

Debt Fraud Harassment Summons Application Citizen Mr Woods v Cabinet + Napier Parling 28<sup>th</sup> June 2026

Crime Summons APPLICATION (*Criminal Procedure Rules, rule 7.2(6); section 1, Magistrates' Courts Act 1980*)

Applicant: Citizen Mr Roger Woods 56 Church End, Steppingley, Flitwick, Bedfordshire MK45 5AU + 07484541726 + roger.woods@freedom-abuse.org

Application: Summons against the proposed Defendants.

Alleged offence Criminal Conspiracy to use Conflict Jurisdiction Qualification Frauds + Perjury + Forgery for Parking Liability Declaration Frauds + Appeal Dismissal Frauds and get Enforcement Frauds + Protection Frauds from the Law Courts and Cabinet

Date(s) of alleged offence(s): from 24<sup>th</sup> August 2021 to 26<sup>th</sup> June 2026

Proposed Defendant

1. Napier Parking Ltd [Co No 06009471] Atterbury Lakes, Fairbourne Drive, Atterbury, Milton Keynes, MK10 9RG
2. BW Legal Ltd Enterprise House, Apex View, Leeds, LS11 9BH

Circumstances: The Visit on 24th August 2021 got a £1.50 Parking Charge Payment by the Citizen Claimant, a Charge Fraud by Napier Parking Limited, an Unpaid Charge Disclosure Denial Fraud + Charge Fraud Appeal on 25th August 2021 by the Citizen Claimant, a Conflict Jurisdiction Qualification Fraud + Appeal Dismissal Fraud dated 15th September 2021 by Napier Parking Limited and Enforcement Frauds by BW Legal Services Limited.

The 1<sup>st</sup> Similar Fact Fraud Case is the Gas Supply Pretence Frauds + Gas Account Pretence Frauds + Gas Supply Debt Declaration Frauds by British Gas + Enforcement Frauds by British Gas + BW Legal Services Limited. The Debt Fraud Harassment Claim J00CM673 filed on 25th April 2022 got Protection Fraud Proof for Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis against British Gas, BW Legal Services Limited and Chelmsford County Court.

It did not stop there. The Governance Fraud Claim filing on 18th March 2022 of Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis against Prime Minister Mr Johnson + Attorney General + Ministry of Justice + Opposition Leader Sir Keir Starmer got Issue Denial Fraud + Papers Return Reference MISC 117 2022 on 22nd March 2022 by the High Court. The Interim Remedy Draft Order + Representations dated 30th March 2022 by the Equity Lawyer got No Response from the High Court. A Without Hearing Restraint Renewal Fraud denied use of the Law Courts for Case Process Denial Fraud Remedy Action against the Ministry of Justice. The Debt Fraud Harassment continues.

The 2nd Similar Fact Fraud Case is the Assault Framing Fraud 22CA1074323. The Original Case is allegedly in Worcester Magistrates Court. The Bench Warrant Forgery Appeal is on Chelmsford Crown Court. In 2023, the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority needed Endemic Corruption Proof against the Judiciary for King Charles to negotiate a World War III Peace Plan for Democratic States. Bribery Management by Lord Mandelson got Osteopath Misdescription Trial Frauds from Worcester Judges for the General Osteopathic Council and Pharmaceutical Industry against Citizen Ms Davies. Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis attended as Legal Assistant and Jurisdiction Witness. Court Room Exclusion Fraud Orders by the Crown Court Trial Judges were executed by Court Officers. The Crown Court 1 was the Crime Scene. Audio Records are Event Proof. They used an Assault Complaint Fraud to get a Public Gallery Trespass + Arrest Fraud + 22CA1074323 Investigation Frauds + Crown Court Audio Record Concealment Frauds + Charge Fraud by West Mercia Police and the Crown Prosecutors. A Bail Surrender Refusal + Audio Record Production Demand by the Equity Lawyer got a Confidence Collapse by the Court Officers. They decided to give Fraud Evidence against the State. No Court Case Record Evidence from them was Council Tax Liability Order Forgery Proof against the Worcester City Council. King Charles negotiated the World War III Peace Plan for Democratic States. It got the Epstein Scandal + Mandelson Scandal + Starmer Scandal + Leadership Farce Scandal. It got Confidence Collapses by Corrupt Officers and Confidence Recovery Efforts by Local Top Network. On 15<sup>th</sup> March 2026 the Hertford Network used a 22CA1074323 Bench Warrant Forgery for an Arrest Fraud against the Equity Lawyer. They appeared to be in control at the time. With hindsight everything that could go wrong for the Protection Fraud Network did go wrong. Case Papers get Receipt Stamp Proof from Chelmsford Crown Court and Process Denial Fraud Proof against the Magistrates Court and Crown Court.

The 3<sup>rd</sup> Similar Fact Fraud Cases are the ruin fraud Series against Citizen Mr Akwasi Nkrumah.

Other Applications:

1. Court Record Preservation Orders + Court Record Production Orders for the Citizen against the Ministry of Justice. Reason: The Court Records in the cases of Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis and Citizen Mr Nkrumah are Relevant fraud Proof for Citizen Mr Woods against the Defendants
2. Pending Remedies Enforcement Stay Protection Order + Order Breach Contempt Penalty Waring for Citizen Mr Woods against the State and Defendants
3. Contempt Investigation Order + Investigation Resource Reference to Parliament
4. Contempt Investigation Directions Hearing at noon on July 2026

Consent: The Conflict Jurisdiction Disqualification Fraud Proof against the State, Law Courts and Cabinet eliminates the need for Prosecution Consent from the Attorney General or Director of Public Prosecutions

No Previous Prosecution Decisions known to the Applicant

Oath Declaration Offer by the Citizen Applicant. *See Criminal Procedure Rules r.7.2(6), (7).*

To the best of my knowledge, information and belief:

1. the allegations contained in this application are substantially true,
2. the evidence on which I rely will be available at the trial,
3. the details that I have given in boxes (2) and (3) are true, and
4. this application discloses all the information that is material to what the court must decide.

Decision – this record must be kept by the court

I considered this application today [at] [without] a hearing. [The applicant confirmed on oath or affirmation the declaration in box (7).] [The applicant gave me additional information [the essence of which was:]]

[The proposed defendant gave me additional information [the essence of which was:]]

On the basis of the information contained in this application [as supplemented by the additional information described above]:

(a) I [am] [am not] satisfied that the requirements for the issue of a summons are met [(b) I [am] [am not] satisfied that the additional requirements for the issue of a warrant are met] and I [issue] [refuse to issue] a [summons] [warrant] accordingly. My reasons are these: