

Before High Court Justice

in Royal Court

Upon reading the Claim Form + Emergency Application + Draft Order

Upon the Imprisonment Fraud Release Case including the allegations that the Remand Case Court Records are Fraud Conspiracy Proof against the Complainant, Investigators, Prosecutors, Defenders and Adjudicators

Upon the Remand Custody and Fraud Conspiracy Proof having created a dependency for Citizen Mr. Nkrumah on Unqualified Legal Services from Citizens MS Cheryl McLeod and Mr. Caul Grant, and Equity Lawyer Mr. Edward Ellis.

Upon the court having Judicial Knowledge of the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority and the Corruption Remedy Process they manage.

Upon the Fraud Conspiracy Allegations being a Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification and getting Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit

Upon the circumstances requiring use of the Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit for Interim Remedies that acknowledge the Common Law and use of it for Integrity Controls to start a Credibility Recovery Process for the Law Courts

1. Superiority Finding for Remedy Jurisdictions against Execution Jurisdictions for the REASON that
 - 1.1. Justice Management needs Integrity Controls that govern the use of Executive Powers
 - 1.2. Integrity Controls that use a Validity Qualification for Law Definition that
 - 1.2.1. Service the long-term peace priority by Risk Controls against International War, Civil War, Local Riots and Individual Fight
 - 1.2.2. Uses the Decision Method of reasoning decisions to the Objective Standard at every stage of a process from initiation to execution needed for Case Management Equality of Conflicted Parties
 - 1.2.3. Uses Credible Neutrality Qualifications for Rights Definition Offices and Rights Enforcement Offices
 - 1.2.4. Manage the Credible Neutrality of Rights Definition Offices by:
 - 1.2.4.1. a Power Use Prohibition for Case Parties against Rights Definition Officers
 - 1.2.4.2. a Voluntary Disclosure Obligation for the People against Justice Officers of anything that is, or might appear to be, a Conflicted Interest and Bias Suspicion Risk
 - 1.2.4.3. Inequality Remedies that get Case Management Equality for the Conflicted Parties
 - 1.2.5. Manage the Credible Neutrality of Rights Enforcement Offices by
 - 1.2.5.1. Jurisdiction Separation of Investigation, Prosecution, Defence, Adjudication and Execution
 - 1.2.5.2. Jurisdiction Separation of Trial Adjudication from Appeal Adjudication
 - 1.2.5.3. Justice Management using Service Continuity Stability Controls pending Change Management
 - 1.2.5.3.1. The Stability Control are:
 - 1.2.5.3.1.1. A Proof Burden for the Incumbent against the Challenger
 - 1.2.5.3.1.2. An Existing State Validity Presumption for the Incumbent subject to Rebuttal Proof Positive from the Challenger
 - 1.2.5.3.1.3. Party Equality using an Incumbent Status Presumption + Validity Presumption for the Citizen and an Incumbent Presumption + Credibility

Presumption for the State both of which are subject to Rebuttal Proof
Positive from the Challenger

1.2.5.3.1.4. The Integrity Control of a

1.2.5.3.1.4.1. A Validity Investigation to discover whether anything invalidates any stage of the Justice Process

1.2.5.3.1.4.2. The Invalidity Penalty of Inadmissible Status for Invalid Process Evidence

1.2.5.3.1.4.3. The Fraud Penalty of:

1.2.5.3.1.4.3.1. A Proof Burden Reversal using a Fraud Presumption of everything else for the Fraud Victim against the Fraud Manager and Fraud Beneficiary subject to Rebuttal Proof Positive

1.2.5.3.1.4.3.2. Aggravated Remedies as a Repetition Deterrent for the Victim

1.2.5.3.1.4.3.3. Exemplary Remedies as a Repetition Deterrent for the People

1.2.5.3.1.4.3.4. Exemplary Remedy Rewards for the Citizen in cases of expose fraud Management by State Officers and Law Officers

1.2.5.3.2. Change Management that gets Invalidity Remedies with Service Continuity

1.3. Protection Management that prevents use of Executive Powers to sabotage the Integrity Controls

1.4. Jurisdiction Superiority for Remedy Jurisdictions against Execution Jurisdictions managed by

1.4.1. A Remedy Only Jurisdiction Limit and Pending Remedies Enforcement Stay Protection from the Superior Jurisdiction for the Citizen against the Inferior Jurisdiction

1.4.2. Use of Protection Breaches for a Proof Burden Reversal using a Malice Presumption for the Breach Victim against the Breach Managers and Breach Beneficiaries that is subject to

2. Priority Finding for the Justice Process Contempt Case of the Citizen against All Cases of All Others for the REASON that Just Governance needs use of Citizen Cases for Integrity Controls of the Authorities

3. Justice Process Contempt Case Finding + Case Priority Finding + Justice Process Contempt Investigation Order + Pending Remedies Enforcement Stay Protection + Immediate Release Order for Citizen Mr. Nkrumah against the Ministry of Justice and the Cabinet for the REASON that the Order Recitals make Self Evident.

4. Disclosure Order for Citizen Mr. Nkrumah against the Cabinet that the Chief Executive Officers of the Attorney General and Ministry of Justice do within 7 days file and serve Statement that explain:

4.1. Why notice of the Blackmail Complaint dated 14th October 2024 by Citizen Mr. Nkrumah failed to get Child Protection from the State and Law Courts?

4.2. What the Cabinet and Ministry of Justice are doing to deliver Child Protection?

5. A Disclosure Order + Order Breach Contempt Penalty Warning for Citizen Mr. Nkrumah against the Director of Public Prosecutions and the Metropolitan Police Commissioner Rank Officers that they prepare for Immediate Complete Co-operation that enables Disclosure Order Compliance by the Attorney General and Cabinet

6. A 7 Day Adjournment for Contempt Remedy Direction Order to noon in Royal Court