

1. Jurisdiction Case Finding + Jurisdiction Case Management Capacity Requirement Finding + Jurisdiction Case Management Incapacity Finding + Incapacity Remedy Entitlement Finding + Agent Representation Authority Remedy Entitlement Finding + Agent Representation Conditions Definition Requirement Finding + Remuneration Issue Reserved Rights for Citizen Father Beneficiary Mr Sood and Trustee Son Mr Sood for:
 - 1.1. **The Jurisdiction Incapacity Remedy Precedent Reasons** in the Schedule
 - 1.2. **The Remuneration Issue Reserved Rights Reason** that the Corruption Remedy Process of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority:
 - 1.2.1. had significant dependency on Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis providing Free Case Management Services for Impoverished Victims and Incapable Victims
 - 1.2.2. now needs Viable Conditions to recruit Fit Persons to serve as Equity Lawyers and manage Corruption Remedies on an Industrial Scale
 - 1.3. **The Case Management Reason** that Citizen Mr Sood needs Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis to make:
 - 1.3.1. the Conflict Disqualification + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit Case against Judge Mr Lethem and Judge Sir Steven Stewart and All Other Judges
 - 1.3.2. the Contempt Fraud Liability Case + Remedy Case against All Liable Parties
 - 1.4. **The Corruption Remedy Process Reason** that the Trust Asset Sale Claim B01B0837, Mortgage Possession Claim F01P7696, Sale Negligence Settlement Breach Claim E02YM867, High Court Queens Bench Fraud Appeal 2020 000968, Court of Appeal Fraud Appeal 2020 0742 and Chancery Court Fraud Appeal 2022 000007 of Citizen Mr Sood, and many cases of other Citizens, were used for Parliament Session Priority Tests. They got Corruption Proof against the State and Profession Authorities, and Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against the Law Courts, Cabinet, Prime Minister and Accountability Denial Fraud Conspiracy Proof against some of the Governing Majority, the Opposition Leader and some of the Opposition Minority, and against the House of Commons Speaker and, therefore, against Parliament. It validates use of a Court Record for a Corruption Remedy Priority Proposal for the next Parliament Session that the require the Special Protection Rights:
 - 1.4.1. A Use Prohibition for All Equity Lawyers and All Victims against the Cabinet and Prime Minister of All Empowerment for the State and Law Courts against the Citizen got by Legislation, Regulation, Policies and Precedents in the 2019 and 2021

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission + Judicial Enquiry
All Authorities	Incapable Party v All Others		Jurisdiction Case Incapacity Remedy Precedent
Chancery Court	Citizen Father Mr Sood v Trustee Son Mr Sood		Contempt Fraud Appeal 2022 000007
County Court	Citizen Father Mr Sood v Trustee Son Mr Sood		Trust Asset Sale Claim Fraud B01B0837
County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Bank of Scotland		Mortgage Possession Claim Fraud F01P7696
County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Lawyer Mr Coates		Sale Negligence Settlement Breach Claim E02YM867

Royal Commission + 2022 000007 + B01B0837 REVISED Incapacity + Case Proposals 14th February 2022

Parliament Sessions for the Declared Reason they are the Crime Proceeds of Election
Frauds committed in the 2019 General Election

