

Remedy Application Hearing Proposals of the Equity Lawyer for Citizen Mr Pead v State

8th July 2026

Before Hearing – Enquiry of the Court Lawyer and State Prosecutor:
Do they have the Case Papers. If needed, Repeat Email Filing + Service of the Case Papers?
Are the Hearing Proposals dated 8th July 2026 agreed?

Case Call

Remedy Application of the Citizen. Please get an Audio Record of Oral Notices and allow time for Notice Responses:

1. The UK has Corruption Remedy Test Case Status for the World War III Peace Agreement of Democratic States.
2. The Corruption Remedy Test Case needs Hearing Audio Records that are Personal Responsibility Proof for or against Identifiable Individuals in Known Court Frauds.
3. Hearing Bias Frauds by the Law Courts for the State against the Citizen reduces the opportunity for Test Cases to get Hearing Audio Record Proof
4. The 1st and 2nd Ruin Fraud Series against Citizens Mr Nkrumah and Mr Pead have Known Fraud Status
5. The 2nd Ruin Fraud Series got No Evidence Notices from the State and Secret Hearing Frauds by the Law Courts that denied him a chance to get Hearing Audio Records. The Family Contact Restraint Breach Case got a Trial Appearance by him that discovered a Secret Hearing had got a Trial Adjournment to 2028 and Case 2022 0043 had a Secret Hearing before the Snaresbrook Crown Court Resident Judge the next day. The Secret Hearing got a Surprise Appearance by Citizen Mr Nkrumah and a Case Transfer to the Central Criminal Court. The Trial Listing with No Witnesses on 6th July 2026 got a Trial Attendance by Citizen Mr Nkrumah. A Case Call Denial Fraud got a Secret Hearing + No Evidence Disclosure by the Prosecutor + Acquittal Pronouncement by the Trial Judge + Acquittal Notice from a Court Officer to Citizen Mr Nkrumah. He insisted on Court Room Access, sat in the Public Gallery and observed Courteous Behaviour by the Trial Judge to Other Defendants. The Judge disappeared, re-appeared and sat. It got Audio Records of a Post Secret Hearing Fraud Farce. A Beckoning Gesture by the Court Lawyer got a Case Call Enquiry by Citizen Mr Nkrumah that got a Hearing Enquiry Contempt Penalty Warning from the Trial Judge. The Audio Record is Charge Costs Application Rights Admission for the Judge and All Other Rights Denial Fraud Proof against the Judge. He rose and disappeared. Events revealed a Court Clearance Order Fraud against Citizen Mr Nkrumah. He will make Case Applications for Charge Liability Costs and Fraud Counter Charge Management Orders. The Audio Records are Contrast Proof + Farce Proof and fit for Remedy Publicity
6. Hearing Denial Frauds against Citizen Mr Nkrumah increase the value of Case Hearings for Citizen Mr Pead
7. The Harassment Charge is the latest in the 2nd Ruin Fraud Series against Citizen Mr Pead and a Known Court Fraud
8. The Peace Plan needs Demonstration Case for Common Law Training
9. Citizens Mr Nkrumah and Mr Pead have agreed Demonstration Case Status and Case Management that service Common Law Training
10. Case Management Efficiency for the Crown, Parliament Session Courts and Peace Plan Leaders need Remedy Applications to be read out by the Citizen, a Response Opportunity for the State Prosecutor and then a Comment Opportunity for the Trial Judge.

Examples:

Application 1 is a Corruption Case Finding for the Citizen against the State.

Does the State Prosecutors agree or contest it? Does the Trial Judge agree or contest it?

Application 2 is a Case Priority Finding for the Corruption Case of the Citizen against All Cases of the State

Does the State Prosecutors agree or contest it? Does the Trial Judge agree or contest it?

Application 3 is a Justice Perversion Conspiracy Counter Charge Investigation Order for the Citizen against the State

Does the State Prosecutors agree or contest it? Does the Trial Judge agree or contest it?

Application 4 is an Investigation Priority Order + Order Breach Contempt Penalty Warning for the Citizen against the State that the Director of Public Prosecution and Attorney General do within 7 days file and serve Statements that explain why the court should not use the Case Records of the State and Law Courts to make:

1. A Corruption Case Priority Denial Fraud Finding + Charge Dismissal Order + Defence Costs with Indemnity Assessment Order + Justice Perversion Fraud Conspiracy Counter Charge Liability Order + Reputation Recovery Order + Liberty Recovery Order + Estate Recovery Order + Special and General and Aggravated and Exemplary Damages Order + Counter Charge Costs with Indemnity Assessment for Citizen Mr Pead against the State
2. An Indemnity Order for the State against Fraud Managers and Fraud Beneficiaries
3. A Remedy Management Investigation Order to identify the Fraud Managers and Fraud Beneficiaries and decide the Indemnity Obligations
4. A Remedy Management Investigation Priority Order that Former Prime Ministers Mr Blair, Mr Brown, Mrs May, Mr Johnsons, Ms Truss and Prime Minister Sir Keir Starmer, and their Attorneys General, do within 7 days file and serve Statements that explain what they know about the Schedule Questions

Does the State Prosecutors agree or contest it? Does the Trial Judge agree or contest it?

Application 5 is an Investigation Directions Hearing at noon on July 2026

Does the State Prosecutors agree or contest it? Does the Trial Judge agree or contest it?