

From: The Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority acting by Equity Lawyer Mr Edward Ellis

To: Cleveland Police Coroners Officers + Cleveland Chief Constable + Cleveland Police and Crime Commissioner
+ Cleveland Coroners Court + Association of Chief Police Officers +
Norfolk Police 36CT2485825 + Norfolk Police Chief Constable + East Anglia Crown Prosecutors + Director
of Public Prosecutions + Ministry of Justice + Supreme Court + Attorney General + Cabinet

World War III Peace Plan – Demonstration Case Proposals:

1. Death Inquest Case of Alan Pead alias Alan Tollinton – Case Management Proposals
 - 1.1. Case Management Full Disclosure Order for Citizen Mr Brian Pead that the State, acting by the Case Investigator, Case Budget Controller and Chief Constable of Cleveland Police file and serve a Statement within 7 days that
 - 1.1.1. Discloses the Inquest Case Reference + Case Orders + Contact Details of the Coroners Court.
 - 1.1.2. Explains the failure to acknowledge the Sibling Status of Citizen Mr Brian Pead
 - 1.1.3. Disclose whether anyone has Will Executor Status, and if so, who has it, and if not why Sibling Status and the Intestacy Rules do not get Estate Representation Priority Rights for the Sibling Citizen
 - 1.1.4. Explains the Death Notice Delay + Suspicious death Facts + Suspicious Death Notice Failure by the Case Officer and why the Suspicious Death Notice came from Social Services.
 - 1.1.5. Explains the process by which the Disclosure Demand Email from the Citizen to the Chief Constable did not get a Disclosure Response from the Case Officers Disclosure Denial Email from Data Protection
 - 1.1.6. Explains why the Disclosure Denial Email did not disclose who has Estate Representation Rights + Disclosure Rights but used 902 words that are in effect a Disclosure Qualification Failure Notice + Disclosure Enquiry Dismissal Notice
 - 1.1.7. Explains the Case Costs and the Disclosure Refusal Costs
 - 1.2. Suspicious Case Management Investigation Order by the Police and Crime Commissioner
 - 1.3. Suspicious Case Management Investigation Finding + £1,000 Expense Allowance + Immediate Payment Order from the Police and Crime Commissioner against Cleveland Police for the Sibling Citizen to cover the cost of travel from Kings Lynn to Cleveland and accommodation to produce and Investigation Report for the Coronation Oath Enforcement Authority
2. Harassment Framing Fraud Case 36CT24858325 of Citizen Mr Brian Pead – Case Management Proposals:
 - 2.1. Case Management Full Disclosure Order for Citizen Mr Brian Pead that the State, acting by the Case Investigator, Investigation Budget Controller and Chief Constable of Cleveland Police file and serve a Statement within 7 days that:
 - 2.1.1. Explains the Investigation Management that ignored the 4 Failed Marriages + 5th Husband Courting Behaviour of Complainant Mrs Vivian + ignored the Courting Behaviour Testimony of 3 Independent Witnesses + ignored the Stalking Allegation Denials + Conflict Disqualification Arguments of the Citizen + ignored the Case Closure Decision + used a Case Re-opening Fraud + Evidence Frauds + Charge Fraud + Bail Conditions Frauds
 - 2.1.2. Details the Investigation Costs and explains why they should not be classified as Budget Fraud Damage
 - 2.2. Case Management Full Disclosure Order for the Citizen that the State, acting by the Case Prosecutor, Prosecution Budget Controller and East Anglia Chief Prosecutor file and serve a Statement within 7 days that:
 - 2.2.1. Explains what evidence got the Prosecution Decision and who made it + what happened to the Citizen Papers served on East Anglia Prosecutors + why the Citizen Papers did not get a Conflict Disqualification Finding by the 1st Prosecution Advocate until after the Plea Hearing Consideration Adjournment on 4th June 2026 + why Conflict Disqualification Finding got a Jurisdiction Deficit Case Reference from Kings Lynn Magistrates to Norwich Crown Court instead of a Jurisdiction Trial in the Magistrates Court + why the Crown Court Plea Hearing did not get a Conflict Disqualification Admission + Jurisdiction Trial Request by the 2nd Prosecution Advocate but instead a Process Abuse Dismissal Application Adjournment by the Crown Court + why the 3rd Prosecution Advocate acknowledged having the 114 pages of the Process Abuse Application and Support Evidence but none of the Corruption Remedy Papers that service the World War III Peace Plan until after service of the High Court Claim papers in the Court Room
 - 2.2.2. Details the Case Costs and explains why they should not be classified as Budget Fraud Damage
 - 2.3. Case Management Full Disclosure Order for the Citizen against the State, acting by the Chief Administration Officers of the Magistrate Court and Crown Court that:
 - 2.3.1. Explains the Evidence Filing Arrangements for the Citizen and State + the reason for any differences + what happened to the Process Abuse Application filed by the Citizen and State that Judges Mr Bates + what happened to the Corruption Remedy Case Papers
 - 2.3.2. Details the Case Costs and explains why they should not be classified as Budget Fraud Damage.