- 1.4.2. A Qualified Legal Service Monopoly Enforcement Prohibition for Every Individual against the Cabinet and Prime Minister
- 1.4.3. An Unqualified Legal Service Crime Prosecution Prohibition and Legal Service Court Contempt Prosecution Prohibition and Jurisdiction Case Management Incapacity Unqualified Agent Representation Application Delay and Refusal Prohibition for Every Individual against the Cabinet and Prime Minister
- 1.4.4. Contempt Case Jury Trial Application Delay and Refusal Prohibition for Every Individual against the Cabinet and Prime Minister
- 1.4.5. A Corruption Exposure Publicity Order Application Delay and Refusal Prohibition for Equity Lawyers and their Clients against the Cabinet and Prime Minister
2. Conflicted Interest Finding + Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification Declaration + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit Declaration for Citizen Mr Sood respectively against Judge Mr Lethem and Judge Sir Steven Stewart and All Judges of All Ranks in All Law Courts for the **Recusal + Revocation Reasons** stated in paragraph 3
3. Enforcement Stay Refusal Revocation + No Merit Declaration Revocation + Appeal Permission for Citizen Mr Sood against Trustee Son Mr Sood for the **Recusal + Revocation Reasons**:
 - 3.1. The Case Priority Right for Corruption Cases of the Citizen against the Authorities before All Other Cases of All Other Parties
 - 3.2. The Process Priority Right to Adjudication before Execution
 - 3.3. The Contempt Fraud Conspiracy Case of Citizen Mr Sood is against the Trustee Son, Bank of Scotland, Metropolitan Police, HM Revenue & Customs, Representatives, Ministry of Justice. County Court, High Court Queens Bench Division and Court of Appeal. It created a Conflict Disqualification + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit for Citizen Mr Sood against the Chancery Division of the High Court
 - 3.4. The Protection Fraud Market Protocols require Fraud Commitment Proof from the Receiver before the Protection Fraud Supply.
 - 3.5. 24 Year Backdated £146,000 Tax Assessment Fraud References 1424040554 + 880 1991481 02 THR + 880 VA 00075 2018 by HM Revenue & Customs is Fraud Commitment Proof from the State that got the 2022 000007 Enforcement Stay Refusal as a Protection Fraud Supply by the Chancery Court. The Tax Assessment Fraud starts with the year in which Medical Negligence by the National Health Service caused the death of

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission + Judicial Enquiry
All Authorities	Incapable Party v All Others		Jurisdiction Case Incapacity Remedy Precedent
Chancery Court	Citizen Father Mr Sood v Trustee Son Mr Sood		Contempt Fraud Appeal 2022 000007
County Court	Citizen Father Mr Sood v Trustee Son Mr Sood		Trust Asset Sale Claim Fraud B01B0837
County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Bank of Scotland		Mortgage Possession Claim Fraud F01P7696
County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Lawyer Mr Coates		Sale Negligence Settlement Breach Claim E02YM867

Royal Commission + 2022 000007 + B01B0837 REVISED Incapacity + Case Proposals 14th February 2022

his wife and forced him to claim State Benefits and become Primary Carer for his 4 children. The Trustee Son is one of them.

- 3.6. Corruption Investigations discovered that Corrupt Officers in the Ministry of Justice use Identity Record Frauds and Case Misrepresentation Record Frauds to provide Protection Frauds for Guilty Individuals. It creates the need for Audio Records that are Personal Responsibility Proof against Identifiable Individuals.
- 3.7. The B01B0837 and 2022 000007 Hearings will get Audio Records that are Personal Responsibility Proof against Identifiable Individuals of Fraud Enforcement or Fraud Remedies in All Connected Frauds including the Tax Assessment Fraud.
4. An Agent Representation Appointment on Remedy Co-operation Conditions for Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis on behalf of Citizen Mr Sham Sood against Trustee Mr Vischal Sood
5. Remedy Co-operation Condition Definition Declaration for Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis that requires a Privilege Waiver and Confidentiality Waiver and Case Authority and Remedy Co-operation from Citizen Mr Sood to enable Case Management that gets Proof Sets that meet the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard and is Admissible Evidence for the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority
6. Party Status Order + 24 Year Backdated £146,000 Tax Assessment Fraud References 1424040554 + 880 1991481 02 THR + 880 VA 00075 2018 Enforcement Stay Order for Citizen Mr Sood against HM Revenue & Customs
7. Party Status Order + Tax Assessment Fraud + All Other Cases Stay Order for Citizen Mr Sood against the Ministry of Justice
8. Stay Order Breach Contempt and Terrorism Penalty Warning for Citizen Mr Sood against All State Officers
9. Contempt Investigation Order + Investigator Appointment Case Reference to the Cabinet
10. All Issues Appeal Leave for Citizen Mr Sham Sood and Trustee Son Mr Vischal Sood
11. Discovery Order for Citizen Mr Sham Sood against Trustee Son Mr Vischal Sood that he does within 14 days file and serve a Response Statement to the Fraud Conspiracy Allegations and in the Trial Papers and the Appeal Papers and in particular the Order Breach Contempt Conspiracy Allegations and the Contempt Immunity Fraud Conspiracy Allegations
12. Appeal Contempt Remedy Directions 1 Hour Hearing in Royal Court at 2 p.m. on March 2022

