

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Supreme Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeals 2023
	Court of Appeal	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128
	High Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098
	Administrative Court	Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet	Contempt Claim CO 612 2022
	County Court	Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others	Contempt Claim J00RM833
Defence + Counterclaim + Statement + AMENDMENTS of the Equity Lawyer			27 <sup>th</sup> February 2023

Without Prejudice to Invalidity Arguments + Contempt and Terrorism Penalty Warning

*Deletion: Amendment Rights Reserved for the Citizen pending completion of the Fraud Investigations*

*ADDITION AMENDMENTS on pages 1 and 12 in italics*

*Fraud Appeal 2020 0186 + Contempt Claims J0RM833 + 2022 002595 + 2022 003098 + Fraud Appeals 2023 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128 and Other Test Cases got Contempt Fraud Conspiracy Proof against the County Court, High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court. The Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority needs it for the Corruption Remedy Process. The Cabinet and Opposition Leader needs it for the Contempt Fraud Exposure Plan against the Judiciary.*

Plea                      No Jurisdiction                      +                      No Liability

Jurisdiction Deficit Defence

1. Fraudulent Breaches of Natural Justice + Human Rights + Overriding Justice Objective  
Fundamental Law
2. A Validity Investigations has priority in every Conflict Case
3. The Justice Offices of Investigation, Prosecution, Defence and Adjudication are subject to a Neutrality Obligation that is managed by Voluntary Disclosure of Conflicted Interests
4. Fraud Proof on anything invalidates all process and gets a Fraud Presumption on everything else for the Victim against the Fraud Manager and the Fraud Beneficiary

Fundamental Law Breaches

5. Crime Management Conflicted Interest + Justice Office Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification for the Citizen against the Ministry of Justice, Solicitor General, Cabinet, Judiciary and Law Courts
6. Crime Protection Frauds Conflicted Interest + Justice Office Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification for the Citizen against the Ministry of Justice, Solicitor General, Cabinet, Judiciary and Law Courts
7. Witness Status + Complainant Status + Investigator Status + Prosecutor Status + Defender Status + Multiple Justice Office Conflicted Interest + Adjudication Conflict Disqualification for the Citizen against the Solicitor General, Cabinet and Judiciary
8. Pending Remedies Enforcement Stay General Protection + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit from the Superior Jurisdiction of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority for the Citizen against the State, Profession Authorities, Solicitor General, Cabinet and Law Courts
9. Pending Remedies Enforcement Stay Special Protection + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit from the Superior Jurisdiction of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority for the Equity Lawyer against the State, Profession Authorities, Solicitor General, Cabinet and Law Courts
10. Pending Remedies Enforcement Stay Protection + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit from the Superior Jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal for the Citizen against the State, Profession

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Supreme Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeals 2023
	Court of Appeal	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128
	High Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098
	Administrative Court	Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet	Contempt Claim CO 612 2022
	County Court	Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others	Contempt Claim J00RM833
Defence + Counterclaim + Statement + AMENDMENTS of the Equity Lawyer			27 <sup>th</sup> February 2023

Authorities, Solicitor General, Cabinet and High Court

11. The General Civil Restraint Orders and Restraint Breach Contempt Enforcement Action are the Crime Proceeds of Conflict Qualifications Frauds + Protection Breach Contempt Frauds by the everyone involved in the Complainant, Investigation, Prosecution, Defence Sabotage, Adjudication and Enforcement

#### Liability Defence

12. The Crime Proceeds Status gets Inadmissible Status for the Prosecution Evidence

#### Counterclaim

13. Justice Process Contempt Frauds using Criminal Conspiracies by the Organised Criminals and the powers of the State, Profession Authorities, Law Courts, Cabinet and Parliament for Market Exclusion Frauds, Conflict Qualification Frauds, Investigation Frauds, Prosecution Frauds, Defence Sabotage Frauds, Trial Frauds, Appeal Frauds and Parliament Session Priority Corruption Remedy Breach Frauds.
14. Full Details Reserved Rights for the Citizen

#### Damage

15. Life Ruin Damage of Liberty Sabotage Frauds + Reputation Sabotage Frauds + Estate Sabotage Frauds using Market Bias Frauds + Law Practice Disqualification Frauds + Asset Dispossession Frauds + Asset Freezing Frauds + Liability Declaration Frauds + Enforcement Frauds

