

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Court of Appeal	Citizen Mr Sood v 2 nd Trustee Son Mr Sood	Fraud Appeal CA 2022 001411
	Chancery Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Ministry of Justice + Cabinet	Trust Fraud Claim
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v 2 nd Trustee Son Mr Sood	Trust Asset Sale Fraud B01B0837
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Bank + 2 nd Trustee Son	Mortgage Possession Fraud F1PP7696
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Lawyers + 1 st Trustee Son	Trust Fraud + Sale Negligence E04867
Royal Commission + E04YM867 Fraud Appeal Grounds + Proposals of Citizen Mr Sood			5 th October 2022

Integrity Test Case Notice for the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority

Appeal against the Lawyer Mr Ross Coates Defendant Party Status Refusal + Trust Accountability and Negligence Settlement Default Claim Increase Refusal + Claim Increase to Negligence Settlement Default and Trust Accountability + Trustee Mr Ritesh Sood Defendant Status Refusal + Contempt Investigation Order Refusal + Court Records Disclosure Refusal + Lay Party Case Management Incapacity Exploitation Fraud + Theft Protection Fraud that is evidenced by the Romford County Court 5 Allocation Hearing Audio Record on 30th September 2022 that is Personal Responsibility Proof against Identifiable Individuals namely Defendant Advocate Mr Jones and District Judge Mr Edwards. Court Record Frauds created the need for the Identification Proof.

1. Crown and Parliament Superior Jurisdiction Protection Rights Finding + Inferior Jurisdiction Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit Finding + Protection Breach and Remedy Jurisdiction Limit Breach Finding + Contempt Finding + Remedy Entitlement Finding for Citizen Mr Sood against the Defendant and Defence Case Managers for the Stated Reasons
2. E04YM867 Pending Appeal Enforcement Stay Order for Citizen Mr Sood against the Defendant for the Stated Reasons
3. Claim Increase by addition of Contempt Fraud Conspiracy and Trust Accountability to the Negligence Settlement Payment Default Order for Citizen Mr Sood against the Defendant
4. Defendant Status Orders for Citizen Mr Sood against Lawyer Mr Ross Coates and Trustee Son Mr Ritesh Sood for the Stated Reasons
5. Contempt Investigation Order + Investigator Appointment Reference to the Cabinet
6. Contempt Investigation Disclosure Order that the County Court Chief Administrative Officer do
 - 6.1. put Sealed Evidence of this order on the Romford County Court Files for Mortgage Possession Case F1PP7696 and serve a Sealed Order the Bank of Scotland by email and post,
 - 6.2. serve Sealed Evidence of this order for Court of Appeal Civil Division Case 2022 001411,
 - 6.3. serve Sealed Evidence of this order for the Administrative Court Administrative Decision Court Fraud Review Claim of Citizen Mr Sood against the Cabinet
 - 6.4. serve Sealed Evidence of this order for the Central London County Court Case B01B0837 with a Polite Request it be brought to the attention of Judge Dight
7. Contempt Investigation Directions Hearing at 2 p.m. on 28th October 2022 at Romford County Court

Stated Reasons

 1. The Citizen Father, Mr Sham Pal Sood makes the Contempt Fraud Conspiracy Case that:
 - 1.1. The Father was born onto a Poor Indian family, had little education, Limited Language Skills and has Limited Case Management Capacity.

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Court of Appeal	Citizen Mr Sood v 2 nd Trustee Son Mr Sood	Fraud Appeal CA 2022 001411
	Chancery Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Ministry of Justice + Cabinet	Trust Fraud Claim
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v 2 nd Trustee Son Mr Sood	Trust Asset Sale Fraud B01B0837
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Bank + 2 nd Trustee Son	Mortgage Possession Fraud F1PP7696
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Lawyers + 1 st Trustee Son	Trust Fraud + Sale Negligence E04867
Royal Commission + E04YM867 Fraud Appeal Grounds + Proposals of Citizen Mr Sood			5 th October 2022

