

Best Advice for the Special Measures Enforcement Parliamentary Committee

28th August 2022

Special Remedy Case Enquiries of the Metropolitan Police Commissioner

1. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that:
 - 1.1. the Special Measures Decision is subject to Protection Fraud Conditions?
 - 1.2. and if so,
 - 1.2.1. what are the Protection Fraud Conditions?
 - 1.2.2. who imposed them?
 - 1.2.3. who are the Fraud Beneficiaries?
 - 1.2.4. who are the Fraud Victims ?
2. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that the Criminal Conspiracy Proof includes
 - 2.1. Best Evidence Concealment Frauds?
 - 2.2. Perjured Evidence Frauds?
 - 2.3. Use of Best Evidence Concealment Frauds for Best Evidence Exclusion Frauds and use of Perjured Evidence Frauds as Next Best Evidence Frauds?
 - 2.4. Use of Half Eaten Shea Butter Packet for a White Substance Suspicion Fraud for a Drug Crime Framing Fraud and Criminal; Defamation Fraud?
 - 2.5. Use of Criminal Defamation Frauds for Family Sabotage Fraud Bail Conditions?
 - 2.6. Use of Criminal Defamation Frauds for Child Protection Frauds by Barking and Dagenham Council?
 - 2.7. Use of Court Exclusion Frauds against Defence Witnesses and Public Gallery Witnesses?
 - 2.8. Use of Area Exclusion Frauds by Metropolitan Police Officers for Court Exclusion Frauds against Defence Witnesses and Public Gallery Witnesses?
3. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that the cases of Citizen Mr Nkrumah got Criminal Conspiracy Proof against Housing Association Officers, Metropolitan Police Officers, Essex Police Officers, State School Officers, Dagenham and Barking Council Officers, Crown Prosecutors, Court Officers and Law Court Judges?
4. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that the process that got the Special Measures Decision against the Metropolitan Police used Criminal Conspiracy Proof got by Citizen Mr Nkrumah?
5. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that Case Tests discovered that the Special Measures Decision did not stop Metropolitan Police Officers from continuing the Criminal Conspiracy against Citizen Mr Nkrumah?
6. Does the Commissioner have Witness Protection Plans for Citizen Mr Nkrumah, and if so, what are they?

7. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that:
- 7.1. Top Police, Top Customs and Top Judges are the top Drug Dealers?
 - 7.2. Top Drug Sales have Protection Frauds, that in the case of Rotherham and elsewhere, include Child Prostitution and Everything Else?
 - 7.3. The Top Drug Dealers needed Reliable Supplies of Chemically Traceable Drugs for Career Sabotage Frauds against Honest Officers at Sort Notice?
 - 7.4. The Reliable Supplies needed a Drug Production Business?
 - 7.5. The Drug Production Business was in Sussex because the water was good for it?
 - 7.6. Top Police made Drug Production Hosting conditional upon Protection Fraud Proof by Top Judges?
 - 7.7. The Protection Fraud Proof was the 1991 191 Judgment Fraud dated 8th June 2001 of High Court Mr Neuberger that recorded the Fact Admissions and Intent Admissions that were Bankruptcy Fraud Proof and a Remedy Restraint Fraud against the Victim?
 - 7.8. The Bankrupt Estate included Somersalers Farm, London Road, Crowborough?
 - 7.9. The Bankruptcy Fraud got use of Somersales Farm for Drug Production?
 - 7.10. Top Judges insisted they have Business Manager Appointment Powers because they did not trust anyone else to pay them?
 - 7.11. Top Judges gave a Business Manager Appointment to Organised Criminal Mr Winston Leachman?
 - 7.12. Business Manager Mr Leachman and Junior Officers wanted Protection Frauds that would last their lifetimes?
 - 7.13. In June 2002 a Firearms Theft by Business Manager Mr Leachman got Firearms Theft Protection Frauds by Sussex Police that he and the Junior Officers expected to last their lifetimes?
 - 7.14. Official Records evidence that the Protection Frauds have lasted more than 20 years so far?
8. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that a Firearms Records of Sussex Police are:
- 8.1. Receipt 0233607 dated June 2002 listed Stolen Firearms and identified Mr Winston Leachman as Possessor?
 - 8.2. Receipt 0233608 dated June 2002 listed the Cabinet from which the firearms were stolen with the comment 'locked – contents unknown' and identified the Lawful Possessor?
 - 8.3. The Sussex Police Officers went to Lewes Armoury with intent to putting the Stolen Firearms in the Cabinet and pretending nothing had happened?
 - 8.4. The Cabinet was locked and they had no key?