#### Remedy Proposals

1. 1<sup>st</sup> Claimant Status Order + 2<sup>nd</sup> Claimant Status Order for the Citizen respectively against the Solicitor General and Cabinet for the Conflict Control Reason that the Law Courts require Party Status for the Principle, and not the Agent, as a Risk Control against Invalidity Findings and Contempt Findings motivating Authority Conflicts between Principle and Agent.
2. High Court Joint Management Order for the Citizen against the Former Prime Minister Mr Johnson, Opposition Leader Sir Keir Starmer, Solicitor General and Cabinet of the Contempt Fraud Remedy Claims that the case Management of County Court Contempt Claim J00RM833 and High Court Claims 2022 002595 + 2022 003098 Reasonable Suspicions of a Contempt Fraud Conspiracy that requires Co-ordinated Case Management
3. Crime Business Finding + Crime Business Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification Finding + Conspiracy Finding + Contempt Finding for the Citizen against the Judiciary and Law Courts for the Crime Business Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification Reason that the Rotherham Scandal got a Parliamentary Enquiry. It is reputed to have cost £6,000,000. The Corruption Remedy Process of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority gave notice to the Parliamentary Enquiry that the Top Police, Top Customs and Top Judges are the Top Drug Dealers, and the Top Drug Supplies go with

Crown	Parliament Supreme Court Court of Appeal High Court Administrative Court County Court	The People v Top Judges Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission Fraud Appeals 2023 Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128 Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098 Contempt Claim CO 612 2022 Contempt Claim J00RM833 27 <sup>th</sup> February 2023
Defence + Counterclaim + Statement + AMENDMENTS of the Equity Lawyer			

Protection Frauds that include Child Prostitution. The Parliamentary Enquiry discovered the Protection Frauds came from Law Court Judges and the Cabinets of Prime Minister Mrs May and Mr Johnson and Opposition Leaders Mr Corbyn and Sir Keir Starmer. All Law Court Judges are Drug Crime Profiteers and Child Prostitution Profiteers or provide Protection Frauds for All Others who are Crime Profiteers. It got a Crime Business Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification against the Judiciary and Law Courts

4. Witness Status Finding + Complainant Status Finding + Investigator Status Finding + Prosecutor Status Finding + Adjudication Conflict Interest Disqualification Finding for Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis against the Solicitor General, Cabinet and Judiciary for Multiple Justice Office Conflict

Disqualification Reasons that

- 4.1. The 2021 0186 Case Reference to the Cabinet via the Solicitor General dated 15<sup>th</sup> November 2021 and the J00RM833 Case Reference to the Cabinet via the Solicitor General dated 3<sup>rd</sup> November 2022 respectively from the Supreme Court and the County Court got Complainant Status and a Justice Office Conflict Disqualification against the Judiciary
- 4.2. Defence Manager Powers define Defender Status. The 2022 002595 Without Hearing General Civil Restraint Renewal dated 16<sup>th</sup> August 2022 and Hearing Denial Fraud, are Defender Status Proof for the Citizen against Judiciary.
- 4.3. Prosecution Powers define Prosecutor Status. The 2022 003098 Contempt Penalty Enforcement Permission Application of the Cabinet is Prosecutor Status Proof and Adjudication Conflict Disqualification Proof for the Citizen against the Judiciary. Prosecution Permission is Prosecutor Status Proof against the Judiciary.
- 4.4. The 2022 003098 Contempt Penalty Enforcement Permission Hearings on 23<sup>rd</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> December 2022 got Audio Records that Personal Responsibility Proof against Cabinet Counsel Mr Eardley and Justice Mr Soole for Justice Perversion Frauds that used Crime Business Conflict Disqualification Denial Frauds + Multiple Justice Office Conflict Disqualification Denial Frauds + Conflict Jurisdiction Qualification Frauds + Prosecution Frauds + Defence Denial Frauds + Validity Trial Denial Frauds + Liability Trial Permission Fraud + Liability Trial Frauds. It includes use of the 2022 002595 Restraint Renewal Fraud for a Defence Application Hearing Denial Frauds.
- 4.5. On Friday 14<sup>th</sup> December 2022 the Fee Office Attendance by the Citizen got Immediate Service until the Fee Officers knew he was Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis. They used an Appointment Requirement Fraud and Appointment for 12 noon on Monday 19<sup>th</sup> December 2022 to commit a 2022 003098 Trial Fraud Appeal Fee Remission Denial Fraud and 2022 002595 Defence Permission Application Fee Payment Receipt Refusal Fraud.