Schedule: Jurisdiction Incapacity Remedy Precedent + Reasons
Jurisdiction Incapacity Remedy Precedent

Royal Commission + 2022 000007 + B01B0837 REVISED Incapacity + Case Proposals 14th February 2022

1. *Jurisdiction Case Finding + Jurisdiction Case Management Capacity Requirement Finding + Jurisdiction Case Management Incapacity Finding + Incapacity Remedy Entitlement Finding + Representation Remedy Entitlement Finding + Agent Representation Conditions Definition Requirement Finding + Agent Remuneration Entitlement Finding for the Incapable Party against the Capable Party, State, Profession Authorities and Inferior Law Court for:*
 - 1.1. **The Jurisdiction Incapacity Remedy Precedent Reasons** given after the
 - 1.2. **The Remuneration Issue Reserved Rights Reason** that the Corruption Remedy Process of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority
 - 1.2.1. *had significant dependency on Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis providing Free Case Management Services for Impoverished Victims and Incapable Victims*
 - 1.2.2. *now needs Viable Conditions to recruit Fit Persons to serve as Equity Lawyers and manage Corruption Remedies on an Industrial Scale*
2. *Agent Representative Appointment subject to Remedy Co-operation Conditions for the Agent Representative on behalf of the Incapable Party against the Capable Party*
3. *Incapacity Authority Increase Agreement Reserved Rights for the Parties and Agent Representatives and Law Courts to deal with any or all of the Other Case Issues without the need to give an Incapacity Classification or Support Evidence for:*
 - 3.1. **The Incapacitate Dignity Reason** that needs no explanation
 - 3.2. **The Justice Management Efficiency Reason** that it avoids the need for Parties and Authorities to investigate and distinguish between the Case Management Incapacity of:
 - 3.2.1. *Normal Incapacity arising from a Comprehension Deficit, Communications Skill Deficit, Expertise Deficit, Emotional Immaturity Deficit or Judgment Experience Deficit*
 - 3.2.2. *Abnormal Incapacity arising from:*
 - 3.2.2.1. *Traumatic or Chronic Stress Damage or any combination of them*
 - 3.2.2.2. *Perverse Relationship Management Sabotage that is motivated:*
 - 3.2.2.2.1. *In many cases by Responsibility Rejection that gets Co-operation Failures*
 - 3.2.2.2.2. *In a few cases by Chronic Pessimism that gets a Self-Sabotage Behaviour Cycle of Failure Expectations, Responsibility Rejection and use of Agent Authority for a Failure Responsibility Transfer Fraud and a Perverse Incapacity Concealment Fraud*
 - 3.2.2.2.3. *In even fewer cases motivated by Perverse Satisfaction*

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission + Judicial Enquiry
All Authorities	All Authorities	Incapable Party v All Others	Jurisdiction Case Incapacity Remedy Precedent
Chancery Court	Chancery Court	Citizen Father Mr Sood v Trustee Son Mr Sood	Contempt Fraud Appeal 2022 000007
County Court	County Court	Citizen Father Mr Sood v Trustee Son Mr Sood	Trust Asset Sale Claim Fraud B01B0837
County Court	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Bank of Scotland	Mortgage Possession Claim Fraud F01P7696
County Court	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Lawyer Mr Coates	Sale Negligence Settlement Breach Claim E02YM867

Royal Commission + 2022 000007 + B01B0837 REVISED Incapacity + Case Proposals 14th February 2022

4. *Reserved Rights for a Court Motion Capacity Investigation Order in the event of a Relationship Breakdown between the Incapacitate Party and Agent Representative*