Best Advice for the Special Measures Enforcement Parliamentary Committee

28th August 2022

- 8.5. The Standard Unlock Procedure needed the presence of the True Owner to identify Missing Content and account for Unlawful Content, if any?
- 8.6. No one dare conduct the Standard Procedure because it defeated the purpose of the Protection Fraud?
- 8.7. No one dare conduct a Without Owner Unlock Procedure because Mr Leachman was a Known Dangerous Criminal and he might have put content in the Cabinet that they could not ignore?
- 8.8. The Stolen Firearms, Locked Cabinet, Receipts and Armoury Records were Protection Fraud Conspiracy Proof against Sussex Police?
- 8.9. The Theft Concealment Fraud Failure motivated hundreds of Theft Discovery Delay Frauds?
9. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that:
 - 9.1. The Firearms Theft Victim used Sussex Police for Free Storage as long as it suited him?
 - 9.2. The Theft Discovery Delay Frauds included Firearms Certificate Renewal Application Process Denial Fraud by Sussex Police?
 - 9.3. The Ringmer Rifle Club had Storage facilities so that a Membership Notice revealed the Victim had intent for a Firearms Collection that would be a Theft Discovery Event?
 - 9.4. Sussex Police used a Drug Crime Framing Fraud as a Theft Discovery Delay Fraud?
 - 9.5. Sussex Police knew that the Victim made Routine Rent Collection Visits to a house, moved a Drug Production Facility into the house, ensured an Occupier Absence, waited for the Rent Collection Visit to discover the Occupier Absence, use of the Landlord's Key for an Occupation Investigation Entry, and the Physical Presence and Drug Production Facility for a Drug Crime Arrest Fraud + Investigation Fraud + Framing Fraud against the Victim?
 - 9.6. Sussex Police used an Honest Search Team because they did not have time to get a Corrupt Search Team?
 - 9.7. The Search Team produced a Search Log that was Innocence Evidence for the Victim?
 - 9.8. The Case Officer tried to convert the Innocence Evidence into Guilt Evidence by use of Search Log Record Frauds?
 - 9.9. Each Record Fraud created the need for Additional Record Frauds until Obvious Frauds contaminated every page except the first page that gave the Date + Place + Case Reference?
 - 9.10. The Framing Fraud used a Charge Fraud, Custody Remand Fraud and Prosecution File Service Denial Fraud because it was Framing Fraud Proof?
 - 9.11. The Prosecution File Service Denial Fraud got a Trial Adjournment and Huge Bribes to get Trial Fraud Co-operation by the Defence Team against the Victim?
 - 9.12. The Trial Frauds included disclosure of the Criminal Record of Organised Criminal Mr Leachman because he was Prosecution Witness?

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Administrative Court	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Metropolitan Police + Ministry of Justice House of Commons Speaker + Leadership Contestants	Special Measures + Election Integrity Test Case Review 1 st + 2 nd Defendant 1 st + 2 nd + 3 rd Interested Parties
	Crown Court Magistrates Court Metropolitan Police	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Another	Trial Frauds 2021 0540 + 2022 043 Trial Frauds 01 KD 98 21 + 2101959064 + 2100279461 + 2200054477 ++ Framing Fraud 012201364038
Best Advice for the Special Measures Enforcement Parliamentary Committee			28 th August 2022