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Supreme Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeals 2023
	Court of Appeal	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128
	High Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098
	Administrative Court	Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet	Contempt Claim CO 612 2022
	County Court	Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others	Contempt Claim J00RM833
Defence + Counterclaim + Statement + AMENDMENTS of the Equity Lawyer			27 <sup>th</sup> February 2023

4.6. On Monday 19<sup>th</sup> December 2022 the WG08 Fee Office Attendance at 11.30 got Immediate Service from the Fee Office and the Appeal Fee Remission Certificate and the Application Fee Receipt. Then it got the Hearing Fraud Conspiracy Proof against the Fee Office Manager and the Listing Manager. The Fee Office Manager did not give Listing Office Contact Directions. The WG07 Counter Office Attendance by the Equity Lawyer discovered a Lunch Break Notice. He joined the Counter Queue. It got Profuse Apologies from the Counter Officer for the Notice Failure that it was the County Court Counter and that he could not give High Court Counter Access Directions but they could be got from the High Court Fee Office next door at WG08. The Attendance at WG08 got a Common Confusion Admission by Fee Manager and Listing Office Number + Select Option 5 for the Listing Office. An Access Telephone Call using the number and option 5 by the Citizen got Call Acceptance while the Fee Manager gave notice that a Fee Office Visit by the Listing Manager to collect the Application Papers in a few minutes, and an instruction to wait at the Fee Office Door. The Access Call got Repeat Notice of the Fee Office Visit by the Listing Manager for Papers Collection in a few minutes. The Citizen waited in the small corridor that services the WG08 Fee Office Door, WG07 County Court Office Door and Waiting Area Door. The Listing Office did not visit the Fee Office. The Fee Manager appeared, said the Listing Officer had visited the Waiting Area, failed to find the Citizen left, and would visit the Waiting Area again in a few minutes. The Citizen waited in the Waiting Area. At 15.45 15.47 and 1547 Access Calls with Option 5 Selections did not get Call Acceptance by Listing Officer but got Repeat Menu Notices that raised Reasonable Suspicions of an Access Call Block. He wrote Fraud Complaint Endorsements on the Application Notices and decided against filing them in the Drop Box because the Integrity Test needed a Repeat Visit. The Citizen filed the Appeal Papers in the Drop Box of the Court of Appeal.

4.7. The Hearing Denial Fraud Conspiracies on 14<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> December 2022 prevented the Defence Management Powers Application Hearing getting an Audio Record that was Justice Proof for the High Court or Defence Management Denial Fraud Proof against the High Court before expiry of the 2022 003098 Defence Statement Time Limit.

5. Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority Superior Conflict Jurisdiction Finding + Court of Appeal Superior Conflict Jurisdiction Finding + Superior Conflict Jurisdiction Pending Adjudication Enforcement Stay Protection and Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit Finding + Superior Conflict Jurisdiction Enforcement Stay Protection and Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit Breach Finding + Conspiracy Finding + Contempt Finding for the Citizen against the Solicitor General, Cabinet and High Court for the Protection Breach Reason
6. Conflict Disqualification Finding + Conflict Qualification Contempt Fraud Finding + Remedy Only

Crown	Parliament Supreme Court Court of Appeal High Court Administrative Court County Court	The People v Top Judges Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission Fraud Appeals 2023 Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128 Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098 Contempt Claim CO 612 2022 Contempt Claim J00RM833 27 <sup>th</sup> February 2023
-------	--	--	---

Defence + Counterclaim + Statement + AMENDMENTS of the Equity Lawyer

Jurisdiction Limit Breach Contempt Fraud Conspiracy Finding + Superior Jurisdiction Protection  
 Jurisdiction Contempt Fraud Conspiracy Finding + 2022 003098 Validity Investigation Denial  
 Contempt Fraud Conspiracy Finding + Liability Trial Title Deficit Finding + Liability Trial  
 Permission Contempt Fraud Conspiracy Finding + Liability Trial Directions Contempt Fraud  
 Conspiracy Finding + Remedy Entitlement Finding + General Civil Restraint Revocations +  
 General Civil Restraint Renewal Revocations + 2022 003098 Claim Dismissal Order + Defence  
 Costs with Indemnity Assessment Order + Contempt Counterclaim Liability Order + Counterclaim  
 Liability Costs with Indemnity Assessment Costs + Liberty Restoration Order + Reputation  
 restoration Order + Estate Restoration Order + Special and General and Aggravated and Exemplary  
 Damages Order for the Solicitor against the Solicitor General and Cabinet

