

Amendment Advice from Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis that becomes an Amended Application when signed by the Citizen

Amended Application for:

1. A Validity Investigation Order for Citizen Ms Davies and the People against Worcester City Council
2. A Conflicted Interest Finding + Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification Finding + Remedy Jurisdiction Only Limit for Citizen Ms Davies against the Ministry of Justice, Law Courts and Cabinet
3. A No Valid Council Tax Liability Order Finding + Council Tax Debt Pretence Finding + Bankruptcy Enforcement Conspiracy Finding + Fraud Finding + Justice Process Contempt Finding + Remedy Etitlement Finding + Contempt Liability Order + Reutation and Liberty and Estate Restoration Order + Bankruptcy Statutory Demand Revocation Order + Council Tax Liability Enforcement Restraint Order + Special and General and Aggravated and Exempary Damages Order + Statutory Demand Defence Costs with Indemnity Assessment + Consept Liability Costs with Indemnity Assessment Order + £10,000 Interim Damages Immediate Payment Order + Remedy Directions Order + Order Breach Contempt Penalty Warning for Citizen Ms Davies against Worcester City Council
4. Contempt Remedy Case Reference to the Parliament Sessions Court for the People against the Ministry of Justice, Law Courts and Cabinet
5. Justice Process Contempt Liability Indemnity Investigation Order for Citizen Ms Davies against Worcester City Council
6. Indemnity Liability Defendant Party Status Number 1 for the Chief Executive, Number 2 for the Chief Lawyer, Number 3 for the Chief Accountant, Number 4 for the Bankruptcy Enforcement Lawyers known as Wilkit Chapman Rollit, Number 5 for the Bankruptcy Enforcement Case Officer Ms Mollie Denford
7. Disclosure Order + Order Breach Contempt Penalty Warning for Citizen Ms Davies and Worcester City Council that the Chief Executive, Chief Lawyer and Chief Accountant do within 7 days file and serve a Statement that:
 - 7.1. identifies all records concerning Citizen Ms Davies in general and the Council Tax Claim in particular that Worcester City Council has, and any more that they have
 - 7.2. identifies all other cases in which Case Record Denials by the Law Courts are Council Tax Liability Order Forgery Proof against Worcester City Council
8. Evidence Audit Order + £5,000 Audt Interim Costs Immediate Payment Order + Order Breach Contempt Penalty Warning for Citizen Ms Davies against Worcester City Council for the Contempt Remedies and the Indemnity Investigations a comparison of the Claimant Records and Defendant Records to discover anything is missing from the Defendant Records and if so why, and how is responsible
9. Disclosure Order + Order Breach Contempt Penalty Warning for Citizen Ms Davies against Worcester City Council that, acting by the Council Chair, do within 14 days file and serve a Statement that discoses whether the Council will prosecute Justice Process Contempt Indemnity Claims against the Indemnity Liability Defendants and if not, why not
10. Publicity Order for Citizen Ms Davies and the People against Worcester City Council that, acting by the Council Chair, they do serve Shropshire Council and Hereford Council and Worcestershire Council
11. Publicity Order for Citizen Ms Davies and the People against Worcester City Council do serve on the British Broadcasting Corporation and Independent Television News and the Local Newspapaers for Shropshire, Herefordshire and Worcestershire with Bankruptcy Statutory Demand Revocation Order + Justice Process Contempt Orders
12. Disclosure Order for Citizen Ms Davies and Worcester City Council against the Indemnity Liability Defendants that they do within 21 days file and serve Statements explaining why the court should not make an Indemnity Liability Order against them, and why it should not be a Joint Liability Order
13. Appeal Leave + 7 Days Appeal Intent Notice Order for Worcester City Council and the Indemnity Liability Defendants for the Corruption Remedy Process Urgency Reason
14. Contempt Remedy Directions Hearing on Friday 27th March 2026

REASONS

1. The World War III Peace Plan for Democratic States is to achieve a Just World Order. It needs a set of Demonatration Cases. One is a Tax Fraud Case for the Citizen against the State. The Council Tax Fraud Case of Citizen Ms Davies against Worcester City Council is ideal for the purpose.
2. In 2004, the Protection Fraud Network got control of the UK Cabinet. Queen Elizabeth started a Corruption Remedy Process. It discovered the Protection fraud Network used Cabinet Officers and the Judiciary to provide

Protection Frauds for Crime Businesses managed by State Officers and Law Court Judges. They manage Endemic Corruption. Queen Elizabeth needed International Support to recover control.

