

Court of Appeal

Fraud Appeal 2024 002801

Citizen Beneficiary Father Mr Sham Sood

Appellant

v

Trustee Son Mr Sham Sood + Cabinet

Respondent + Interested Party

Contempt Remedy Application Grounds + DRAFT Order of the Citizen

8th January 2025

Grounds: Fraudulent Breaches of Natural Justice + Human Rights + Overriding Justice Objective

DRAFT Order

Before Appeal Lord Justice

sitting in Royal Court 73

Upon reading the Case Stay Denial Fraud Appeal Papers and Contempt Remedy Application Notice, Grounds + Draft Order and hearing the Citizen Beneficiary Father, Mr Sham Sood and the Trustee Son, Mr Vishal Sood, and Counsel for the Cabinet and Ministry of Justice

1. Interested Party Status Order for the Citizen Mr Sood against the Cabinet
2. A Common Law Validity Investigation Order + Pending Investigation Regulation Enforcement Stay Protection + Pending Validity Investigation Remedy Jurisdiction Only Limit Declaration for Beneficiary Mr Sood against Trustee Mr Sood and the Cabinet
3. Disclosure Order + Order Breach Contempt Penalty Warning for Citizen Sood against Trustee Mr Sood, the Cabinet and Ministry of Justice that the Trustee Son, and the Cabinet acting by the Cabinet Secretary, and the Ministry of Justice Chief Administration Officer, do within 7 days' file and serve Statements that explain why the court should not make:
 - 3.1. A Multiple Fraud Allegations Finding + Conflicted Interest Finding + Justice Office Conflicted Interest Disqualification Finding + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit Finding + Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit Multiple Breaches Finding + Fraud Finding + Conspiracy Finding + Bias Finding + Proof Burden Reversal Entitlement Finding + Fraud Rebuttal Proof Impossibility Finding + Contempt Liability Finding + Damage Finding + Bias Fraud Damage Causation Finding + Justice Process Contempt Liability Order + Reputation and Liberty and Estate Restoration Order + Special and General and Aggravated and Exemplary Remedy Damages Order + Indemnity Damages Costs for Citizen Mr Sood against Trustee Mr Sood, the Cabinet and Justice Ministry
 - 3.2. Unqualified Legal Service Entitlement Order for Citizen Mr Sood against Trustee Mr Sood and the Cabinet.
 - 3.3. An Indemnity Order for the Cabinet against Trustee Son Mr Sood
 - 3.4. A Contempt Investigation Order to identify Victims and Liable Parties and Proportionate Remedies
 - 3.5. A Contempt Investigation Resource Order for the Citizen against the Cabinet
 - 3.6. Appeal Certificate of General Public Importance for Each Party against Other Parties that in all cases the Absolute Priority is a Validity Investigation to discover whether the Common Law Corruption Controls have been used to enforce the Justice Office Qualification + Party Inequality Remedies + Fair Process
4. Contempt Directions Hearing in Royal Court 73 at on January 2024

Reasons

The Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority

1. The Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority has Corruption Remedy Jurisdictions that govern Parliament Session Powers. They manage the Profession Authority Responsibilities for Parliament. They use the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. It is Official Records that are Justice Proof for Honourable Officers or Guilt Proof against Corrupt Officers and Credibility Irrelevance Proof for the Victim. Integrity Tests of the Authorities use the truth, whole truth and nothing but the truth to get Proof Sets that need the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. They are Justice Proof for Honourable Officers or Fraud Proof against the State and Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against the Law Courts.
2. The Citizen has Investigation Jurisdiction. It is the power to service the Justice Priority of the People by using any case and all cases, the truth, whole truth and nothing but the truth for Integrity Tests of the Authorities that meet the Corruption Remedy Proof Standard. Integrity Tests get Justice Proof for Honourable Officers or Corruption Proof against the State and Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against the Law Courts.
3. The Equity Lawyer has Integrity Test Management Jurisdiction. It is the competence and commitment to provide Judgment Services that get Corruption Remedy Standard Proof Sets.
4. The Crown has Prosecution Jurisdiction. It is the power to use Fraud Proof Sets for Trial Orders by the Parliament Sessions Court of Corruption Cases against the State and Unfitness Cases against Officers and Authorities.

