

1. 1st Defendant Status for the Solicitor General as Agent and 2nd Claimant Status for the Cabinet as Principal
2. Discovery Order that the Solicitor General and Attorney General do within 7 days file and serve Statements explaining why the Agent had Claimant Status instead of the Principal
3. Claimant Status Issue Costs Order + Indemnity Assessment Order for the Equity Lawyer against the Solicitor General and the Cabinet
4. Fact Witness Status + Intent Witness Status Finding + Claim Witness Status Finding + CONSENT Witness Protection for all of the Citizens whose cases were used as Claim Evidence
5. Fact Witness Status + Intent Witness Status Finding + Defence Witness Status Finding + CONSENT Witness Protection for all of the Other Citizens whose cases were used as Defence Evidence
6. Witness Status Finding + Investigation Status Finding + Complainant Status Finding + Prosecutor Status Finding + Defender Status Finding + Credible Neutrality Deficit Conflict Disqualification Finding + Credibly Neutral Adjudicator Entitlement Finding + Case Dismissal Order + Contempt Liability Order Remedy Orders for the Equity Lawyer against the Judiciary for the Stated Reasons that:
 - 6.1. The Fraud Remedy Appeal 2022 0186 got the Case References dated 15th November 2021 and the Fraud Remedy Claim J00RM833 Case Reference + Civil Restraint Hearing Listing Order dated 3rd October respectively from the Supreme Court and the County Court
 - 6.2. A Criminal Conspiracy by Law Court Judges got a Claim Issue Denial Fraud by the High Court and Claim J00RM833 Issue Fraud by The County Court, and then an Issue Delay Fraud got Claim 2022 002595 in the High Court.
 - 6.3. The 2022 003098 Hearing Audio Record includes a claim by High Court Justice Mr Soole that the Restraint Renewal vested Defence Powers in the Judiciary and that the Equity Lawyer needed a Defence Permit from the Judiciary
 - 6.4. The Claim References and File Content, including the Without Hearing General Civil Restraint Renewal are Crime Proceeds of the Criminal Conspiracy.
 - 6.5. The Contempt Penalty Enforcement Permission Application acknowledges the
 - 6.6. The Claim Evidence contains Repeated Crime Warning. Some of them contain Crime Proceeds Warning.
 - 6.7. King's Counsel Mr Aidan Eardley cannot credibly deny:
 - 6.7.1. The Crime Warning + Crime Proceeds Warnings
 - 6.7.2. Fraud Proof on anything gets a Proof Burden Reversal using a Fraud Presumption on everything else for the Victim against the Fraud Manager and the Fraud Beneficiary
 - 6.7.3. Use of the Crime Proceeds is Fraud Proof for the Victim, who is Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis, against Claim Counsel Mr Eardley, the Solicitor General and Cabinet as Fraud Managers and them and the Judiciary as Fraud Beneficiaries
 - 6.7.4. The circumstances of the case there is No Rebuttal Proof.
 - 6.7.5. The circumstances of the case are Conflict Proof and a Conflict Disqualification against the Prosecutor Office