Crown

Parliament

The People v Top Judges

Corruption Remedy Royal Commission

Supreme Court Supreme Court Equity Lawyer v Solicitor General + Cabinet Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader + Others Connected Cases

Fraud Appeals 2023 Fraud Appeals 2023 +

Supreme Court Court of Appeal High Court Administrative Court County Court Citizen Mr Cant v Professional Thug Mr Seaton Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others

Fraud Appeals 2020 0186 Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128 Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098 Contempt Claim CO 612 2022 Contempt Claim J00RM833

Supreme Court

Fraud Appeal Grounds + Remedy Proposals of Equity Lawyer

27th February 2023

Appeal against the Contempt Penalty Enforcement Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 Adjudicator Neutrality

Obligation Breach Frauds + Conflict Qualification Fraud + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit Breach Fraud +

Permission Refusal Fraud + Incoherent Case Finding Fraud + No Merit Certificate Fraud dated 23<sup>rd</sup> February

2023 of Lord Justice Mr Bean

Appeal against the Restraint Renewal Fraud Appeal 2023 000127 Adjudicator Neutrality Obligation Breach Frauds + Conflict Qualification Fraud + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit Breach Fraud + Permission Refusal Fraud + Incoherent Case Finding Fraud + Totally Without Merit Certificate Fraud dated 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2023 of Lord Justice Mr Bean

Appeal against the County Court Restraint Fraud Appeal 20223 000128 Adjudicator Neutrality Obligation Breach Frauds + Conflict Qualification Fraud + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit Breach Fraud + Remedy Jurisdiction Denial Fraud dated 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2023 of Master of the Rolls Sir Geoffrey Vos Grounds: Fraudulent Breach of Natural Justice + Human Rights + Overriding Justice Objective

- General Civil Restraint Renewal Fraud Appeal Grant + Superior Jurisdiction Pending Remedies
   Enforcement Stay Protection Finding + Superior Jurisdiction Protection Breach Contempt Finding +
   Conflicted Jurisdiction Disqualification Fraud Conspiracy Finding + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Breach
   Conspiracy Finding + Contempt Claim Election Integrity Test Cases Finding + Election Fraud
   Conspiracy using Law Court Frauds Finding + J00RM833 County Court Issue Fraud Finding and
   Restraint Fraud Conspiracy Finding + 2022 002595 High Court Case Dismissal Fraud Finding + 2022
   002595 General Civil Restraint Renewal Fraud Finding + 2023 000127 Appeal Permission Refusal
   Fraud and Incoherent Case Declaration Fraud Finding and No Merit Certificate Fraud Finding + 2023
   000128 Appeal Remedy Jurisdiction Denial Fraud Finding + Appeal Permission Grants + 2022 002595
   Dismissal Revocation + Restraint Renewal Revocation + Contempt Liability Judgment + Claim Costs
   with Indemnity Remedy Orders for Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis against Former Prime Minister Mr Johnson,
   Attorney General, Ministry of Justice and Opposition Leader Sir Keir Starmer for the Stated Reasons
- 2. Contempt Penalty Permission Hearing Bias Fraud Appeal Grant + Superior Jurisdiction Pending Remedies Enforcement Stay Protection Finding + Superior Jurisdiction Protection Breach Contempt Finding + Conflicted Jurisdiction Disqualification Fraud Conspiracy Finding + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Breach Conspiracy Finding + 2023 003098 Contempt Penalty Permission Hearing Bias Fraud Finding + 2022 002522 Appeal Permission Refusal Fraud Finding + 2023 003098 Solicitor General Claim Agent and Cabinet Claim Principal Finding + 2023 003098 Solicitor General Claim Agent Status and Cabinet Claim Principal Status Order + Dismissal Order + Defence Costs with Indemnity Remedy Orders + Counterclaim Contempt Liability Order + Contempt Remedy Orders for Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis against the Solicitor General and Cabinet for the Stated Reasons
- Contempt Remedy Directions Hearing in the Supreme Court at 2 p.m. on March 2023

ity Sin Page 1 o

The Statement Maker knows that use of anything known to be false or not believed to be true incurs Perjury Liability