- 1.2. The Father used Medical Negligence Damages to finance a Family Estate, bought Letting Property, used rental income to support 4 children and himself and appointed the 2 Older Sons as Estate Trustees. The does not have the Accountability Skills for Trust Management.
- 1.3. Both Trustee Sons committed Trust Frauds against the Father. The Trust Asset Sale Claim B01B0837 by the 2nd Trustee Son, Mr Vischal Sood, got Sale Orders. The 3rd Son agreed to act as Purchase Agent for the Father and got Mortgage Offers. Repeat Purchase Effort got Repeat Sale Refusals by the 2nd Son and Sale Co-operation Refusals and Mortgage Repayment Refusal by the Bank of Scotland. They were Order Breach Contempt that got Contempt Immunity Frauds from the Law Courts. In the circumstances issue of Mortgage Default Possession Claim F1PP7696 was a Contempt Fraud by both the Bank of Scotland and County Court.
- 1.4. The Father needed to raise money for Case Management. He decided to sell Flat 55 Spectrum Tower Ilford IG1 4GZ. The 1st Trustee Son gave Power of Attorney so that he could manage the sale. He gave Sale Instructions to a Law Business that was owned by Lawyer Mr Ross Coates and traded as Harmony Law.
- 1.5. At all material times Lawyer Mr Ross Coates knew that the 1st Son, Mr Ritesh Sood was a Family Estate Trustee and the Father was Trust Beneficiary. The failure to exclude the Parking from the Flat Sale Contract was Sale Negligence. It got a Parking Claim by the Buyer and Sale Negligence by the Father and Settlement Agreement with Lawyer Mr Ross Coates. The Father made the Parking Transfer Release conditional on the Settlement Payment.
- 1.6. A Trust Fraud Conspiracy by the Lawyer and Trustee Son used a Trust Denial, Attorney Powers Revocation, Settlement Payment. Trust Accounting Denial and Negligence Settlement Default Claim E04YM867 Delays for the Unjust Enrichment of the Trustee Son and Unjust Impoverishment of the Father. The Consequential Damage was Compromised Management of the B01B0837 Defence Case.
- 1.7. The Trust Evidence includes a False Document dated 23rd July 2022 produced by the Trustee Son for signature by the Beneficiary Father. It identifies concerning Flat 55 Spectrum Tower Ilford IG1 4GZ. It is a Trust Asset Admission. It contains an £81,696 Reimbursement Entitlement Confirmation for the Trustee Son. A 5 Year No Contact Period ended on 22nd July 2022 with Surprise Resumed Contact when the Trustee Sons approached the Father in the street, invited him to his home and agreed a visit the next day. The Father visited. The Trustee Sons presented the False Document and asked the Father to sign it. The Surprise Resumed Contact had caused the Father to have Reconciliation Hopes. He looked at the document and signed it. At some stage in the process the Trustee Son said he was in Financial Difficulties and needed the document to stay in business. The Father needed time to realise the Only Credible Purpose of the document was a Creditworthiness Fraud against Creditors and or the Financial Service Authority. A Protest Email on 30th July 2022 from the Father to the Trustee Son got a Communication Series that adds to the

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Court of Appeal	Citizen Mr Sood v 2 nd Trustee Son Mr Sood	Fraud Appeal CA 2022 001411
	Chancery Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Ministry of Justice + Cabinet	Trust Fraud Claim
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v 2 nd Trustee Son Mr Sood	Trust Asset Sale Fraud B01B0837
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Bank + 2 nd Trustee Son	Mortgage Possession Fraud F1PP7696
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Lawyers + 1 st Trustee Son	Trust Fraud + Sale Negligence E04867
Royal Commission + E04YM867 Fraud Appeal Grounds + Proposals of Citizen Mr Sood			5 th October 2022

Trust Evidence. The Father gave the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority use of his cases for Integrity Tests of the Authorities. He got Citizen Status and Case Management by Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis. Court Record Frauds created the need for the Remedy Process to get Audio Records as Personal Responsibility Proof against Identifiable Individuals for Court Frauds.

1.8. The Sale Negligence Settlement Default Claim E04YM867 Allocation Hearing on 30th September was an Integrity Test Opportunity. The Corruption Remedy Process Notices and Integrity Test Cases Notices from the Equity Lawyer to Defence Advocate Mr Jones before the hearing and District Judge Mr Edwards at the start of the hearing got Engagement Refusals. A Without Notice Oral Case Dismissal Application by the Defence Advocate got an Application Process Refusal by the Hearing Judge because of a previous Claim Dismissal Refusal. The Hearing Proposals dated 30th Party Status Refusals, that is Trust Fraud Conspiracy Proof against Lawyer Mr Ross Coates, Defence Advocate Mr Jones, District Judge Mr Edwards and the County Court. The Written Hearing Proposals of the Father were Remedy Applications that got a Trust Accountability Claim Increase Refusal, Party Status Refusals, Discovery Refusals and

1.9. The dignity of the Law Courts requires a Contempt Investigation

1.10. Fair Process requires Party Status for Lawyer Mr Ross Coates and Trustee Mr Ritesh Sood
The Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority

2. The Citizen, Crown, Lord Archbishops and Lord Bishops have Corruption Control Jurisdictions and Parliament Session Powers. They use the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. It is Official Records that are Justice Proof for Honourable Officers or Guilt Proof against Corrupt Officers and Credibility Irrelevance Proof for the Victim. It eliminates the need for Witness Attendances and Credibility Findings and enables Rapid Processing of Trial Orders. The Citizen has Investigation Jurisdiction. It is the power to use a Privilege Waiver, Confidentiality Waiver, any case and all cases for Integrity Tests of the Authorities. Corruption. They get Justice Proof for Honourable Officers or Corruption Proof against the State and Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against the Law Courts. The Crown has Prosecution Authority. It is the power to issue Trial Orders of Corruption Cases against the State and Unfitness Cases against Officers and Authorities. The Lord Archbishops have Court Lawyer Jurisdiction, one for the Trial Court and the other for the Appeal Court. The Lord Bishops have Adjudication Jurisdiction. There are 24 of them so that up to 12 can sit as Trial Jurors and up to 12 others as Appeal Jurors.