7. Contempt Counterclaim Directions 1 Hour Hearing in Royal Court at 2 p.m. on  
 2023

#### Statement

1. The Glorious Revolution vested Corruption Control Jurisdictions in the Citizen, Equity Lawyer, Crown, Lord Bishops, and Lord Bishops that govern Parliament Session Powers. They use the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. It is Official Records that are Justice Proof for Honourable Officers or Guilt Proof against Corrupt Officers and Credibility Irrelevance Proof for the Victim.
2. The Citizen has Investigation Jurisdiction. It is the power to use a privilege waiver, confidentiality waiver and case management authority that meets the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. It enables use of the truth, whole truth and nothing but the truth for Integrity Tests of the Authorities. The Integrity Tests get Justice Proof for Honourable Office or Corruption proof against the State and Remedy Denial fraud Proof against the Law Courts. The Citizen Jurisdiction is the Dominant Jurisdiction because all others depend on it for Admissible Evidence.
3. An Equity Lawyer is anyone with the commitment and competence for Case Management that gets Proof Sets that are Admissible Evidence for Parliament Session Decisions. Some Citizens qualify as Equity Lawyers. Most do not. The Law Professions adapted to provide enough Equity Lawyers to staff the Judiciary and the Crown and provide 1 in 100,000 of the population to service the Citizen.
4. The Crown has Prosecution Jurisdiction. It is the power to use Proof Sets that meet the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard for Trial Orders of Corruption Cases against the State and Unfitness Cases against Officers and Authorities.
5. The Lord Bishops have Court Lawyer Jurisdiction, one each for the Trial Court and Appeal Court.
6. The Lord Bishops have Adjudication Jurisdiction. There are 24 of them so that up to 12 can sit as Trial Jurors, and up to 12 others as Appeal Jurors. Crown Privilege limits disclosures by them to the Clergy.

7. The Suez Scandal was a disgraceful end to the Colonial Era. It forced the UK and France to choose a future with close relations with Colonies or the Western European States. Both chose the Western European States. It needed a Governance Method. The choice was Equity Governance using the UK Model or Dictator Governance using the French Model that Napoleon had exported to the Western European States. Equity Governance got some support from French Politicians. It was not enough to counter Negotiation Refusals by French Socialists. They made a Dictator Governance Plan. It required a Power Transfer from the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority to the Cabinet.
8. UK Politicians made the Dictator Plan Proposals. Queen Elizabeth responded by demanding a Governance Referenda. She knew the Referenda Process would be an Education Process that got a choice between Dictator Powers for the State and Accountability Powers for the Citizen, and end with a Landslide Majority for Equity Governance. The Politicians dare not hold Governance Referenda. They used Sabotage Frauds against the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority and phased the Dictator Governance Plan over the 45 years of her life expectancy. Education Frauds removed all mention of it from the Academic Curricula and Professional Qualification Curricula. 1960 was the last time a lecture on the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority was heard in a UK University. A Proof Burden Reversal Fraud used a Liability Presumption Fraud for the Client that require Decision Responsibility Denial Rebuttal Proof by the Lawyer. It stopped Lawyers providing Judgment Advice at Reasonable Cost. They provided Advice Services at Exorbitant Cost because their priority was Decision Responsibility Denial. Market Changes were designed to deny anyone getting the Expertise Range needed to function as an Equity Lawyer. The intent was that deny the Prince of Wales, and everyone else, any Active Service Experience of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority. The Dictator Politicians wanted everyone to forget how to service the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority and then that it existed.
9. The Gnostic Christians made an Equity Governance Recovery Plan. It needed Commitment Proof from the Crown and Citizen. The Commitment Proof from Queen Elizabeth was preservation of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority through a Dormancy Period that lasted 45 years, and the investiture of Charles as Prince of Wales. The Commitment Proof from him was service of the Citizen Identity in spite of the Sabotage Frauds against the Citizen by the State. He argued for Environmental Protection, accepted Racial Equality, accepted Religious Diversity, used architecture to make Proportionality Arguments, and managed the Prince of Wales Trusts. The Queen and Prince waited for the Commitment Proof from the Citizen. The Recovery Plan had two strategies. One was to develop Advanced Electronic Signature Technology, provide Sophisticated Services that enable the Prosperous Classes to manage Tax Haven Estates from their homes and use Taxation Starvation to force conversion from Dictator Governance to Equity Governance. The other

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Supreme Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeals 2023
	Court of Appeal	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128
	High Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098
	Administrative Court	Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet	Contempt Claim CO 612 2022
	County Court	Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others	Contempt Claim J00RM833
Defence + Counterclaim + Statement + AMENDMENTS of the Equity Lawyer			27 <sup>th</sup> February 2023

was to wait until a Prime Minister was dependent on Organised Crime, get Criminal Conspiracy Proof against the Prime Minister, and use it to revive use of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority.