- 9.13. The Criminal Record revealed that Organised Criminal Mr Leachman was subject to a Statutory Prohibition against Firearms Possession with a Minimum Penalty of 5 years Imprisonment when he committed the Firearms Theft in June 2002?
- 9.14. The Drug Crime Framing Fraud got a Conviction Fraud and Sentence Fraud?
10. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that:
- 10.1. The 2007 Parliament Session Priority was Set Up Conditions for a Corruption Investigation of the Law Courts that required
- 10.1.1. a Unanimous Universal Precedent that the priority in every case was a Validity Investigation to discover whether there was Valid Title to Investigation Powers, Prosecution Powers and Adjudication Powers,
- 10.1.2. use of the Universal Precedent and the 1991 191 Bankruptcy Fraud Proof for a Corruption Investigation of the Law Court?
- 10.2. The Corruption Investigation got Corruption Findings, Remedy Entitlement Findings and Investigation Priority Findings with Execution Responsibility by the Cabinet?
- 10.3. The Investigation Execution Failure got a Dismissal Decision against Prime Minister Mr Brown and Execution Responsibility Finding against the Cabinet
- 10.4. The Execution Failure got Protection Fraud Proof and Dismissal Decision against the Governing Majority that required Remedy Commitment Proof from the Opposition Minority?
- 10.5. The Remedy Commitment Proof was a Resignation and Re-election Candidacy of Opposition Deputy Leader?
- 10.6. The Remedy Commitment Proof validated the Expense Account Investigation and exposure of it timed for the 2009 Local Elections with intent to enable the 2010 General Election to get a Power Transfer from the Corrupt Majority and to a Just Majority that had made the Remedy Commitment?
11. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that:
- 11.1. In June 2008 the Theft Discovery Delay Crimes included failure by the Armourer to use expiry of the 6 Year Goods Storage Limit for a Collection Time Limit Notice + Default Destruction Notice?
- 11.2. The retirement of the Armourer got an appointment of a Replacement Armourer?
- 11.3. The Replacement Armourer did not know the history and issued a Collection Time Limit Notice + Default Destruction Penalty Notice that identified the Stolen Firearms and Locked Cabinet?
- 11.4. Polite Questions got Receipt Copies from the Replacement Armourer for the Victim?
- 11.5. The Receipts and Criminal Convictions Record were a Firearms Corruption Proof Set?

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Administrative Court	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Metropolitan Police + Ministry of Justice House of Commons Speaker + Leadership Contestants	Special Measures + Election Integrity Test Case Review 1 st + 2 nd Defendant 1 st + 2 nd + 3 rd Interested Parties
	Crown Court Magistrates Court Metropolitan Police	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Another	Trial Frauds 2021 0540 + 2022 043 Trial Frauds 01 KD 98 21 + 2101959064 + 2100279461 + 2200054477 ++ Framing Fraud 012201364038

Best Advice for the Special Measures Enforcement Parliamentary Committee

28th August 2022

- 11.6. The Firearms Corruption Proof Sets and Criminal Complaints got Protection Fraud Conspiracy Proof against
- 11.7. In 2009 exposure of the Expense Account Scandals was timed for the Local Election Campaign Period?
- 11.8. The Expense Account Scandal forced the Party Leaders to make Corruption Admissions and ask for Voter Support for Remedy Management?
- 11.9. The Expense Account Scandal motivated Prime Minister Mr Brown to issue Ruin Fraud Orders against Corruption Victims?
- 11.10. Some of the Ruin Frauds were managed by Metropolitan Police Officers at the Fixated Threat Assessment Centre?
- 11.11. One of the Ruin Fraud Orders required a Mental Health Fraud by the Fixated Threat Assessment Centre against the Firearms Corruption Victim?
- 11.12. The Expense Account Scandals got Confidence Collapses for Issue Denial Fraud by Court Officers that enabled the Victim to get issue of a Corruption Case against Sussex Police, and half an hour later, a Corruption Claim against Sussex Police and Prime Minister Mr Brown on the Friday before Election Day?
- 11.13. The Corruption Cases got an Urgent Consideration Denial Fraud the Administrative Court on the Monday, and Government Office Resignations on the Tuesday and Wednesday before Election Day on the Thursday?
12. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that
 - 12.1. The Corruption Remedy Process of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority needed a Long Session of 2 ½ years for a Corruption Investigation of the Law Courts by the Lord Chancellor for the Crown?
 - 12.2. The Corruption Investigation of the Law Courts needed Co-operation Commitment Proof from Citizens and the State?
 - 12.3. In 2009 the Firearms Corruption Cases and Other Cases were Commitment Proof from Citizens?
 - 12.4. In 2009 the Expense Account Scandals gave Secret Service Officers the confidence to provide Commitment Proof for the State?
 - 12.5. The Co-operation Commitment Proof from the State included
 - 12.5.1. Securing Medical Records that were Hospital Murder Proof to enable Medical Records Access Action by the Victim to get either Remedy Proof for the Authorities or Remedy Denial Fraud Proof that was Murder Conspiracy Proof against Guilty Individuals?
 - 12.5.2. creating Official Records that were Obvious Forgery Proof for an Integrity Test of the Law Courts?