3. Corruption Proof gets a Corruption Finding, Remedy Entitlement Finding, Investigation Remedy Priority Findings to discover who is responsible and then Dismissal Remedy Findings against Law Court Judges with an Execution Responsibility Finding against the Cabinet. A Remedy Success is Justice Proof for whoever is responsible. A Remedy Failure is Protection Fraud Proof. A Judge Dismissal Execution Failure is Protection Fraud Proof against the Cabinet. The Default Penalty is a Dismissal Decision against the Prime Minister. A Dismissal Failure is Protection Fraud Proof against those of the Governing Majority and Opposition Minority who are responsible. The Ultimate Sanction is a Parliament Session

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Court of Appeal	Citizen Mr Sood v 2 nd Trustee Son Mr Sood	Fraud Appeal CA 2022 001411
	Chancery Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Ministry of Justice + Cabinet	Trust Fraud Claim
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v 2 nd Trustee Son Mr Sood	Trust Asset Sale Fraud B01B0837
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Bank + 2 nd Trustee Son	Mortgage Possession Fraud F1PP7696
	County Court	Citizen Mr Sood v Lawyers + 1 st Trustee Son	Trust Fraud + Sale Negligence E04867

Royal Commission + E04YM867 Fraud Appeal Grounds + Proposals of Citizen Mr Sood 5th October 2022

Refusal and Forced General Election with Mass Publicity for the Corruption Proof, Remedy Denial
 Fraud Proof and Protection Fraud Proof.

4. In 2004 the preparations for the European Referenda got Election Fraud Proof against the European Leaders including Prime Minister Mr Blair. Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis used it for a Corruption Notice to the crown and Parliament. It got revived use of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority after a Dormancy Period of 45 years and started a Corruption Remedy Process that has continued ever since.
5. The Remedy Process got Dismissal Decisions against Prime Ministers Mr Blair, Mr Brown, Mrs May and Mr Johnson. The one against Prime Minister Mr Johnson got Protection Fraud Proof against most of the Governing Majority and Opposition Minority. Dismissal Help Requests by Governing Majority Back Benchers got Help Refusals by Opposition Leader Sir Keir Starmer. In 2012 an Integrity Test got Protection Fraud Proof against him when he was Director of Public Prosecutions. The Shared Priority of the Cabinet and Opposition Leader was Protection Fraud Concealment. They made an Election Fraud Plan. Revenue Termination Blackmail by the Cabinet and Big Business got Protection Fraud Non – Disclosure Propaganda from the BBC and Commercial Media.
6. Integrity Tests in the 2022 Local Election got Fraud Proof against the Media, Law Courts and Top Politicians. Election Defeats did not get a Forced Resignation from Prime Minister Mr Johnson.
7. The 2022 Parliament Session Priority is Remedy Delivery. It needs Special Measures against the State, Profession Authorities and Law Courts with a Priority Decision against the Metropolitan Police. It needed a Forced Resignation from Prime Minister Mr Johnson.
8. Two By Election Defeats got more than 50 Government Office Resignations and a Forced Resignation from Prime Minister Mr Johnson.
9. Integrity Tests in the Leadership Contest got Fraud Proof against the Media, Law Courts and Contest Managers. Bribery and Blackmail enabled the Protection Fraud Network to make a Support Vote Offer subject to Protection Fraud Conditions. MP Ms Truss learnt nothing from the Dismissal Successes against Prime Ministers Mrs May and Mr Johnson. A Protection Fraud Deal got Support Votes, Propaganda Services and a Contest Win.
10. Prime Minister Ms Truss has Joint Liability for the Protection Frauds and Election Frauds committed by the Cabinet of Prime Minister Mr Johnson. She is bound by the Remedy Delivery Priority of the Parliament Session. The Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority has Fraud Proof that validates the Ultimate Sanction of a Parliament Session Refusal and Forced General Election with Mass Publicity for the Corruption Proof. All that remains is to get a Credible Choice for Voters. The Incredibility Proof against the Cabinet and Opposition Leader create a need for MPs to get Personal Credibility Proof. It needs a Remedy Coalition. Citizen Mr Sood got Fraud Proof that will force Administrative Decisions. They will get Fraud Remedies or Proof Sets for Fraud Claims against the State and Cabinet. They will get Corruption Findings, Remedy Entitlement Findings and a Fast Track Dismissal of Prime Minister Ms Truss. It will help MPs to focus on Remedy Delivery and Personal Credibility Proof.