10. Advanced Electronic Signatures needed Writing Behaviour Analysis using the Pen Flightpath Data, and an Internet Service for Document Management that attached Signature to Document and provide Signature Verification. In March 1998 a Technology Partnership got Viability Proof for the Analysis Technology. The European Leaders used Market Exclusion Frauds against it.
11. In 2004 the preparations for the European Referenda got Election Fraud Conspiracy Proof against the European Leaders including Prime Minister Mr Blair. Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis used it for a Corruption Notice to the Crown and Parliament. It revived the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority. It started a Corruption Remedy Process that has continued ever since. Charles, Prince of Wales, had 9 Years' Trainee Experience from 2004 until he became the Crown Office Manager in 2013. He had 9 Years' Management Experience from then until Queen Elizabeth died in 2022. Before the succession he had more Active Service Experience of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority than any predecessor except Queen Elizabeth II.
12. The Corruption Remedy Priorities for each Parliament Session needed Unqualified Legal Service Prohibitions and Civil Contempt Penalty Enforcement Prohibitions for Equity Lawyers against the Cabinet. They are Special Protection that enable Equity Lawyers to use Case Authorities from Citizens for Integrity Tests of the Authorities. They motivate Corrupt Officers to Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis is the Principle Beneficiary of the protection frauds.
13. All of the General Civil Restraints against the Equity Lawyer are the Crime Proceeds of Justice Perversion Criminal Conspiracies by State Officers and Law Court Judges.
14. In autumn 2007, the Corruption Remedy Process required Remedy Commitment Proof from Metropolitan Police Commission Sir Ian Blair. He arranged for an Apparently Honest Officer of Essex Police to assemble the True Identity Proof, False Identity Proof of an Essex Drug Dealer, and use of the False Identity for a Limited Liability Company and Mortgage Account that was Money Laundering Proof, and use a Delivery Agent to give it to the Equity Lawyer. He used it to get Protection Fraud Proof against Natwest Bank, Royal Bank of Scotland Chief Executive Sir Fred Goodwin, Metropolitan Police Assistant Commissioner Mr John Yates and the Law Courts. The 2009 General Civil Restraint against the Equity Lawyer is part pf the Protection Fraud.
15. In 2011 the Protection Fraud Proof was used for the Knighthood Degradation of Fred Goodwin. It was part of the Bank Fraud Remedies. The Protection Fraud Proof identified Assistant Commissioner Mr John Yates as a Corruption Dismissal Execution Target with Mass Publicity. A Bribery Case identified the Metropolitan Police Commissioner as another Dismissal Execution

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Supreme Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeals 2023
	Court of Appeal	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128
	High Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098
	Administrative Court	Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet	Contempt Claim CO 612 2022
	County Court	Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others	Contempt Claim J00RM833
Defence + Counterclaim + Statement + AMENDMENTS of the Equity Lawyer			27 <sup>th</sup> February 2023

Target with Mass Publicity. Sunday and Monday 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> July 2011 got the Forced Resignations from the Metropolitan Police Commissioner and Assistant Commissioner. They prepared for the Public Examination on Tuesday 19<sup>th</sup> July 2011 of Media Moguls Messrs Murdoch by a Parliamentary Committee. All of that was needed as Remedy Commitment Proof for Parliament to persuade Competent Ambitious Police Officers to make the Career Decision to service as Corruption Investigators. Renewal Frauds extended the Restraint Frauds.

16. The Osteopath Profession Unfitness Fraud Appeal CO 2816 2014 of Citizen Ms Lewis and a Jurisdiction Usurpation Fraud, Party Status Denial Fraud, Notice Denial Fraud, Hearing Denial Fraud was used for a Legal Assistance Restraint Fraud dated 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2014 of Justice Mrs McGowan against Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis. On 15<sup>th</sup> December 2014 the filing of a Habeas Corpus Case got Hearing Notices for the Habeas Corpus Claim of Citizen Political Prisoner Mr Ron Brown, a Restraint Hearing for Citizen Claim Agent Mr Hoath and a Restraint Order Breach Contempt Imprisonment Hearing for Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis. A Fraud Appeal Case Fee of £1,200 got a £1,000 Receipt and a Secret use Representation Rights Claim Letter from a Law Firm to the Administrative Court. On 19<sup>th</sup> December 2014 the Habeas Corpus Case Call got an Audio Record of the Appearance Failure by the Political Prisoner and Law Firm, Reading Aloud of the Representation Rights Claim Letter by the High Court Justice Mr Knowle, use of it to deny any Representation Rights for Citizen Mr Hoath and the Equity Lawyer, and an Appearance Failing Finding Fraud and Case Dismissal Fraud against the Political Prisoner. The Restraint Hearing Case Call got 3 Cases Representations handed in by Citizen Mr Hoath to Justice Mr Knowle. The Order Breach Contempt Hearing Call got a Superior Jurisdiction Pending Adjudication Enforcement Stay Protection Notice and Jurisdiction Deficit Representations from the Equity Lawyer that changed the Pompous Performance of Justice Mr Knowle into Angry Disappointment when he lost confidence for the Imprisonment Fraud.
17. The 2015 Parliament Session Priority was the Set-Up Conditions for Dismissal Executions against Law Court Judges. It needed the Fundamental Law Universal Precedents that a Validity Investigation has priority in every case [HL 2008 R v Clarke], fraud invalidates all process [2015 Sharland v Sharland] and a Conflicted Interest is a Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification and Remedy Jurisdiction Only Limit for All Justice Offices. It needed Automatic Case Issue Rights for the Citizen against the State, Profession Authorities and Law Courts. In the High Court it was managed by a Case Approval Powers Revocation against High Court Masters.
18. Case Filing by the Citizen forced Corrupt Officers to choose between making Conflict Disqualification Admissions and committing Conflict Jurisdiction Qualification Frauds to get the Case Control needed for Issue Denial Frauds, Case Dismissal Frauds and Restraint Frauds against



Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Supreme Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeals 2023
	Court of Appeal	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128
	High Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098
	Administrative Court	Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet	Contempt Claim CO 612 2022
	County Court	Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others	Contempt Claim J00RM833
Defence + Counterclaim + Statement + AMENDMENTS of the Equity Lawyer			27 <sup>th</sup> February 2023

the Citizen. The Case Set Up Period was 1 year. All Test Cases got Corruption Proof against the State, Remedy Denial fraud Proof against the Law Courts, and Dismissal Decisions against Law Court Judges with Execution Responsibility against the Cabinet.

19. On 26<sup>th</sup> November 2015 the Profession Unfitness Trial Fraud 2015 Appeal 4199 got an Audio Record of a Legal Assistance Restraint Order Invalidity Admission and Invalidity Remedy Request by Counsel Mr Faux for the General Osteopathic Council. It got an Audio Record that is Criminal Conspiracy Proof against Counsel Mr Faux and High Court Justice QC Mr Foskett of a Jurisdiction Usurpation Fraud, Party Status Denial Fraud, Notice Denial Fraud and Hearing Denial Fraud. The ‘I am here’ shout by the Equity Lawyer got a ‘I know you are’ shout by Judge Mr Foskett, who continued to exclude the Equity Lawyer from the Hearing Fraud that got a Restraint Directions Fraud. They left the Court Room and realised that the Audio Record was Fraud Conspiracy Proof against them. They needed to unravel it. An Inadequate Budget Reason was used for a Restraint Case Withdrawal Email from Counsel Mr Faux to the Administrative Court got omission of the Restraint Directions from the Appeal Permission Refusal and a Day 63 Seal.
20. On 9<sup>th</sup> March 2016 the Restraint Invalidity Admissions of the High Court Justices was Totally Ignored by the High Court Master who used a Jurisdiction Fraud + Party Status Denial Fraud + Notice Denial Fraud + Hearing Denial Fraud for a Legal Assistance Restraint Fraud against the Equity Lawyer.
21. Prime Minister Mr Cameron used the Case Set Up Period for the European Referenda, led the Remain Campaign lost and resigned. It was an Honourable Resignation. Dismissal Executions against Law Court Judges was the next item on the Session Agenda.
22. The Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority manages a Corruption Remedy Process. It needs to achieve Total Destruction of the Protection Fraud Network It provides Corruption Co-ordination Services and sells Court Frauds. It delayed but could not stop the Remedy Process. The Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority required Parliament to conduct the Rotherham Enquiry. It is reputed to have cost £6,000,000. It proved the Top Police, Top Customs and Top Judges are the Top Drug Dealers and the Top Drug Sales got with Protection Frauds that include Child Prostitution. It proved the Protection Frauds came from the Cabinets of Prime Ministers Mrs May and Mr Johnson and Opposition Leaders Mr Corbyn and Sir Keir Starmer.
23. The Remedy Process used Corruption Proof for Dismissal Decisions against Law Court Judges with Execution Responsibility by the Cabinet. Dismissal Failures was Protection Fraud Proof against Cabinets. The Default Penalty of Dismissal Decisions against Prime Minister Mrs May and Mr Johnson got Dismissal Failures that were Protection Fraud Proof against the Governing Majority and Opposition Minority. The 2019 Parliament Session Priority was a Forced Resignation from

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Supreme Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeals 2023
	Court of Appeal	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128
	High Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098
	Administrative Court	Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet	Contempt Claim CO 612 2022
	County Court	Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others	Contempt Claim J00RM833
Defence + Counterclaim + Statement + AMENDMENTS of the Equity Lawyer			27 <sup>th</sup> February 2023