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Administrative Court	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Metropolitan Police + Ministry of Justice House of Commons Speaker + Leadership Contestants	Special Measures + Election Integrity Test Case Review 1 st + 2 nd Defendant 1 st + 2 nd + 3 rd Interested Parties
	Crown Court Magistrates Court Metropolitan Police	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Another	Trial Frauds 2021 0540 + 2022 043 Trial Frauds 01 KD 98 21 + 2101959064 + 2100279461 + 2200054477 ++ Framing Fraud 012201364038

Best Advice for the Special Measures Enforcement Parliamentary Committee

28th August 2022

12.5.3. creating Official Records that enabled use of Firearms Protection Fraud Proof against Sussex Police for the Corruption Investigation of the Law Courts?

13. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that
 - 13.1. the 2010 Parliament Session Priority was a Long Session of 2 ½ years for the Corruption Investigation of the Law Courts by the Lord Chancellor for the Crown?
 - 13.2. the fact Prime Minister Mr Cameron, Deputy Prime Minister Mr Clegg and Opposition Leader Mr Miliband knew about the Corruption Investigation but did not know the detail freed them to manage their respective responsibilities?
 - 13.3. the Corruption Investigation of the Law Courts by the Lord Chancellor for the Crown used Execution Services by Prime Minister Mr Cameron, Deputy Prime Minister Mr Clegg and Opposition Leader Mr Miliband that is Remedy Co-operation Proof for them?
 - 13.4. the Execution Services included instructions from Prime Minister Mr Cameron that:
 - 13.4.1. The Director of Public Prosecutions keep a Parliament Session File to the intent that a File Production Order got the Original Documents and eliminated the need for Document Verification by the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority?
 - 13.4.2. The Sussex Crown Prosecutor provide a Document Stamping Service and Document Receiving Service that enabled the Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis to produce and file Original Documents for the Parliament Session File of the Director of Public Prosecutions for the 8 years from December 2011 to November 2019?
 - 13.4.3. The office of Director of Public Prosecutions was held by Sir Keir Starmer from 2008 to 2013 and Parliament File Production Orders got Original Document from him from December 2011 until he, left office in 2013?
 - 13.5. The Lord Chancellor used Witness Protection Applications by the Firearms Crime Victim and a Case Reference from the Queen via the Secret Service in late 2011 for an Integrity Test that got a Witness Protection Denial Fraud, a Firearms Crime Framing Fraud, a Conviction Fraud, a Sentence Fraud and Appeal Process Denial Fraud that were Protection Fraud Conspiracy Proof against Sussex Police Chief Constable, Case Officers, Sussex Crown Prosecutor Chief, Case Prosecutors, Director of Public Prosecutions Sir Keir Starmer and the Law Courts?
 - 13.6. The Firearms Crime Framing Fraud completed the Corruption Investigation of the Law Courts?
14. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that:
 - 14.1. The Corruption Investigation got Trial Fraud Findings, Appeal Fraud Findings and Protection Fraud Findings for the People against the Law Courts and a Victim Identification Priority Findings and Execution Responsibility Finding against Parliament?

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Administrative Court	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Metropolitan Police + Ministry of Justice House of Commons Speaker + Leadership Contestants	Special Measures + Election Integrity Test Case Review 1 st + 2 nd Defendant 1 st + 2 nd + 3 rd Interested Parties
	Crown Court Magistrates Court Metropolitan Police	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Another	Trial Frauds 2021 0540 + 2022 043 Trial Frauds 01 KD 98 21 + 2101959064 + 2100279461 + 2200054477 ++ Framing Fraud 012201364038

