Crown Parliament

Parliament Supreme Cour

Reverend Carolyn Tibbott,

The People v Top Judges

Corruption Remedy Royal Commission

Fraud Appeals x 3 Fraud Appeals 2023 000569 Contempt Cases 2022 002595 + 003098 Governance fraud Claim J00RM833

10th April 2023

Supreme Court Court of Appeal High Court County Court Eq

County Court Equity Lawyer v Former Prime Minister + Opposition Leader

Meaning Differences and Remedy Investigation Tools Notice

Equity Lawyer v Cabinet + Others

Equity Lawyer v Cabinet

Equity Lawyer v Cabinet + Opposition Leader

Thank you for the reference to the dean and bishop. The Religious Leaders needed lived experience to convert abstract meaning into real understanding. There came a time when they did not need lived experience. The know that all of their lives, most people need lived experience to know what is Wrong and need help to discover what is Right.

The challenge for Equity Governance is recruiting enough people to manage Justice Processes. The Religious Leaders will know Magistrates and Judges. Some of the Magistrates discovered Industrial Scale Corruption and did not know what to do. 2 Women Magistrates evidenced it.

In 2005, Kent Crown Court received Compelling Proof that Kent Police Chief Constable was a Drug Trafficker. It got a Case Management Row in the Judge's Chamber. The Row Evidence was a Female Magistrate sitting at one end of the Judicial Bench staring at the Wall Reveal to her left and Male Judge and Male Magistrate at the other end of the Judicial Bench displaying Yob Gang Behaviour. Investigation is needed to discover what happened to the Female Magistrate and whether soon afterwards the Male Magistrate benefitted from Suspicious Enrichment.

On 23rd August 2010 an Excess 6 Miles Per Hour Speeding Framing Fraud had a Plea Hearing in Sussex. The Defence Case was that fraud invalidated the process. The Crown Prosecutor was near retirement age. He received the Defence Document and a Brief Explanation that the Corruption Remedy Process did not need Unnecessary Casualties and needed people like him to retrain the Legal Profession. The Magistrates were a Chair Man and 2 Women. They received the Defence Document. The Chair Man refused to read it and ripped it up. The Woman Magistrate to his left watched him do it and then ripped her's up. The Woman Magistrate on his right did not. She held it in her right hand. The Listing Adjournment gave her a discreet opportunity to put it in here hand bag. The Prosecutor used the Adjournment Period to get a Case Withdrawal Permission. The Court Lawyer gave back his Defence Document, and the Torn Pieces of the two others, and said he could not find the fourth. Investigation is needed to discover what happened to the Woman Magistrate who kept the Defence Document and the Prosecutor who got the Withdrawal Authority.

On 17th March 2023 the Osteopath Misdescription Appeal 2022 0062 got an Audio Record that is Trial Fraud Proof against Crown Court Judges and 2 Appeal Magistrates. The Enforcement Notice uses the words 'appeal against administrative decision'. It is Decision Classification Fraud Proof and Record Fraud Proof. Administrative Decisions get Review Rights in the Administrative Court not Appeal Rights to Appeal Courts. Investigation is needed to discover what the 2 Magistrates knew and understood.

The Confusion Damage is so great that the words 'trial' and 'appeal' have meanings for the Law Courts that are Totally Inconsistent with the Ordinary English Meaning for most people.

Meaning Differences are a Remedy Investigation Tool. Religion Leaders can make the best of them.

Equity Lawyer Mr Edward William Ellis