

APPLICATION TO CROWN COURT TO STATE A CASE FOR AN APPEAL TO THE HIGH COURT
(Criminal Procedure Rules, rule 35.2)

Defendant: Mr Akwasi Nkrumah

Basildon Crown Court Case: 2023 0058 Charge(s): Assault + Criminal Damage

Application by Mr Akwasi Nkrumah for the court to state a case for the opinion of the High Court on an appeal on a question of law or jurisdiction.

- 1) Decision under appeal. Trial Decisions on 17th July 2025 by Recorder Mr P. Clark
1. Conflict Disqualification Disclosure Failure by Recorder Mr P. Clark
 2. Priority for the Criminal Trial against a Trial Title Validity Investigation [R v Clarke 2008 HL]
 3. Priority for the Criminal Trial against the Trial Invalidity Appeals of the Court of Appeal
 4. Priority for the Criminal Case of the State against the Invalidity Case of the Defendant
 5. Evidence Exclusions for the State against the Defendant
 6. Production Order Breach Contempt Remedy Failures for the State against the Defendant
 7. Cross Examination Restraint
 8. Conflicted Disqualification Denial for the Court Appointed Defender against the Defendant
 9. Witness Production Waivers for the State against the Defendant
 10. Bias Fraud

- 2) Question(s) for the High Court.
1. Case Priority for Superior Courts against Inferior Courts
 2. Validity Investigation Priority in all cases [R v Clarke 2008 HL]
 3. Credible Neutrality Qualification + Conflicted Interest Disclosure Obligation of Investigators, Prosecutors, Defenders and Adjudications [Emerald Supplies v British Airways 2015]
 4. Conflicted Interest Disclosure Obligation Breach Remedies for Case Parties
 5. Evidence Exclusion Invalidity
 6. Order Breach Contempt Remedy Failure Invalidity
 7. Witness Production Rights + Cross Examination Rights of Defendant against the State
 8. Cross Examination Restraint Invalidity
 9. Case Management Accountability Obligations of Defenders to Defendants
 10. Proof Burden Reversal using Fraud Proof of anything for a Fraud Presumption of everything else for the Fraud Victim against the Fraud Manager and the Fraud Beneficiary subject to Rebuttal Proof Positive

3) Grounds of appeal.

Fraudulent Breaches of Natural Justice + Human Rights + Overriding Justice Objective

The Protection Fraud Network used Top State Officers to manage National Crime Businesses. Cabinet Officers and Top Judges managed Land Frauds for the Network against the State. It needed a Business Priming Case to Identify and reward Corrupt Professionals and identify and penalise Honest Professionals. It needed a Big Business. They used Housing Association Mergers to get a Big Business. They required Fraud Commitment Proof from Housing Association Officers to get Protection Frauds from Top Judges. Many thousand Tenancy Forgeries and a Management System that serviced Evidence Exclusion Frauds was the Fraud Commitment Proof provided by Housing Association Officers. Mr Nkrumah did not know about the Protection Fraud Network and that it had National Crime Businesses, and the Tenancy Forgeries were Fraud Commitment Proof to get Protection Frauds from Top Judges. Forgery Complaints by Tenant Mr Nkrumah got Whistle Blower Status for him. Cabinet Officers and Housing Officers demanded Protection Frauds. Service Calls by Top Judges got Framing Frauds and a Profit Share Demand by Top Police. A Profit Share Refusal by Top Judges got a Resource Allocation Refusal by Top Police that denied use of Framing Fraud Experts.

In January 2020, Mr Nkrumah discovered the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority, that they manage the Profession Authority responsibilities for Parliament and were managing a Remedy Process to control Endemic Corruption. He gave the Remedy Process use of his cases.

Case Management by Mr Nkrumah motivated Service Calls by Court Officers that got Service Refusals by Competent Police Officers and Incompetent Efforts by Idiot Police Officers. The Seal Ink on Original

Documents and Record Inconsistencies were Forensic Test Material for Record Fraud Investigations. Top Judges made an Investigation Sabotage Plan. It needed Original Documents Theft and Electronic Device Theft. It needed the Documents Location and Devices Location. He was Homeless, relied on Sofa Surfing and provided Care Services for his 3 Children, who lived with their mother. She was Chronically Immature and could not cope with Child Care Obligations. The Top Judges did not know where he kept the documents and devices.