Crown Parliament
Supreme Court

Equity Lawyer v Solicitor General + Cabinet Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader + Others Connected Cases

The People v Top Judges

Fraud Appeals 2020 0186 Fraud Appeal 2022 002522 + 2023 000127 + 000128 Contempt 2022 + 002595 + 003098 Contempt Claim CO 612 2022

Fraud Appeals 2023

Supreme Court Court of Appeal High Court Administrative Court County Court

Supreme Court

Citizen Mr Cant v Professional Thug Mr Seaton Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader Equity Lawyer v Cabinet +++ Opposition Leader Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis v Cabinet Equity Lawyer v Opposition Leader +++ Others

Contempt Claim J00RM833 27th February 2023

Corruption Remedy Royal Commission

Fraud Appeals 2023

Supreme Court

Fraud Appeal Grounds + Remedy Proposals of Equity Lawyer

## Stated Reasons

The Citizen, Equity Lawyer, Crown, Lord Archbishops and Lord Bishops have Corruption Control Jurisdictions that govern Parliament Session Powers. They are collectively called the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority. Since 2004 they have managed a Corruption Remedy Process. It needed the Parliament Session Agreements between the Crown and Prime Minister to include Special Protection that enabled Equity Lawyers to provide Investigation Services for the Remedy Process. It was Prosecution Prohibitions against the Cabinet that prevented Unqualified Legal Service Crimes and Contempt Penalty Enforcement, It enabled Equity Lawyers to provide the Case Management needed to get Fraud Conspiracy Proof against Law Court Judges. Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis provided Investigation Services for the Corruption Remedy Process. He recruited Citizens and provided Case management Services that got Corruption Proof against the State and Profession Authorities, and Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against the Law Courts. The Crown used it for Trial Orders of Corruption Cases against the State and Unfitness Cases against Officers and Authorities. Corruption Proof got Corruption Findings, Remedy Entitlement Findings, Remedy Priority Findings for investigations to discover who was responsible and Dismissal Decisions against Law Court Judges with Execution Responsibility by the Cabinet. Dismissal Failures were Protection Fraud Proof against the Cabinet. The Default Penalty was a Dismissal Decision against Prime Minister Mr Johnson. Dismissal help Requests by Governing Majority Back Benchers got Help Refusals by Opposition Leader Sir Keir Starmer. In 2012 a Corruption Investigation got Protection Fraud Conspiracy Proof against him when he was Director of Public Prosecutions. The Cabinet and Opposition leader made a Protection Fraud Concealment Plan for themselves and Exposure Plan against the Judiciary. Revenue Termination Blackmail by the Cabinet and Big Business got Protection Fraud Non-Disclosure Propaganda Services by the BBC and Independent Media. Court Record Frauds created a need for the Remedy Process and Exposure Plan to get Case Process Denial Fraud Proof against Supervision Judges, and Audio Record Fraud Proof against Hearing Judges. The 2020 0186 Case Reference to the Cabinet dated 15th November 2021 is Protection Fraud Call Proof against the Supreme Court. Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis used Court Cases for Election Integrity Tests. They got the J00RM833 Issue Fraud + Dismissal Fraud + Restraint Conspiracy Fraud and 2022 002595 Dismissal Fraud and Restraint Renewal Fraud. Claim 2022 003098 got a Forced Hearing Audio Record that is Protection Breach Contempt Fraud Proof + Bias Fraud Proof. Fraud Appeals 2022 002522 and 2023 000127 + 000128 got Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against the Court of Appeal. Case Management by the Equity Lawyer got Framing Fraud Proof and Crime Business Proof for the Remedy Process and Exposure Plan. The Common Law makes Remedy Jurisdiction an Absolute Requirement for Legal Entity Status. It overrides the Remedy Jurisdiction Omissions or Remedy Jurisdiction Limits of Legal Entities that are defined by Regulation and Statute. A Remedy Jurisdiction Denial or Appeal Permission Refusal would complete the Corruption Proof Set against the Judiciary and Law Courts.

The Statement Maker knows that use of anything known to be false or not believed to be true incurs Perjury Liability