decision and Representation Refusal Decision?
- 2.31. what influence did the Urgency Opinion Requirement have on the Claim Refusal and Representation Refusal?
- 2.32. who knew about the Investigation Committee Quorum Deficit on 15th September 2021 before they sat?
- 2.33. what influence did the Royal Commission Email and Smoking Gun Evidence Warning from Equity Lawye3r Mr Ellis had on the Quorum Deficit Admission and Invalid Hearing Declaration?
- 2.34. why the Investigation Committee should not make a Pending High Court Adjudication Case Stay Order for the Citizen against the General Osteopathic Council?
- 2.35. why the Investigation Committee should not make a Wasted Costs Order for the Citizen against the General Osteopathic Council?
3. Order Breach Default Penalty of a Case Dismissal Order + Indemnity Costs Order + Contempt Case Reference to the High Court for the Citizen against the Gene3ral osteopathic Council
4. Further discovery, enquiry, relief and remedy the cause of justice needs