Citizen Beneficiary Father Mr Sham Sood

v

Trustee Son Mr Sham Sood + Cabinet

Respondent + Interested Party

Contempt Remedy Application Grounds + DRAFT Order of the Citizen

8th January 2025

5. The Lord Bishops have Court Lawyer Jurisdiction, one for the Trial Court and the other for the Appeal Court.
6. The 24 Lord Bishops have Adjudication Jurisdiction. They provide up to 12 Trial Jurors and up to 12 others as Appeal Jurors. When they are busy they provide 2 Trial Juries of 8 and 1 Appeal Jury of 8.
7. The Corruption Remedy Proof Standard eliminates the need for Witness Attendances, Credibility Findings and Personal Representations by the Crown. It enables Trial Orders by the Crown to get Trial Verdicts in 7 days and Appeal Decisions in 10 days.
8. Fraud Proof Sets get Contempt Fraud Findings, Remedy Entitlement Findings, a series of Remedy Priority Findings for investigations that identify Responsible Individuals, and then Remedy Priority Findings for Dismissal Executions against Top Judges with Enforcement Obligation Findings against the Cabinet. A Dismissal Failure is Protection Fraud Proof. The Default Penalty is a Remedy Priority Findings for a Dismissal Execution against the Prime Minister with and Enforcement Obligation Finding against Parliament. The Default Penalty is a Forced General Election using a Session Refusal or the Time Limit. The Ultimate Sanction is a Forced Remedies with Mass Publicity for the Fraud Proof, Remedy Denial Fraud Proof and Protection Fraud Proof and a Forced General Election got by either a Session Refusal or Time Expiry.

The Corruption Remedy Process

9. In 2004 the preparations for the European Referenda got Election Fraud Conspiracy Proof against European Leaders. The Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority used it to start a Corruption Remedy Process. It has continued ever since. It discovered that the European Constitution vested a Remedy Powers Monopoly in the State. It discovered that in every Member State of the European Union the Politicians had lost control to Crime Partnerships of State Officers and Law Court Judges. They developed a Protection Fraud Networks to provide Support Services and manage Co-ordinated Corruption. They sell Market Frauds to Organised Crime, provide Protection Frauds for the Corrupt Officers who manage them, and trade Glittering Career Guarantees and Job Profits for Fraud Services by Corrupt Parliamentarians. General Elections get Governing Majorities that are subject to Remedy Denial Fraud Majorities controlled by the Protection Fraud Networks. Budget Frauds by the Protection Fraud Networks against the State create Crippling Conditions that prevent Just Governance. State Budgets could not finance Corruption Remedies. They needed an International Agreement for Remedy Enforcement against Fraud Profiteers.
10. Honourable Politicians made Secret Informal Agreements, and then Secret Formal Agreements and then Public Formal Agreements that the UK Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority provide a Remedy Management Lead and conduct Remedy Research for use by the Republic Sovereignities.
11. In 2021 Dismissal Decisions against Top Judges got Protection Fraud Proof that got a Dismissal Decision against Prime Minister Mr Johnson that got Protection Fraud Proof against Parliament. Opposition Leader Sir Keir Starmer kept him in office while using the Covid Regulation Breach Party Scandal for a Dismissal Effort Pretence Fraud. Forced Remedies used High Court Contempt Claims 2022 002595 + 003098 and Court of Appeal Fraud Appeal 2023 000569 and Supreme Court Fraud Appeal 2023 0174 by Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis and the Cabinet to get Imprisonment Fraud proof against the Judiciary. In December 2023 the G7 Summit used it for an International Agreement for Remedy Enforcement against Fraud Profiteers. In early 2024, Remedy Enforcement against Fraud Profiteers got Damage Payments. It enabled Corruption Exposure using the Post Office Scandal and Health Scandals. It got an increase in the MP Retirement Rate, a Split Conservative Values Majority Vote and an Incredible Choice that enabled a Minority Vote to get a Landslide Governing Majority led by Sir Keir Starmer. The 2024 Parliament Session Grant required the Mass Release of 20,000 Political Prisoners. Use of the Overcrowding Reason instead of the Imprisonment Fraud Reason and Release Priority for Guilty Criminals instead Fraud Victims was a Grant Conditions Breach. It and Integrity Test Results got by Citizens validated an Unfitness Case that got Unfitness Findings for the People against the Cabinet. Mass Publicity for the Cabinet Bribery Scandal was Process Proof. Test Cases got Remedy Denial Fraud Proof against the Law Courts. The Child Prostitution Scandals got Corruption Remedy Commitments from Opposition Party Leaders. It validates a Forced General Election.
12. The Trust Fraud Remedy Actions of Beneficiary Mr Sood got Order Breach Contempt Proof against Trustee Mr Sood and Contempt Immunity Fraud Proof against the County Court and High Court. The Remedy Process needs use of the Fraud Appeals for Integrity Tests of the Court of Appeal and Supreme Court.