Prime Minister Mrs May and a Forced Winter General Election against Parliament. Bribery and Blackmail enabled the Protection Fraud Network to trade Support Votes for Protection Frauds. MP Mr Johnson did the Protection Fraud Deal. Corruption Proof got Dismissal Decisions against Law Court Judges. Dismissal Failures were Protection Fraud Proof against the Cabinet. They got the Default Penalty of a Dismissal Decision against Prime Minister Mr Johnson. It got Protection fraud Proof against the Governing Majority and the Opposition Minority. Dismissal Help Requests by the Governing Majority got Help Refusals by the Opposition Leader Sir Keir Starmer. In 2012 an Integrity Test got Protection Fraud Proof against him when he was Director of Public Prosecutions. The Shared Priority of him and the Cabinet was Protection Fraud Concealment. The Opposition Leader used the Covid Regulation Breach Party Scandal was a Dismissal Effort Pretence by the Opposition Leader. Mass Publicity in early 2022 for the Paedophile Protection Fraud Allegations against the Opposition was a Conflict Pretence by the Prime Minister.

24. The Cabinet and Opposition Leader made a Fraud Concealment for them and Fraud Exposure against Law Court Judges.
25. The Fraud Concealment Plan used Revenue Termination Blackmail by the Cabinet and Big Business got Protection Fraud Non-Disclosure Propaganda Services from the BBC and Independent Media.
26. The Fraud Exposure Plan needed Personal Responsibility Proof against Identifiable Individuals for Known Court Frauds to counter Court Record Frauds. It needed Case Process Denial Fraud Proof against Identifiable Supervision Judges. It needed Audio Records as Personal Responsibility Proof against Identifiable Hearing Judges. Top Judges needed Protection Fraud Reassurance from the Cabinet to provide the Audio Records. Issue on 18<sup>th</sup> February 2022 of Contempt Claim CO 612 2022 for the Cabinet against Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis was the Protection Fraud Reassurance. It did not fool Top Judges. They knew the Parliament Session Priorities included Protection Rights for the Equity Lawyer. They were Unqualified Crime Prosecution Prohibition and a Civil Contempt Penalty Enforcement Prohibition. It enabled the Equity Lawyer to recruit Citizens and use their cases for Integrity tests that got Proof Sets that met the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. Protection Fraud Demands by Top Judges got Protection Failures by Cabinets that the Corruption Remedy Priorities of each Parliament Session included Protection Rights for the Equity Lawyer. Top Judges used Process Denial Frauds to avoid Audio Record Proof against themselves, and Case Allocations with Hearing Orders to get Audio Record Proof against Lower Rank Judges.
27. Meanwhile, the Remedy Process needed Integrity Tests of the 2022 Local Elections. The Equity Lawyer conducted them. They got Fraud Conspiracy Proof against the Media, Law Courts and Top Politicians.

Crown	Parliament	The People v Top Judges	Corruption Remedy Royal Commission
	Supreme Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeals 2023
	Court of Appeal	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128
	High Court	Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader	Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098
	Administrative Court	Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet	Contempt Claim CO 612 2022
	County Court	Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others	Contempt Claim J00RM833
Defence + Counterclaim + Statement + AMENDMENTS of the Equity Lawyer			27 <sup>th</sup> February 2023