Network Drug Wholesalers provided Money Laundering Services for Local Police and Local Judges. Mr Benjamin Koomson was the Network Drug Wholesaler local to the 3 Children. Top Judges gave him the Electronic Device Theft Contract. He befriended the Chronically Immature Mother. He used her and a Private Landlord as Theft Agents. A Home Move by her and Tenancy Promise Frauds by the Private Landlord persuaded Mr Nkrumah to put his Case Papers + Electronic Devices + Cash + Other Goods in the house for Immediate Theft.

The Electronic Device Theft was timed to deprive him of the Case Papers and Train Fare Cash for the Public Gallery Assault Framing Fraud 2021 0540 Plea Hearing on 1st November 2021 in Basildon Crown Court. It got an Audio Record of a Travel Warrant Refusal by Plea Hearing Judge Ms Cohen. The Fraud Conspirators used the Return Journey and the Railway Station for an Ambush Event to get Criminal Charges against Mr Nkrumah. Awkward Behaviour by the Security Guards raised Assault Framing Fraud Suspicions that motivated Contact Avoidance Behaviour by Mr Nkrumah. He used his hand as a pivot and flung his body over the Ticket Barrier. The Side Video includes a Single Frame in which his face is recognisable, because it moved slowly but his feet were a streak of light because they moved so fast. The Event Videos show the Chase + Framing Fraud Efforts by the Security Guards.

The Arrest + Custody + Interview Audio Record of a Corruption Case Explanation by Mr Nkrumah. The Case Officers did not know that the Video Evidence was Innocence Proof + Framing Fraud Proof for Mr Nkrumah. Someone in the Prosecution Team did. They included the Leap Single Frame in the Prosecution Case Papers. The Framing Fraud became Totally Dependent on Innocence Evidence Concealment Frauds and Guilt Evidence Fabrication Frauds by the State and Contempt Immunity Frauds by the Law Courts.

Drug Wholesaler Mr Koomson could not resist the temptation to prostitute the Chronically Immature Mother and use her to prostitute the 14-year-old daughter. The Network became Totally Dependent on the Chronically Immature Mother for Framing Frauds against Mr Nkrumah. The 14-year-old welcomed Sexual Freedom but soon rejected Sexual Exploitation and Underage Care Service Exploitation. Care Complaints by the 3 Children against the Chronically Immature Mother created the need for a Restraint Fraud against Mr Nkrumah that are Paternal Contact + Paternal Care Denial Frauds against the 3 Children.

The Parliament Session Court used the Corruption Proof got by Citizen Mr Nkrumah and many others to impose Corruption Remedy Conditions in Parliament Session Grants. They required Remedy Delivery. It needs Dismissal Executions against the Judiciary by a United Parliament before the 2025 Summer Recess, Victim Identification and Case Remedies. The Dismissal Failure got a Remedy Denial Fraud Finding against the Cabinet by the Parliament Sessions Court and use of Scandal Enquiry Judges for Finding Pronouncements for Parliament.

The Ruin Fraud Conspiracy against Mr Nkrumah got Criminal Liability for State Officers, and Defence Service Calls by them on Trade Unions. The only thing the Trade Unions can do to provide Defence Services for Vulnerable Members is to help finance a Political Party that has a Remedy Delivery Policy and wins the General Election.

Framing Fraud 2022 0043 got Secret Trial Fraud Conspiracy Proof against the Crown Court at Snaresbrook and an Audio Record on 27th March 2023 of a Crown Prosecution Service Officer explaining that Service Refusals by more than one hundred Prosecutors was the reason for a Prosecutor Appearance Failure. 2023 0058 got Service Refusals that created the need for the Ministry of Justice to use Counsel Chambers they control for the Cross Examiner Appointment of Mr Setter and the Trial Allocation to Recorder Mr Clark. It is a Credible Neutrality Breach and Conflict Disqualification against them. They managed Defence Sabotage Frauds for the State against the Defendant. It is Bias Fraud Proof against them and the Prosecutor.

4) Applications for: Pending Appeal Trial Adjournment + Pending Appeal Unconditional Bail

Signed Akwasi Nkrumah defendant 18th July 2025