Best Advice for the Special Measures Enforcement Parliamentary Committee

28th August 2022

- 14.2. In September 2012 Parliament used Hillsborough Scandal Exposure Week to get Television News Publicity for Protection Frauds by Top Judges and a Discrete Negotiation Offer of the exchange of Immunity Terms for Remedy Co-operation that required Victim Identification?
- 14.3. The Negotiation Offer got a Negotiation Refusal and Corruption Continuity Plan by the Protection Fraud Network that manages Court Fraud Sales?
- 14.4. The Corruption Continuity Plan used an Intimidation Fraud to silence Parliament, Remedy Denial Frauds until the 2015 General Election, Hung Parliament Propaganda Frauds in hope that a Hung Parliament and Coalition Negotiations would get a Corrupt Majority and Immunity Frauds, and a Back Up Plan in case the General Election got a Just Majority?
- 14.5. In 2014 the Protection Fraud Network used a Business Expansion Plan to avoid Total Collapse?
- 14.6. The Business Expansion Plan was for family Sabotage Frauds and Child Thefts to get Child Care Big Budget and Budget Fraud Profits with Extradition Frauds to get Money Laundering Services from Sovereign States?
- 14.7. The Family Sabotage Frauds, Child Thefts and Money Laundering Protection Frauds used Criminal Investigation Frauds by the Metropolitan Police?
- 14.8. Remedy Co-operation from Prime Minister Mr Cameron, Deputy Prime Minister Mr Clegg and Opposition Leader gave the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority the confidence that whoever won the 2015 General Election could be relied on to manage Mass Publicity for Corruption Findings and Dismissal Decisions against Law Court Judges?
- 14.9. The 2015 Parliament Session Priority was Set Up Conditions for the Judge Dismissals?
- 14.10. The Set-Up Conditions were
 - 14.10.1. A Universal Precedents that in every case fraud invalidates all process?
 - 14.10.2. A Universal Precedent that a Conflicted Interest is a Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification from Justice Offices?
 - 14.10.3. Automatic Case Issue Rights for the Citizen against the State, Profession Authorities and Law Courts?
 - 14.10.4. The Case Set Up Period was 1 year?
- 14.11. The 2015 General Election got a Governing Majority for Prime Minister Mr Cameron?
- 14.12. Prime Minister Mr Cameron used the 1 Year Case Set Up Period for the European Referenda, led the Remain Campaign, lost and resigned?
- 14.13. It was an Honourable Resignation?
- 14.14. The Protection Fraud Network needed stop the Judge Dismissal?
- 14.15. The Protection fraud Network bought leadership Votes for MP Mrs May because she was one of them?

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Administrative Court	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Metropolitan Police + Ministry of Justice House of Commons Speaker + Leadership Contestants	Special Measures + Election Integrity Test Case Review 1 st + 2 nd Defendant 1 st + 2 nd + 3 rd Interested Parties
	Crown Court Magistrates Court Metropolitan Police	Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Citizen Mr Nkrumah v State Citizen Mr Nkrumah v Another	Trial Frauds 2021 0540 + 2022 043 Trial Frauds 01 KD 98 21 + 2101959064 + 2100279461 + 2200054477 ++ Framing Fraud 012201364038

Best Advice for the Special Measures Enforcement Parliamentary Committee

28th August 2022

15. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that:
 - 15.1. In 2019 Corruption Proof got a Forced Resignation from Prime Minister Mrs May and a Forced General Election against the Governing Majority?
 - 15.2. The December 2019 Session Priority was Remedy Proof for the Law Courts or Criminal Conspiracy Proof for Dismissal Decisions against Law Court Judges?
 - 15.3. Test Cases got Criminal Conspiracy Proof?
 - 15.4. The 2021 Session Priority was Remedy Delivery?
 - 15.5. Remedy Delivery needed Mass Publicity for Corruption Findings and Dismissal Decisions against Law Court Judges?
 - 15.6. Dismissal Failures were Protection Fraud Proof against the Cabinet?
 - 15.7. The Default Penalty was Dismissal Decisions against the Prime Minister with Execution Responsibility Findings against the Cabinet, Governing Majority and Opposition Minority?
 - 15.8. Execution Failures were Protection Fraud Proof against the Cabinet, most of the Governing Majority and most of the Opposition Minority, including Opposition Leader Sir Keir Starmer?
 - 15.9. The Cabinet and Opposition Leader made an Election Fraud Plan that used Revenue Termination Blackmail by the Cabinet and Big Business to get Protection Fraud Non-Disclosure Propaganda services by the BBC and Independent Television?
 - 15.10. The Election Frauds did not 2022 Local Elections Defeats against the Governing Majority?
 - 15.11. The Local Election Defeats did not get a Forced Resignation from Prime Minister Mr Johnson?
 - 15.12. The 2022 Parliament Session Priority is Remedy Delivery. It needed Special Measures against Authorities starting with the Metropolitan Police, and a Forced Resignation from Prime Minister Mr Johnson?
 - 15.13. Two By Election Defeats got more than 50 Government Office Resignations and a Forced Resignation from Prime Minister?
 - 15.14. Prohibited Question Frauds and Protection Fraud Non-Disclosure Propaganda and Continuing Oppression Fraud were Election Fraud Proof against the Leadership Contest Managers, State, Profession Authorities and Law Courts?
16. Does the Commissioner admit, deny or require proof that:
 - 16.1. Election Fraud Remedies need Special Measures Accountability before the General Election?
 - 16.2. Special Measures Accountability needs:
 - 16.2.1. Just Protection for Corruption Victims with Mass Publicity?
 - 16.2.2. Remedy Action against Corrupt Officers with Mass Publicity?
 - 16.3. The