3. In 2023, King Charles negotiated the World War III Peace Plan for Democratic States. It got International Support. In January 2025, US President Mr Trump helped to service the Peace Plan, discovered the Protection Fraud Network and did a Corrupt Deal. It got a Profit Share for him and Peace Plan Sabotage Frauds for the Protection Fraud Network and the Blatant Dictator States against the Democratic States.
4. In 2026, the Peace Plan needs completion of the Dismissal Executions against UK Prime Minister Sir Keir Starmer and an Impeachment Dismissal Process against USA President Mr Trump. The Power Imbalances in the USA create the need for Impeachment Proposals to be made by a UK Citizen. They are contained in the document entitled 2026 02 25 World War III Peace Agreement + Just World Order + Impeachment Proposals against President Mr Trump for the People v Endemic Corruption Managers.
5. The Key Proposals are that the Renegade UK Cabinet and the Remegade USA President used Epstein File Concealment Frauds to provide Protection Frauds for Sex Crime + Protection Frauds + Sex Crime Blackmail Frauds with Business Manager Status for Top UK Politicians + Blackmail Profit Frauds made by Blackmail Business Customers. The Epstein File Concealment Frauds denied use of Equitable Due Process and forced use of Scandal Publicity that created Trial Unfairness Certainty Proof for Convicted Criminal Ms Ghislaine Maxwell and Foolish Mr Andrew Mountbatten Windsor. It is impossible to use Trial Unfairness to build a Just World Order. It validates use of Immunity Deals for Ms Maxwell and Mr Mountbatten Windsor in exchange for Remedy Co-operation that identifies some of the content of the Concealed Files, identifies Blackmail Fraud Victims and uses them to identify Blackmail Fraud Customers and Blackmail Fraud Profiteers. They have the Technical Cleverness to get Academic Qualifications and Profession Qualifications but Chronical Immaturity stops them avoiding trouble or getting out of it. Neither of them are likely to be able to do it alone. Even if they could they likely to do it better if they can provide each other with Mutual Support. In any event, it is a Big Project that need Project Management Support Services. They will need Reduced Stress Conditions. Immunity Deals are the only way to get Reduced Stress Conditions.
6. The Top Network Agents have something in common with Ms Maxwell and Mr Mountbatten Windsor. All of them are Chronically Immature. They do not avoid trouble and cannot get out of it. The Corruption Remedy Process got Chronic Immaturity Proof against State Officers, the Judiciary and Top Politicians including Prime Ministers Mr Blair, Mr Brown, Mrs May, Mrs Truss, Mr Johnson and Sir Keir Starmer. The Peace Plan got it against USA President Mr Trump.
7. A Just World Order needs the Peace Plan State Populations:
 - 7.1. To recognise the need to use Immunity Deals from Lower Jurisdictions to service Corruption Remedies in Higher Jurisdictions.
 - 7.2. To appreciate that in many cases the Root Problem was a Total Failure of Constitutional Checks and Constitutional Balances to stop Chronically Immature Personalities getting Responsibilities they could not manage and Temptations they could not resist.
8. A Just World Order needs Comparator Cases that discover the effect that Chronic Immaturity had on them.
9. The Sex Crime Comparator Cases can be the Celebrity Cases of Ms Maxwell and Mr Mountbatten Windsor and the 2024 – 2026 Child Prostitution Protection Frauds provided by State Officers, the Judiciary, Home Secretary Ms Cooper and Prime Minister Sir Keir Starmer for the Network Drug Wholesaler who prostituted a Chronically Immature Mother and used her to prostitute the 14 Years-Old Daughter.
10. The Tax Fraud Comparator Case can be Council Tax Fraud Case of Citizen Ms Davies against Worcester City Council and the Indemnity Case of Worcester City Council against Fraud Managers and Fraud Profiteers.

Schedule: The original Statutory Demand Set Aside Application dated 19th February 2026 read as follows:

FORM IAA INSOLVENCY ACT APPLICATION NOTICE

Rule 1.35 Insolvency (England and Wales) Rules 2016

IN THE COUNTY COURT AT WORCESTER (Insolvency jurisdiction)

IN THE MATTER OF: Michelle Davies (Debtor) AND IN THE MATTER OF THE INSOLVENCY ACT 1986

BETWEEN

Applicant MICHELLE DAVIES of 73 Harry Davis Court, Armstrong Drive, Worcester, WR1 2AJ

-and-

Respondent WORCESTER CITY COUNCIL of Guildhall, High Street, Worcester WR1 2EY
(acting by Wilkin Chapman Rollits LLP)

DETAILS OF APPLICATION

1. This application is made under section 268(1)(a) of the Insolvency Act 1986 and rule 10.5 of the Insolvency (England and Wales) Rules 2016.

2. The Applicant seeks the following relief:

- (a) An order that the Statutory Demand dated 2 February 2026 be set aside.
- (b) An order that the Respondent do pay the costs of this application.
- (c) Such further or other relief as the Court considers just.

3. The matters on which the Applicant relies are as follows:

- (a) The alleged debt is genuinely and substantially disputed.
- (b) The Statutory Demand was issued on an incorrect statutory form and without proof of a qualifying judgment or court order capable of founding insolvency proceedings.
- (c) Service was defective and prejudicial, the Statutory demand being dated 2 February 2026 but only hand-delivered through the Applicant's letterbox on 18 February 2026, with no proof of posting or service.
- (d) Insolvency proceedings are being used oppressively and as a debt collection tool, contrary to settled authority. The grounds are set out in full in the Witness Statement of Michelle Davies dated 18 February 2026, filed in support of this application.
- (e) No court record, case file, or sealed and perfected court order evidencing a lawful judicial determination of liability has been produced, and the existence of any such perfected judgment is denied.
- (f) Despite written requests to both the Magistrates' Court and the Council, including subject access and information requests, no documentary confirmation of any perfected court order or court record in the Applicant's name has been provided.
- (g) The alleged liability arose from administrative bulk council tax liability order hearings which did not involve a contested hearing, did not permit cross-examination or evidential challenge, and did not involve judicial determination of disputed liability.

4. Is this application within existing insolvency proceedings? NO

5. The names and addresses of the persons on whom it is intended to serve this application:

- 6. Paul Bowden of Wilkin Chapman Rollits LLP Solicitors for Worcester City Council Financial Services The Council House Avenue Road Malvern WR14 3AF]

The address for service of the Applicant: Michelle Davies 73 Harry Davis Court Armstrong Drive
Worcester WR12AJ

STATEMENT OF TRUTH. I believe that the facts stated in this Application Notice are true.

Signed: _____ Michelle Davies _____ Michelle Davies

Dated: 19 February 2026