

Before High Court Justice

Recited Circumstances

1. Professional Indemnity Insurance Deals enabled the Defendant to use a Controlled Agent to sell Professional Indemnity Insurance to Registered Osteopaths and get Delegated Authority for Claim Management and Effective Control of both the Prosecution Case and Defence Case
2. A Corruption Complaint created a Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification against the Defendant
3. The Corruption Complaint not get a Complaint Process but instead got a Conflict Qualification Fraud + Mental Illness Presumption Fraud + Profession Unfitness Investigation Fraud + Mental Examination Consent Demand + Fraud Action Intent Notice + Repeat Consent Demand + Examination Consent that contained a Content Extortion Fraud Blackmail Notice + General Medical Council Professional Guidance Request Advice and Delusion Proof Demand Advice for the Medical General Practitioner + Standard Consent Form Demand + Investigation Committee 7 Day Hearing Notice
4. The Conflict Qualification Contempt Fraud Claim and Interim Remedy Enforcement Stay Application on 10<sup>th</sup> September 2021 got Email Notice from a High Court Officer that an Anonymous Judge had made an Urgent Hearing Stay Order pending the filing of a Vacation Period Urgency Opinion by a Qualified Lawyer. A Sealed Order Service Denial Fraud stopped a Fraud Appeal to the Court of Appeal
5. An Urgency Opinion Request to Practice Connections got Profession Fitness Opinions and Urgency Opinion Expertise Denials from Qualified Lawyers
6. The Professional Indemnity Insurance Claim got a Defence Representation Refusal on 14<sup>th</sup> September 2021 by the Controlled Agent
7. The Investigation Committee Hearing on 15<sup>th</sup> September 2021 got an Invalid Hearing Declaration
8. The Conflict Qualification Contempt Fraud Claim and Interim Remedy Enforcement Stay Application on 24<sup>th</sup> September 2021 got Telephone Notice from a High Court Officer that Claim Issue was a Hearing Condition. Claim Filing that day in the High Court Actions Office did not get Claim Issue or an Issue Failure Explanation

9. The Investigation Committee Hearing on 1<sup>st</sup> October 2021 got a Conflicted Interest Disqualification Finding Refusal and a 2 Month's Interim Suspension Order for the Defendant against the Claimant.

#### Judicial Review Decisions

1. Review Permission + Urgent Case Finding + Profession Unfitness Case 289 2855 Interim Suspension Invalidation Declaration for Ms Davies against the General Osteopathic Council for the Stated Reason that Universal Precedents for [Validity Priority 2008 R v Clarke] and Fraud Invalidation [2015 Sharland v Sharland] and Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification [2015 Emerald Supplies v British Airways] required Case Priority for the Conflict Disqualification Case of the Citizen against All Cases of the State
2. Contempt Investigation Order + Investigator Appointment Reference to the Cabinet
3. Discovery Order that the High Court Actions Office Chief Administrative Officer do within 7 days file and serve a Statement that discloses the Claim Reference of the Conflict Qualification Fraud Remedy Claim filed on 24<sup>th</sup> September 2021 and the Issue Date and explain the Issue Delay.
4. Discovery Order that the High Court Listing Office Chief Administrative Officer do within 7 days file and serve a Statement that explains when and where the Conflict Qualification Fraud Interim Remedy Urgent Hearing will take place to the intent that the Queen's Bench Division of the High Court shall provide a Sealed Order that provides Case Remedies for the Ms Michelle Davies or enable a Fraud Appeal to the Court of Appeal
5. Discover Order for the Claimant against the Defendant that the General Osteopathic Council Chief Executive and Chief Lawyer and Prosecutor do within 7 days file and serve Statements that explain whether they admit, deny or require proof of the Recited Circumstances and why the Administrative Court and the High Court Queen's Bench Division should not make a Contempt Fraud Liability Finding + Remedy Entitlement Finding for Claimant against the Defendant
6. Default Contempt Fraud Liability Finding + Remedy Rights for the Claimant against the Defendant
7. 1 Hour Hearing for Contempt Liability Trial Directions or Contempt Liability Pronouncement + Remedy Management Directions in Royal Court at 2p.m. on October 2021