28. The Equity Lawyer decided to use the Contempt Claim CO 612 2022 of the Cabinet and Contempt Fraud Remedy Claims for himself against the Cabinet and Opposition Leader as Protection Taunt Cases of Top Judges. The Draft Order dated 16<sup>th</sup> March 2022 of the Equity Lawyer was a Protection Taunt.
29. Protection Frauds Deals by the UK State and Indian Federal State needed Representation Denial Frauds for the Pharmaceutical Industry against the Sadhana Chaudhari Probate Estate and the Equity Lawyer as Will Executor. They needed them to prevent the Human Organ Theft Murder Victim Baby, Sunaina Chaudhari, getting a Death Inquest Reopening in UK and a Death Inquest in India. The Remedy Process needed Representation Denial Fraud Proof with Audio Records. The Mortgage Possession Claim Fraud H1PP8822 got it.
30. The Election Integrity Tests included the High Court Contempt Fraud Claim of the Equity Lawyer against Prime Minister Mr Johnson, the Attorney General, Ministry of Justice and Opposition Leader, and the Connected Case Notice to the County Court. They got the 150 Issue Delay Fraud Proof against the High Court and then Reference 2022 002595 and the Without Hearing Restraint Renewal Fraud. It got Issue Claim Proof against the County Court and the Restraint Renewal Hearing Order and Case Reference to the Cabinet that are Fraud Conspiracy Proof against the County Court
31. The Contempt Claim 2022 003098 used Case Records that are Compelling Proof that Law Court Judges use the resources of the Ministry of Justice to get Crime Business Profits.
32. The 2022 003098 Contempt Penalty Enforcement Permission Hearings on 23<sup>rd</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> December 2022 got Audio Records that Personal Responsibility Proof against Cabinet Counsel Mr Eardley and Justice Mr Soole for Justice Perversion Frauds that used Crime Business Conflict Disqualification Denial Frauds + Multiple Justice Office Conflict Disqualification Denial Frauds + Conflict Jurisdiction Qualification Frauds + Prosecution Frauds + Defence Denial Frauds + Validity Trial Denial Frauds + Liability Trial Permission Fraud + Liability Trial Frauds. It includes use of the 2022 002595 Restraint Renewal Fraud for a Defence Application Hearing Denial Frauds.
33. On Friday 14<sup>th</sup> December 2022 the Fee Office Attendance by the Citizen got Immediate Service until the Fee Officers knew he was Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis. They used an Appointment Requirement Fraud and Appointment for 12 noon on Monday 19<sup>th</sup> December 2022 to commit a 2022 003098 Trial Fraud Appeal Fee Remission Denial Fraud and 2022 002595 Defence Permission Application Fee Payment Receipt Refusal Fraud.
34. On Monday 19<sup>th</sup> December 2022 the WG08 Fee Office Attendance at 11.30 got Immediate Service from the Fee Office and the Appeal Fee Remission Certificate and the Application Fee Receipt. Then it got the Hearing Fraud Conspiracy Proof against the Fee Office Manager and the Listing

Manager. The Fee Office Manager did not give Listing Office Contact Directions. The WG07 Counter Office Attendance by the Equity Lawyer discovered a Lunch Break Notice. He joined the Counter Queue. It got Profuse Apologies from the Counter Officer for the Notice Failure that it was the County Court Counter and that he could not give High Court Counter Access Directions but they could be got from the High Court Fee Office next door at WG08. The Attendance at WG08 got a Common Confusion Admission by Fee Manager and Listing Office Number + Select Option 5 for the Listing Office. An Access Telephone Call using the number and option 5 by the Citizen got Call Acceptance while the Fee Manager gave notice that a Fee Office Visit by the Listing Manager to collect the Application Papers in a few minutes, and an instruction to wait at the Fee Office Door. The Access Call got Repeat Notice of the Fee Office Visit by the Listing Manager for Papers Collection in a few minutes. The Citizen waited in the small corridor that services the WG08 Fee Office Door, WG07 County Court Office Door and Waiting Area Door. The Listing Office did not visit the Fee Office. The Fee Manager appeared, said the Listing Officer had visited the Waiting Area, failed to find the Citizen left, and would visit the Waiting Area again in a few minutes. The Citizen waited in the Waiting Area. At 15.45 15.47 and 15.47 Access Calls with Option 5 Selections did not get Call Acceptance by Listing Officer but got Repeat Menu Notices that raised Reasonable Suspicions of an Access Call Block. He wrote Fraud Complaint Endorsements on the Application Notices and decided against filing them in the Drop Box because the Integrity Test needed a Repeat Visit. The Citizen filed the Appeal Papers in the Drop Box of the Court of Appeal.

35. *The Hearing Denial Fraud Conspiracies on 14<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> December 2022 and 11<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> January 2023 prevented the Defence Management Powers Application Hearing getting an Audio Record that was Justice Proof for the High Court or Defence Management Denial Fraud Proof against the High Court before expiry of the 2022 003098 Defence Statement Time Limit. On 20<sup>th</sup> January 2023 a Listing Officer disclosed that Mr Justice Soole, who was the 2022 003098 Permission Hearing Judge, was the Listing Judge responsible for the Hearing Denials. She did not know how long he had held that office.*
36. *On 25<sup>th</sup> January 2022, the Court of Appeal issued, on Filing Days 37, the Contempt Penalty Enforcement Permission Fraud Appeal 2022 002522, on Filing Day 7, issued Restraint Renewal Fraud Appeal 2023 000127 and gave Case Reference 2023 000128 with a Jurisdiction Denial Notice for the County Court Restraint Fraud Appeal. A Bundle Filing Time Limit Notice got a Time Extension Request by the Equity Lawyer. It got notice of a Case Consideration Notice by a Lord Justice on 13<sup>th</sup> February 2023. The Decision Notice Denial Email at 00 43 on 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2023 forced Appeal Permission Refusals later that day. It is Trial Fraud Conspiracy Proof against the Court of Appeal before the Trial Event. It got a Fraud Appeal to the Supreme Court*