

	v	
State Approved Defender known as Tuckers		1 <sup>st</sup> Respondent
Metropolitan Police		2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent
Cabinet		3 <sup>rd</sup> Respondent
Sadhana Chaudhari Probate Estate		4 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Charles Sydney Ellis Probate Estate		5 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Citizen Mr Akwasi Nkrumah		6 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Citizen Mrs Androulla Theodorou		7 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Citizen Ms Michelle Davies		8 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Citizen Ms Lois Bayliss		9 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Citizen Mr Adam Mustafa		10 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Uncontested Seat Sole Candidate Sir Lindsay Hoyle		11 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party
Conservative Party Leader Mr Rishi Sunak		12 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party
Labour Party Leader Sir Keir Starmer		13 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party
Liberal Democrat Party Leader Sir Edward Davey		14 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party
Green Party		15 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party
Reform Party Leader		16 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party

Remedy Process + 2024 General Election Integrity Test of Media Managers

5<sup>th</sup> June 2024

### Remedy Process Election Integrity Test Notice for the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority

From Equity Lawyer Mr Edward William Ellis

To: The Question Time Team + The Rest Is Politics Team + All Media Managers

Polite Request for engagement on the issue of Election Fairness in and after the Campaign Period

Evidence Admissibility Notice the General Rule is that Test Records have Admissible Status. The Exception Rule requires use of the phrase 'Without Prejudice to Invalidity Arguments' to get Inadmissible Status.

Exception Rule Waiver by the Equity Lawyer for All Media Managers for the reason that Issue Engagement has priority over Inadmissibility Arguments

Election Fairness Issue Engagement REASONS:

1. Election Fairness needs a Constitutional Balance that enables General Importance Issues to get either a Fair Management Agreement by the Political Leaders or Election Issue Publicity.
2. The Common Law delivers a Constitutional Balance that enables Election Fairness. The Individual can Case Issue Rights at any time.
3. Election Fairness Tests use General Importance Cases, Party Status for Political Party Leaders and Case Filing in the Election Campaign Period. Process Frauds by the Authorities validates Fraud Management Enquiries of the Political Party Leaders in the Credibility Contest Conditions of a General Election.
4. The Parliament Sessions Court used Endemic Corruption Proof to decide the Session Priority was Credibility Recovery for the Authorities. It needed Dismissal Executions against Top Judges by a United Parliament, Victim Identification and Case Remedies. Dismissal Failures were Protection Fraud Proof against the Cabinet. The Default Penalty was a Dismissal Decision against Prime Minister Mr Johnson. Dismissal Help Requests by MPs got Refusal Responses from Opposition Leader Sir Keir Starmer because he got Protection Fraud Liability when he was Director of Public Prosecutions. The Opposition Leader kept the Prime Minister in office for a year while using the Covid Regulation Breach Party Scandal for Dismissal Effort Pretences. It created the need for the Parliament Sessions Court to managed Forced Parliament Unity for Dismissal Executions against Top Judges.
5. The 2022 Local Elections Integrity Test used a High Court Corruption Claim by the Equity Lawyer and Defendant Party Status for Prime Minister Mr Johnson, the Ministry of Justice, Attorney General as Cabinet Representative and Opposition Leader Sir Keir Starmer. It got General Civil Restraint Fraud

	v	
State Approved Defender known as Tuckers		1 <sup>st</sup> Respondent
Metropolitan Police		2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent
Cabinet		3 <sup>rd</sup> Respondent
Sadhana Chaudhari Probate Estate		4 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Charles Sydney Ellis Probate Estate		5 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Citizen Mr Akwasi Nkrumah		6 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Citizen Mrs Androulla Theodorou		7 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Citizen Ms Michelle Davies		8 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Citizen Ms Lois Bayliss		9 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Citizen Mr Adam Mustafa		10 <sup>th</sup> Victim Interested Party
Uncontested Seat Sole Candidate Sir Lindsay Hoyle		11 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party
Conservative Party Leader Mr Rishi Sunak		12 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party
Labour Party Leader Sir Keir Starmer		13 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party
Liberal Democrat Party Leader Sir Edward Davey		14 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party
Green Party		15 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party
Reform Party Leader		16 <sup>th</sup> Politician Interested Party

Remedy Process + 2024 General Election Integrity Test of Media Managers

5<sup>th</sup> June 2024

Conspiracy Proof against the County Court and High Court and General Civil Restraint Fraud  
Beneficiary Status for the Mr Johnson and Sir Keir Starmer.

6. The Parliament Sessions Court required the Cabinet to use the General Civil Restraint Frauds against Equity Lawyer to force Top Judges to choose between Just Remedies and Fraud Enforcement. The Contempt Claim 2022 003098 of the Cabinet and Fraud Appeals 2023 000569 + 2023 0174 got Imprisonment Fraud Proof against the High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court. The Imprisonment Fraud against Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis enabled him to use Prisoner Status for a Corruption Investigations. It discovered the Prison Authorities had a Political Prisoner Service denied access to Appeal Forms and Other Court Forms and used Post Interception Frauds to deny Case Communications with Case Representatives and Law Courts. The Criminal Prisoner Service gave access to Court Forms and assistance completing them. There were Health Frauds against Many Prisoners.
7. Meanwhile, the Parliament Sessions Court used the Imprisonment Fraud Proof for an Unfit Judiciary Finding, Political Prisoner Finding, Protection Fraud Findings and Enforcement Fraud Finding. They used Corruption Exposure Threats to get Finding Pronouncements by Opposition Leader Sir Keir Starmer and Parliament Committees. They used 17 Years Imprisonment Frauds, Police Station Video Concealment Frauds as Demonstration Cases for Corruption Exposure Mass Publicity and a Prison Officer Whistle Blower to get Honest Officer Mass Publicity.
8. In December 2023 the G7 Summit got an International Agreement for Remedy Enforcement against Fraud Profiteers. In 2024 the Post Office Scandal and Health Scandals got Mass Publicity for Corruption Exposure to Cabinet Level and Mass Remedies by Parliament.
9. The Remedy Process needed Test Cases to discover what impact, if any, the Mass Publicity had on the Case Management. All Test Cases managed by Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis got Corruption Continuity Proof against Fraud Profiteers, State Officers, Law Officers and Law Court Judges. The Criminal Investigation 012 YE 15441 23 Test used a Written Demand for Evidence Preservation of Court Fraud Proof got by Test Cases because it was Defence Evidence. It got Evidence Preservations Refusals and Recorded Interview Delays by the Case Investigator, the Investigation Fraud Judicial Review Claim by the Accused and Interested Party Status for Test Case Victims and Political Party Leaders.
10. Test Case Victims made Open Offers of Case Evidence to Media Manager and All Others. Events will discover what the High Court, Party Leaders and Media Managers do with the Court Fraud Proof.