Jurisdiction Incapacity Remedy Precedent Reasons

5. *The Coronation Oath is for Equity Governance using the Common Law.*
6. *The Common Law requires service of the Justice Priority by use of the Objective Reasoning Standard for every stage of the cycle of enquiry and judgment needed to discover the truth and reason justice.*
7. *The Justice Priority needs Bias Controls and Party Equality Controls.*
 - 7.1. *The Bias Controls are:*
 - 7.1.1. *A Neutrality Obligation and Conflict Disqualification and Conflict Voluntary Disclosure Obligation for the Case Parties against All Justice Officers who manage Investigation, Prosecution, Defence and Adjudication.*
 - 7.1.2. *Jurisdiction Separation of Investigation, Prosecution, Defence, Trial Adjudication and Appeal Adjudication*
 - 7.1.3. *Jurisdiction Superiority for the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority against Parliament, and Parliament against the Law Courts and the Law Courts against the State and Profession Authorities*
 - 7.2. *The Party Equality Controls are:*
 - 7.2.1. *Case Priority for the Corruption Case of the Citizen against All Cases of the State and Profession Authorities*
 - 7.2.2. *Case Management Incapacity Remedies for the Incapable Parties against Capable Parties*
 - 7.2.3. *The Evidence Balance Start Setting of a Validity Presumption for the Citizen and Credibility Presumption for the State subject to Proof Positive Rebuttal Proof*
 - 7.2.4. *Fraud Proof on anything getting a Proof Burden Reversal of a Fraud Presumption on everything else for the Victim against the Fraud Manager and the Fraud Beneficiary subject to a Positive Rebuttal Proof*
8. *The Citizen, Crown and Lord Bishops are the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority. They are the Profession Authority for Top Judges and Top Politicians. They have Parliament Session Powers. They decide the Corruption Remedy Conditions for each Parliament Session.*
9. *The Citizen has Investigation Jurisdiction.*

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission + Judicial Enquiry
All Authorities	All Authorities	Incapable Party v All Others	Jurisdiction Case Incapacity Remedy Precedent
Chancery Court	Chancery Court	Citizen Father Mr Sood v Trustee Son Mr Sood	Contempt Fraud Appeal 2022 000007
County Court	County Court	Citizen Father Mr Sood v Trustee Son Mr Sood	Trust Asset Sale Claim Fraud B01B0837
County Court	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Bank of Scotland	Mortgage Possession Claim Fraud F01P7696
County Court	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Lawyer Mr Coates	Sale Negligence Settlement Breach Claim E02YM867

Royal Commission + 2022 000007 + B01B0837 REVISED Incapacity + Case Proposals 14th February 2022

10. *The Crown has Prosecution Jurisdiction. It is the power to issue Trial Orders for Corruption Cases against the State and Unfitness Cases against Officers and Authorities.*
11. *The Lord Archbishops have Court Lawyer Jurisdiction, one for the Trial Court and the other for the Appeal Court.*
12. *The 24 Lord Bishops have Adjudication Jurisdiction. Up to 12 sit as Trial Jurors and up to 12 others sit as Appeal Jurors.*
13. *The Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority uses the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. It is Official Records that are Justice Proof for Honourable Officers or Guilt Proof against Corrupt Officers and Credibility Irrelevance Proof for the Victim.*
14. *The Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority needs Case Management by Case Parties that gets Proof Sets that meet the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard and are for or against the State, Profession Authorities, Law Courts, Cabinet, Governing Majority, Opposition Minority and Parliament*
15. *An Equity Lawyer is anyone who has the competence and commitment for Case Management that gets Proof Sets that meet the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard, and then knows how to make best use them for the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority.*
16. *Corruption Remedy Case Management needs:*
 - 16.1. *Passive Protection Rights that are Integrity Tests of the Protection Managers*
 - 16.2. *Active Protection Rights that enable Integrity Tests of All Authorities*
 - 16.3. *A Privilege Waiver and Confidentiality Waiver and Case Use Authority and Remedy Co-operation from a Case Party to an Equity Lawyer in exchange for Citizen Status.*
 - 16.4. *The Passive Protection Rights from the Crown and Parliament for the Citizen get a Protection Enforcement Obligation against the Cabinet and Prime Minister, and Enforcement Accountability Obligation against the Opposition Leader. They get either Justice Proof for the Cabinet, Prime Minister and Opposition Leader or Protection Denial Fraud Proof against them.*
 - 16.5. *Active Protection Rights from the Crown and Parliament that are:*
 - 16.5.1. *A Legal Service Crime Prosecution Prohibition and Contempt Penalty Enforcement Prohibition and Any Other Necessary Protection for the Equity against the Cabinet and Prime Minister that enables Integrity Tests of All Relevant Authorities*
 - 16.5.2. *Power Use Prohibitions for anything else needed to service the Integrity Tests*